GANDHIJI'S CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE GOVERNMENT

1942-44



First Edition 1945: Copies 1600

Printed and Published by Jivanji Dahyabhai Desai, . Navajivan Mudranalaya, Kalupur, Ahmedabad

CONTENTS

Foreword	M K. Gandhi	ĺ xi
Introduction	Pyarelal	x uu
Covering Letter	M K. Gandhı	XXVIII
1 CORRESPONDENCE	WITH THE GOVERNMENT	
	BOMBAY	
•	A	
	OF SHRI G G MEHTA AND THER MATTERS	
. 10-8-42 M K Gendhi to Sir 2. 14-8-42 Secretary to the Gov	Roger Lumley rt of Bombay to M K Gandhi B	3
REGARDING	CORRESPONDENCE	
		3 4 5 6
ON WIRE ABOUT	MAHADEVBHAIS DEATH	
	rs Chimanial cretary to the Govt. of Bombay vt of Bombay to M K. Gandhi D	6 6 7
	AVAJIVAN PRESS PROPERTY IG OF HARIJAN	
 Enclosure to No 1 	ecretary to the Govt of Bombay 0 ovt of Bombay to M K Gandhu E	8 8 10
PROF B	HANSALIS FAST	
3 24 11-42 M K. Gandhi to S 4 25 11-42 M K. Gandhi to I 5 30 17 42 Addhnona' Secretary		11 11 : 12
	additional Secretary Government of Bombay	_

II CORRESPONDENCE WITH LORD LINLITHGOW AND THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

EARLIER CORRESPONDENCE ABOUT AUGUST DISTURBANCES

17 14-8-42 M. K. Gandhi to Lord Linkthsow

18. 22-8-42 Lord Linlithgow to M K. Gandhi

Α

13

18

47

51

51

52

19 23-9-42 M K. Gandhi to the Secretary to the Govt. of	
India (H D.)	19
20 13-2-43 Pyarelal to Sir Richard Tottenham	20
21 3-4-43 Reply to No. 20 Communicated by the Superintendent	
	20
В	
CORRESPONDENCE WITH LORD LINLITHGOW LEADING TO THE FA	ST
22. New Year's Eve '42 M K Gandhi to Lord Linlithgow	20
23 13-1-43 Lord Linlithgow to M. K. Gandhi	22
24 19 1-43 M. K. Gandhi to Lord Linlithgow	24
25, 25-1-43 Lord Linhthgow to M K. Gandhi	26
26, 29-1-43 M. K. Gandhi to Lord Linlithgow	28
27 5-2 43 Lord Linlithgow to M. K. Gandhi	30
23. 5-2-43 Sir G Laithwaite to M K. Gandhi	34
29 7-2-43 M K. Gandhi to Sir G Laithwaite	34
30 7-2 43 M K. Gandhi to Lord Linlithgow	35
31. 23-1-43 M. K. Gandhi to Lord Linlithgow (Enclosure to No 30)	
32, 7-2-43 Sir R. Totenham to M. K. Gandhi	40
33 7-2 43 Advance copy of the proposed Govt. Communique on	
Gandhiji's Fast	
34. 8-2-43 M K. Gandhi to Sir Richard Tottenham	45
35 9 2-43 Sir Richard Tottenham to M. K. Gandhi	46

III CORRESPONDENCE DURING THE FAST 33 12 2-43 M K. Gandhi to Col. Bhandari

40	12-2-43 Government order regarding interviews communicated	
	by the Superintendent of the Detention Camp	54
41	16-2-43 Government order on points raised in letter No 39	
	(Communicated by the Superintendent Detention Camp)	54

36. 9 2-43 Government Communique on the fast

37 27-9-43 M. K. Gandhi to Lord Linlithtow

33. 7-10-43 Lord Linlithgow to M K. Gandhi

42. 21-2-43 M. K. Gandhi to Col. Bhandari

•	v
3 26-2-13 Government order in reply to letter No. 42. (Communicated by the Superintendent, Detention Camp) 4 2-3-43 M K. Gandhi to Col. Bhandari 5 12-3-43 Drs. Gilder and S. Nayyar to Col. Bhandari 6, 13-3-19 M K. Gandhi to Col. Bhandari 7 13-3-43 Drs. Gilder and S. Nayyar to Col. Bhandari 8 20-3-43 Pyarelal to Col. Bhandari 9 20-3-43 Pyarelal to Col. Bhandari	57 57 58 59 59 60
IV CORRESPONDENCE AFTER THE FAST	
A	
PYARELALS LETTER ON GOVT COMMUNIQUE	
49 8-2-43 Pyarelal to Sir Richard Tottenham 50 24-2-43 Mr S J L Olver to Pyarelal B	61 67
CORRESPONDENCE ON SIR REGINALD MAXWELL'S SPEECH	
51 21-5-43 M K. Gandhı to Sır Regınald Maxwell 52 17-6-43 Sır Regınald Maxwell to M K. Gandhi 53 23 6-43 M K. Gandhı to Sır Regınald Maxwell C	67 83 83
LETTER TO QUAID-I-AZAM AND CORRESPONDENCE ON IT	
54. 4-5-43 M K Gandhi to Quard-i-Azam Jinnah 55. 4-5-43 M K Gandhi to the Secy Govt of India (H D) 56. 24-5-43 S R Richard Tottenham to M K Gandhi 57. Press Communique (Enclo to No 56) 58. 27-5-43 M K Gandhi to Sir Richard Tottenham 59. 28-5-43 M K Gandhi to Sir Richard Tottenham 60. 4-6-43 Mr Conran Smith to M K Gandhi 61. 7-6-43 Mr Conran Smith to M K Gandhi 62. D	84 84 85 85 86 88 88
LETTER TO LORD SAMUEL AND CORRESPONDENCE ON IT	
62. 15-5-43 M K. Gandhı to Lord Samuel 62 26-5-43 Sr Richard Tottenham to M K Gandhı 64 1-6-43 M K. Gandhı to Sir Richard Tottenham 65 7-6-43 Mr Conran Smith to M K. Gandhı E E	89 98 98 98
CONTRADICTION OF FALSE RUMOURS	
66 16-7-43 M K, Gandhi to the Addl Secy to the Govt of India 67 29-7-43 Sir Richard Tottenham to M. K, Gandhi	99 99

V. CORRESPONDENCE ON GOVERNMENT'S INDICTMENT OF THE CONGRESS

 68
 5-3-43 Pyarelal to Sir Richard Tottenham
 100

 69
 23-3-43 Pyarelal to Sir Richard Tottenham
 100

 70
 19-3-43 Sir Richard Tottenham to Pyarelal
 101

 71
 26-3-43 Pyarelal to Sir Richard Tottenham
 101

 72
 8-4-43 Sir Richard Tottenham to Parelal
 101

73 15-7-43 M K Gandhi's reply with appendices to "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances 1942-43"

102

192

193

195

170-201

INDEX TO APPENDICES

ADDENITATE I ... PRITTERS STREET

Free India's contribution

(Q) American opinion may be antagonized

(R) To American friends

AFFEMDIA L - BAITISH WITH	DAKWA	-				170	-201
(A) Confusion	(Hanja	1 Мау	24th	1942,	P	161)	170
(B) Out of touch	(**	31st		P	175)	171
(C) Free India can help best		June	7th		P	183/184	172
"I am not pro-Japanese	•						172
No secrecy							173
To resist slave drivers							174
(D) Why non-violent							174
non-cooperation?	(•	14th		P	185/187)	176
A bad job -					-		177
The crucial test							178
(E) Implications of withdraw	al "	May	24th		Þ	166	179
(F) Its meaning		June	21st		P	197	181
(G) Only if they withdraw	-				p	198	181
(H) Deliberate distortion			28th		p	203	182
(K) A poser			28th		p	204/205	183
(L) A fallacy		July	5th		P	210	183
(M) Oh! the troops			5th		Þ	212	184
(N) Friends' Ambulance			`				
Unit in Indi	a "		5th		р	215	185
(O) If Harijan is suppressed		_	19th		P	229	188
(P) The Wardha Interview	•		19th		Þ	233-31	190
If imprisoned					_		190
Negotiations							191
Shape of things to com	e						191

ОtЪ

for Reason" in Hanjan of Augu	ıst 2, 1942,		
reproduced in Bombay Chronicle of	f August 3, 1		196
Azad's statement cited			196
Nothing to cavil at Extract from			197
			198
(U) Foreign soldiers in India 🔐 🕹	Aprıl 26th	, p 128	199
APPENDIX II — NOT PRO-JAPANESE		201-	-14
(A) If they really mean? A	April 26th .	, p 136	201
(B) Friendly advice . 1	May 31st		202
	une 14th		203
(D) What about radio messages? "]	June 21st		204
(E) If Japanese come?]	uly 26th	p 248	205
(F) Question Box]	June 7th	" p 177	205
(G) Unfair to America? ,,]	June 7th	., p 181	206
(H) Miraben's letter to Lord Linlithg	ow and enclo	sures	207
Miraben's questions My reply to the above		•	
	ue 2nd	р 257-58	207
(L) Letter to Chiang-Kai-Shek (Hind			210
APPENDIX III — CONGRESS NOT FOR		214-	
		214-	411
			214
(A) Not right (Harijan	May 31st 19	942 p 173)	214
(A) Not right (Harijan (B) What about Muslims? "	May 31st 19 June 14th	942 p 173) p 187	214 215
(A) Not right (Harijan (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th	942 p 173) p 187 p, 220	214 215 215
(A) Not right (Harijan (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th	942 p 173) p 187 p, 220 p 261/62	214 215 215 215 215
(A) Not right (Harijan (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th	042 p 173) p 187 p, 220 p 261/62	214 215 215 215 215 216
(A) Not right (Harijan (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV—ABOUT NON-VIOLEN	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE	942 p 173) p 187 p, 220 p 261/62 p 261	214 215 215 215 216 216
(A) Not right (Harijan (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV—ABOUT NON-VIOLEN	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th	942 p 173) p 187 p, 220 p 261/62 p 261	214 215 215 215 215 216
(A) Not right (B) What about Mushims? (C) To Mushim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV—ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (Hanjan	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE	H2 p 173) p 187 p 220 p 261/62 p 261 218	214 215 215 215 216 216
(A) Not right (Hanjan (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV—ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (Hanjan (B) Non-violent non-co-	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE	M2 p 173) p 187 p 261 p 261/62 p 261 218 942 p 116) p 167	214 215 215 215 216 3-29 218
(A) Not right (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV — ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (B) Non-violent non-co- operation (C) Consider the Muslim Consideration (C) Consideration (B) Figure 1 (C) Consideration	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE Apr 12th 1 May 24th	042 p 173) p 187 p 220 p 261/62 p 261 218 1942 p 116) p 167 p 167	214 215 215 215 216 216 3-29 218
(A) Not right (B) What about Mushims? (C) To Mushim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV—ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (Harijan (B) Non-violent non-co- operation (C) Scorched earth policy	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE Apr 12th 1 May 24th May 24th	042 p 173) p 187 p 220 p 261/62 p 261 218 1942 p 116) p 167 p 167 p 187	214 215 215 215 216 2-29 218 218 220
(A) Not 1ght (Hanjan (B) What about Mushims? (C) To Mushim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV — ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (Hanjan (B) Non-violent non-cooperation (C) Scorched earth policy (C) What would free India do? (D)	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE Apr 12th 1 May 24th May 24th June 14th	H2 p 173) p 187 p 287 p 251/62 p 261 942 p 116) p 167 p 167 p 187 p 187 p 201	214 215 215 215 216 3-29 218 218 220 221
(A) Not right (B) What about Mushims? (C) To Mushim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV—ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (Hanjan (B) Non-violent non-co- operation (C) Scorched earth policy (D) What would free India do? (E) A challenge	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE Apr 12th 1 May 24th May 24th June 14th June 28th	A12 p 173) p 187 p 187 p 220 p 261/62 p 261 218 942 p 116) p 167 p 167 p 187 p 201 p 201 p 217	214 215 215 215 216 2-29 218 218 220 221 222
(A) Not right (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV — ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (B) Non-violent non-co- operation (C) Scorched earth policy (D) What would free india do? (E) A challenge (F) Extract from Hanjan	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE Apr 12th 1 May 24th May 24th June 14th June 28th June 14th June 18th	A42 p 173 p 187 p 187 p 201 p 261 p 261 p 167 p 167 p 167 p 201 p 201 p 201 p 217 p 219	214 215 215 215 216 2-29 218 218 220 221 222 223
(A) Not right (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV — ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (B) Non-violent non-co- operation (C) Scorched earth policy (D) What would free India do? (E) A challenge (F) Extract from Harjiam (C) Guru Govind Singh	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE Apr 12th 1 May 24th May 24th June 14th June 28th July 12th July 12th	A42 p 173 p 187 p 187 p 201 p 261 p 261 p 167 p 167 p 167 p 201 p 201 p 201 p 217 p 219	214 215 215 215 216 3-29 218 218 220 221 222 223 223
(A) Not right (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV—ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (Hanjan (B) Non-violent non-cooperation (C) Scorched earth policy (D) What would free India do? (E) A challenge (F) Extract from Hanjan (G) Guru Govind Singh (H) The conflagration	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE May 24th May 24th June 14th June 12th	A42 p 173) p 187 p 187 p 220 p 261 218 942 p 116) p 167 p 167 p 167 p 167 p 167 p 167 p 201 p 201 p 219 p 229 p 229	214 215 215 215 216 3-29 218 220 221 222 223 223 224
(A) Not right (B) What about Muslims? (C) To Muslim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV — ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (B) Non-violent non-co- operation (C) Scorched earth policy (D) What would free India do? (E) A challenge (F) Extract from Harijan (G) Garu Govind Singh (H) The confligration (X) In case of illness	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th . 9th CE Apr 12th 1 May 24th June 14th June 18th July 12th July 12th . 12th . 19th	A42 p 173) p 187 p 261 p 261 218 1942 p 116) p 167 p 167 p 187 p 187 p 291 p 217 p 219 p 217 p 219 p 217 p 219 p 217 p 219 p 228 p 228 p 228	214 215 215 215 216 3-29 218 220 221 222 223 224 225
(A) Not 1ght (B) What about Mushims? (C) To Mushim correspondents (D) An appropriate question (E) Unseemly if true APPENDIX IV—ABOUT NON-VIOLEN (A) Expedience (Harijan (B) Non-violent non-cooperation (C) Scorched earth policy (D) What would free India do? (E) A challenge (F) Extract from Harijan (G) Guru Govind Singh (H) The conflagration (X) In case of illness (L) Fasting in non-violent action (L)	May 31st 19 June 14th July 12th Aug 9th 9th CE May 24th May 24th June 14th June 28th July 12th 12th 12th 12th 12th 12th 12th 26th	A42 p 173) p 187 p 187 p 261 p 261/62 p 261/62 p 167 p 167 p 167 p 167 p 167 p 187 p 201 p 219 p 219 p 229 p 248 p 248 p 248 p 248 p 197	214 215 215 215 216 3-29 218 220 221 222 223 224 225 225

(B) From Pt Nehru's speech at Tilak day celebrations	
	232
(C) From Pt Nehru's statement on the seized documents	
(Bombay Chronicle 5-8-42) 2	233
(D) From Pt. Nehrus speech at the A I C C meeting	
(Bombay Chronicle 8-8-42)	23.5
APPENDIX VI EXTRACTS FROM MAULANA A K. AZADS	
SPEECH at the A 1 C C Meeting, August 7th	
1942 (Bombay Chronicle 8-8-42) 236-	-40
APPENDIX VII EXTRACTS FROM SARDAR VALLABHBHAI .	
PATEL'S PUBLIC SPEECHES 240	-41
(A) From speech at Chaupati Bombay, on August 2 1942	
	240
	241
(C) From speech at the A I C C meeting 7th August, 1942	
(Bombay Chronicle 8-8-42)	241
APPENDIX VIII - EXTRACTS FROM DR RAJENDRA PRASADS	
SPEECH (Bombay Chronicle 2-8-42)	42
APPENDIX IX LETTER TO H E. THE VICEROY, OF	
August 14th, 1942 2	242
End Appendices	
4 10- 9-43 M K. Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the Govern-	
ment of India (H D) 2	243
5 20- 9-43 Sir Richard Tottenham to M K. Gandhi 2	243
6 14-10-43 Additional Secretary to the Government of	
India (H D) to M K Gandhi 2	243
7 26-10-43 M K. Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the	
Government of India (H D) 2	48
8 3-11-43 Additional Secretary to the Government of India (HD)	
	250
9 18-11-43 Additional Secretary to the Government of India (H D)	
to M. K. Gandhi 2	251

APPENDIX V - EXTRACTS FROM PT JAWAHARLAL'S

Inumalists Association at Allahabad (Bom Chronicle 1-8-42) 230

(A) Extracts from Pt Nehrus address to the

UTTERANCES 230-235

to M K. Gandhi 278

. VI CORRESPONDENCE ABOUT SMT KASTURBA GANDHI

80 12-3-43 Drs Gilder and S Nayyar to Col Bhandari	2
81 18 11-43 M K Gandhi to Secretary to the Government of	
Bombay	252
82. 27-1-44 M K Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the	
Government of India (H D) 253
83 27-1-44 M K. Gandhi to Secretary to the Government of	
Bombay (H D)	255
84 31-1-44 M K. Gandhi to Secretary to the Government of	
Bombay (H D)	255
85 31-1-44 Communication from the Government conveyed through	
the Superintendent of the Detention Cam	p 256
86 31-1-44 Gandhiji's reply to No 85	256
87 31-1-44 Drs Gilder and S Nayyar to Col Bhandari	256
88 3-2-44 M K Gandhi to Secretary to the Government of Bomba	y 257
89 3 2-44 Secretary to the Govt of Bombay to M K. Gandhi	258
90 11-2-44 M K. Gandhi to Government of Bombay	259
91 14 2-44 M K Gandhi to Inspector General of Prisons	259
92 16-2-44 M K. Gandhi to Inspector General of Prisons	260
93 18-2-44 M K. Gandhi to Inspector General of Prisons	262
94 22-2-44 M. K. Gandhi to Inspector General of Prisons	263
95 4- 3-44 M. K. Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the	200
Government of India (H, D) 264
96 21- 3-41 Additional Secy to the Government of India (H D)	
to M K. Gandi	
97 I. 4-44 M K Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the	11 200
Government of India (H D	1 269
(with appendices from A to H)	, 200
	274
99 2- 4-14 M K Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the	,.
Government of India (H D) 274
99A 20 3-44 M K. Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the	,
Government of India (H D) 275
100 30- 3-41 Additional Secretary to the Govt of India (H D)	
to M K. Gandl	1 276
101 13- 4-44 M K. Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the	
Government of India (H D) 278
102 29- 4-44 Additional Secretary to the Govt of India (H D)	

VII CORRESPONDENCE WITH GOVERNMENT ON. CUDIMATE MEDABEN'S LETTER TO CANDHILL

Ŧ

	ABOUT ORISSA	
103	Christmas Eve 42 Miraben to Lord Linlithgow (with 2 enclosures)	279
104	Enclosure (1) (Miraben's Report and questions from Orissa)	281
105	Enclosure (ii) (Gandhiji s Reply to Miraben dated 31-5-42)	283
106	26 2-44 M K. Gandhi with 3 enclosures to Secretary to	
	the Government of India	285
107	11-3-44 Additional Secretary to the Government of India	
	to M K. Gandhi	287
	VIII CORRESPONDENCE WITH H E THE VICEROY (LORD WAVELL)	

108 17-	2-44 M	K Gandhi to H E the Viceroy	288
109 25-	2-44 H	E the Viceroy to M K Gandhi	290
110 9-	3-44 M	K Gandhi to H E. the Viceroy	290
111 28-	3 44 H	E the Vicetoy to M K. Gandhi	298
112 9	4-44 M	K Gandhi to H E the Viceroy	301

IX MISCELLANEOUS

REGARDING AMENDMENT OF SALT CLAUSE

113 16 244 M K Gandhi to Hon Finance Member 304 114 25- 2-44 Hon Finance Member through Bombay Government to M K Gandhi 304

B

ABOUT TRANSFER

115 4- 3-44 M K. Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the Government of India 305

116 21- 4-44 M K. Gandhi to Additional Secretary to the Government of India 306

309

C INTERVIEWS DURING ILLNESS

117 3- 5-44 M. K. Gandhi to Secretary to the Government of Bombay 307 n

ABOUT ACQUISITION OF THE SITE OF SAMADHI

118. 6- 5-44 M K. Gandhi to Secretary to the Government of Bombay 307 119 7- 7-44 Secretary, Government of Bombay to M K. Gandhi 308

120 9- 7-44 M K. Gandhr to Secretary Government of Bombay

FOREWORD

I have read the introduction as also the originals. The introduction may be good enough for the hasty reader. But the publication is not designed for the hasty reader. It is designed for the serious worker who can affect the politics of his country and even the world affairs. To such my advice is that he must read the originals. The introduction may be used as such and an aid to memory. I want the readers I have in view to take me at my word. I have written as I felt at the moment as a seeker of long standing of Truth and Non-violence. I have written without reservation and without embellishment.

After my accidentally premature discharge from detention and convalescence I studied from reliable witnesses the happenings of the two years after the incarceration of principal Congressmen and myself I have heard nothing to modify the opinion expressed in my writings under review

I know firsthand what has happened since my discharge, in the various spheres of life. And I have found litter confirmation of what I have said in the following pages. Indeed, the whole of India is a vast prison. The Viceroy is the irresponsible superintendent of the prison with numerous jailers and warders under him. The four hundred millions of India are not the only prisoners. There are others similarly situated in the other parts of the earth under other superintendents.

A julier is as much a prisoner as his prisoner. There is no doubt a difference. From my point of view he is worse. If there is a Day of Judgment i.e. if there is a Judge whom we do not see but who nevertheless is much more truly than we exist for a brief moment the judgment will go hard against the julier and in favour of the prisoners.

Palace It refers to the incident of the manhandling of a fellow Satyagrahi prisoner on the way as the party were being brought from Bombay to Poona, and contains a request for the Sardar and his daughter being put with him, and for being supplied with newspapers. Other matters dealt with are restrictions on the nature and scope of permissible correspondence and the inordinate delay of over three weeks in the delivery of a condolence message which Gandhiji had sent to the wife and son of the late Shri Mahadev Desai on the latter's death. Government's replies which are very characteristic will be found in letters 2, 5 and 9

Of special interest is the admission in letter No. 12 that the District Magistrate of Ahmedabad who had been charged with conducting action against the Navajivan Press had misinterpreted orders that had been issued to him so that "all the old files of the Harijan since 1933" had "actually been destroyed"

In the month of November, 1942 when Prof Bhansalt was fasting in connection with Chimur happenings, Gandhiji sought Bombay Government's permission to establish direct telephonic contact with him to dissuade him from the fast if he found it to be morally unjustified. The permission was refused (Letters Nos 13-16)

11

This section is the correspondence with Lord Linlithgow and the Government of India about the August disturbances and in connection with Gandhiji's fast of February, 1943

The first letter to Lord Linlithgow, dated 14th August, 1942, is a reply to the Government's communique on the August Resolution of the Congress and the subsequent action taken by the Government thereon. The special interest of this letter written by Gandhiji five days after his arrest. Hes in the fact that it contained a most categorical denial of the charge that the Congress had contemplated violence at any stage.

The non-violent policy of the Congress was reiterated by him with even greater emphasis in a letter which he wrote to the Government of India a few weeks later (letter No 19). The letter to the Viceroy referred to the readiness of the Congress to identify India with the Allied cause and its offer loyally to accept any national government that might be formed by the Muslim League. It ended by pleading for a reconsideration of the Government of India's whole policy. A fact to be noted in this connection is that, although the Government continued to accuse the Congress of encouraging violence and to use it to justify their repression policy, they neither published these letters till their hands were forced by Gandhuj's fast nor took any action on them

On New Year's Eve, after an interval of over four months Gandhiji re-opened correspondence with Lord Linlithgow by addressing him a personal letter Gandhiji in his letters pointed out that

- 1 It was not the passing of the 'Quit India' resolution but the hasty action of the Government that had precipitated the crisis He'had openly declared that he intended asking an interview with the Viceroy to explore avenues for a settlement. The Government should have waited at least till he had written to the Viceroy, especially as civil disobedience was not to be started unless the negotiations broke down
- 2 The aim of the 'Quit India' resolution was to bring about conditions under which India could effectively participate in the war effort of the Allies
 - 3 The Congress had made no preparations 'dangerous' or other beforehand The only person, namely Gandhiji, who had been authorized to start civil disobedience in the name of the Congress in a certain contingency, was arrested before he could do so/or even issue any instructions

4 Whilst he continued to be as confirmed a believer in non-violence as he ever was, he could not condemn alleged nopular violence on the basis of heavily censored newspaper reports and one-sided government statements which had often proved to be incorrect in the past

The Government's stand as set forth in Lord Linlithgow's

letters was that

(a) Gandhiu had "expected" his policy to lead to violence, that he was ' prepared to condone it", that there was "ample evidence' to show that the violence that ensued was planned beforehand by the Congress leaders, and therefore Congress and especially Gandhin could not disown responsibility for the consequences that followed from the adoption of 'Out India' policy

(b) The only basis for negotiations with Gandhiit

could be

1 repudiation by him of, and disassociation from, the resolution of 8th of August and the policy which that resolution represented.

ii appropriate assurances as regards the future Against this Gandhiii contended that it was for the Government to prove their charges against him and the

Congress by producing proofs "which should correspond to the canons of English jurisprudence"

Although he had a right to demand a judicial trial before an impartial tribunal he was prepared to waive that demand, but should at least have a personal interview with the Viceroy or some one who knew the Government's mind and could carry conviction might be sent to him, so that, if convinced of his error, he could make ample amends. If, on the other hand, it was desired that he should act on behalf of the Congress he should be put among the members of the Congress Working Committee for consultation and necessary action

The Government refused to consider either request and Gandhiji decided to undertake a twentyone days' fast.

On being intimated of Gandhiji's decision the Government offered to release him for "the purpose and duration" of the fast.

Gandhiji replied saying that the fast was not contemplated to be taken as a free man. He had no desire to be released under false pretences. He was quite content to fast as a prisoner or detenu. This letter was not published by the Government at the time and Gandhiji's position was distorted in their press communique to mean that Gandhiji wanted to fast in order to secure his release anyhow!

Gandhiji's last letter to Lord Linlithgow was by way of a final appeal addressed to the conscience of the retiring Viceroy to bring home to him the wrong of "having countenanced untruth with regard to one whom he once regarded as his friend". Lord Linlithgow's reply showed that the appeal had fallen absolutely flat so far as he was concerned

ш

The ten items (39-48) included in this section describe how Gandhiji was treated during the fast. Facilities like receiving visits from friends and relatives during the fast and having nurses and medical advisers of his own choice were permitted by the Government. But grace and goodwill were singularly lacking in Government's subsequent behaviour. Gandhiji had to write again and again seeking clarification of the position with regard to the operation of these facilities. Some of the orders seemed to be deliberately calculated to prevent full use being made of the facilities provided. For instance, when, because of his growing weakness during the fast, he asked for being allowed to carry on conversation with the visitors by proxy, the permission was refused (Item No. 43).

The first letter in this series which Gandhiji caused to be written soon after the commencement of his fast contains an answer to some of the charges brought against him in the Government's press communique Extracts from Gandhiji's own utterances before his arrest are quoted and chapter and verse given to show that such expressions as "open rebellion", "short and swift", "fight to the finish", occurring in Gandhiji's writings and utterances of which much had been made in the Government's communique, were used in an entirely non-violent context it is further shown that the injunction "D6 or Die" which had been cited by the Government as a proof that the struggle was not meant to be non-violent was actually intended by him to serve as a badge to distinguish every soldier of non-violence from other elements. They were to win freedom for India or die in the attempt to achieve it non-violentify.

The attempts to calumniate Gandhiji and the Congress continued On the 15th of February the Home Member made a speech in the Assembly repeating the accusations mentioned already and some more it bristled with inaccuracies and misrepresentations Gandhiji read the speech after the fast and replied in a long letter written on the 15th of May, 1943 (item No 51) In it he pointed out the various errors and misrepresentations in which the Home Member had indulged

The Home Member, instead of either substantiating or retracting his charges, replied that as there was a "fundamental difference" in their outlook there was not any use in discussing the various points raised in Gandhiji's letter!

Gandhiji's contention that the "fundamental difference" between them should be no bar to an 'admission and correction of discovered errors" remained unanswered

In response to an invitation by Mr Jinnah in a public speech to write to him, Gandhiji addressed him a letter on

4th May, 1943, suggesting a visit from him and a personal discussion with a determination to find a solution of the communal problem, or if that was not possible, to write to him on the subject Government refused to forward this letter but sent Gandhiji a copy of the press communique which they proposed to issue and which contained a misleading gist of the letter

Gandhiji wrote to the Government protesting against this procedure He suggested some alterations in the press communique (letter No 58) and requested that the correspondence between him and the Government on the subject might be released to the Press. The Government declined to concede either request

After the fast, Gandhiji read in the Hindu a report of Lord Samuel's speech in the House of Lords containing a number of grossly unjust strictures against himself and the Congress Gandhiji replied in a long letter giving a categorical refutation of all the charges

In pursuance of their policy to allow no chance to imprisoned Congressmen to answer or refute false propaganda that was being made behind their back, the Government refused to forward this letter to Lord Samuel Gandhiji protested that Government's decision in the present case amounted to a "ban on the ordinary right belonging even to a convict of correcting damaging misrepresentations". But his protest went unheeded

In the months of June and July all kinds of rumours appeared in the press to the effect that Gandhiji had written to the Government withdrawing the August Resolution Gandhiji asked the Government to contradict these reports as he had neither the desire nor the authority to withdraw the resolution. This request like the previous ones was turned down

After the commencement of Gandhius fast the Government of India published an indictment of the Congress and Gandhui entitled Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances Gandhin sent a long reply to it on the 15th of July Extracts from his writings had been torn from their context and a sinister meaning put upon them by presenting them in a false setting Gandhin in his reply restored them to their proper context and elucidated the true meaning Considerable space had to be devoted to an analysis of the technique of deliberate misquotation distortion innuendo suppressio teri and suggestio falsi employed by the writer of the pamphlet.

A flagrant case of misquotation is held up in para 34 where the famous words attributed to Gandhin " There is no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiation There is no question of one more chance. After all it is an open rebellion are shown to be 'partly a distortion and partly an interpolation not to be found in the authentic published report of the Wardha interview. Not satisfied with giving a wrong quotation when the correct text was before him the writer had tacked on to it two more apocryphal sentences from an unauthentic Associated Press report and quoted them without asterisks between sentences that appear apart in the Associated Press report!

Confronted by this damaging disclosure the Government instead of making amende honorable sought to brazen it out by disbelieving Gandhiji s version and even impugning his good faith Unfortunately for them the Statesman of 16th July 1942 (Mofussil edition) has the portion of the Wardha interview in question in the following form

Later answering questions on the resolution at a press interview

at Sevagram Mr Gandhi said

There is no room left in the proposal for withdrawal for nego t ations either they recognize India's independence or they don't This version which is also by the A P I completely bears out Gandhius statement and refutes the Government's

should further be noted that the sentences "There is no question of one more chance After all it is an open rebellion" are not to be found in the Statesman's report

Paras 12 to 16 refute the charge that Gandhiji had asked for the physical withdrawal of the British from India What he had asked for was the withdrawal of British power, not of individual Englishmen He had even agreed to the use of India as a base for military operations against Japan

Charges against the Congress and Gandhiji of being defeatists and pro-Japanese are dealt with in paras 18 to 40 Far from being "convinced that Axis would win the war", he had proclaimed the contrary belief from the housetop (Paras 19, 21 and 25) Paras 30 and 31 contain a refutation of the statement that his opposition to the Government's scorched earth policy was actuated by a sordid or pro-Japanese solicitude for industrial prosperity Finally, it is shown that the statement that he was "even prepired to concede to their (Japanese) demands' is wholly at variance with known facts and that, indeed, the boot is on the other leg! (paras 22 and 32)

Paras 45 to 63 contain a detailed reply to the accusation that either he or the Congress had planned or precipitated a conflict or sanctioned or shown a readmess to condone violence. The education given by the Congress to the people had been wholly non-violent. In the past whenever outbreaks had occurred the most energetic measures had been taken by the whole of the Congress organization to deal with them. On several occasions he had himself resorted to fasting (para 52). He had even said that if Congressmen indulged in an orgy of violence they might not find him alive in their midst (para 66). The exhortation to every Congressmen to consider himself free to act for himself* under

Since much has been made of this portion of the August Resolution in the Government publication it may be mentioned here that there is nothing extraordinary about it An identical decision was taken by the

certain circumstances and the use of military terms in connection with the contemplated struggle was wholly innocent and apt when coupled with the condition of nonviolence (paras 48 and 49)

To support his calumny the author of the pamphlet had dismissed every reference to non-violence in the forecasts of the form the movement would take and in the post arrests programme and instructions as "valueless" or as mere "lio service" This was on a par with the omission of 'nots' from the Commandments and quoting them in support of stealing, murder etc. (para 46) In robbing Gandhui of the one thing he lived by and lived for, the author of the pamphlet had robbed him of all he possessed

The use of the expression "Do or Die" to which the Government reverted later in their correspondence (letter No. 76) had already been dealt with in letters. No. 49 and

Working Committee in Feb 1931 when Gandhi-Irwin talks threatened to break down Subsequent events however rendered the publication of that resolution unnecessary. Here is the description of it by Pandit Nebru in his autobiography

So far, the practice had been for each Acting President to nominate his successor in case of arrest, and also to fill by nomination the vacancies in the Working Committee The substitute Working Committees hardly functioned and had little authority to take the initiative in any matter They could only go to prison There was always a risk however that this continuous process of substitution might place the Congress in a false position. There were obvious dangers to it The Working Committee in Delhi therefore, decided that in future there should be no nominations of Acting Presidents or substitute members. So long as any members (or member) of the original Committee were out of earl they would function as the full Committee When all of them were in prison, then there would be no Committee functioning, but, we said rather grandiloquently, the powers of the Working Committee would then vest in each man and woman in the country and we called upon them to carry on the struggle uncompromisingly'

[Jawaharlal Nehru - An Autobiography - John Lane The Bodley Head, June 1942 edition Chapter XXXIV - The Delhi Pact - page 2561

51 Similarly the anonymous last message attributed to Gandhiji (Appendix X of the Indictment) was already covered by his general denial that he had issued no instructions whatever (para 46) This so-called last message as a matter of fact is only an assortment of pointers from Gandhiji s All India. Congress Committee speeches on the 7th and 8th of August, 1942 as recapitulated by the present writer to groups of Congress workers who came to Birla House on the morning of 9th of August 1942 and recorded by some of them 1

Gandhiji left unanswered Chapters IV and V of the indictment which dealt with the nature of the disturbances etc as he could not properly do so on the strength of one-sided statements and unauthenticated documents. The necessit of this caution will be apparent from the case of Shri Krishnan Nair whose case was cited in the Indictment in proof of Congress responsibility for the disturbances following upon the arrests of prominent Congressmen He was prosecuted for complicity in violence. The following interpellations in the Central Legislative Assembly in this connection will be found illuminating

Mr Qaiyum in a quistion about Krishnan Nait a Delhi Con gress worker asked whether in view of his acquittal by the Lahore High Court what amends Government proposed to make to him for the statement made in the pamphlet Congress Responsibility that he was sentenced to two years intorious impressument.

The Home Member said that Government d d not propose to take any action in the matter it was open to Mr. Nair to take any action to which he was entitled under the law

Sudar Sant Singh asked if the Home Member was prepared to

withdraw the statement made in the pamphlet

The Home Memb r If there is a demand for another edition

I shall make a correction (Laughter)

Mr Abdul Oasyum Will the Hon Member 1884s, a correction

Mr Abdul Qaiyum Will the Hon Member issue a correction slip as in the case of the Income Tax Manual? (More laighter) (Hindustan Times Nov. 21, 1941)

Shri Krishnan Nair is still in detention under the Defence of India Rules thus showing that the quashing of the conviction does not help him so far as his discharge is concerned The question of responsibility for the disturbances is dealt with in paras 67 to 73. The argument briefly is as follows

Government had themselves admitted in the pamphlet "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances. 1942-43" that on the 9th there were sporadic "disturbances" in Bombay On the 9th and 10th there were sporadic 'disturbances' in some of the other big cities as well These were confined to peaceful demonstrations and processions It was towards the middle of August that the situation really became serious The sequence given in the Government pamphlet thus proves Gandhui's contention that it was the Government's initial action in the form of the leaders' arrests en masse and subsequent heavy repression of peaceful demonstrations that goaded the people to the point of madness. The loss of selfcontrol did not imply Congress complicity It implied that there were limits to human endurance. As for the Congress it had set no special stage for a mass movement in pursuance of Gandhiji's proposal for British withdrawal The sole charge for starting it was vested in Gandhin and he had taken no action nor issued any instructions as he contemplated negotiations with the Government Congress activity up to the night of 8th August 1942, was thus confined to resolutions only The dawn of 9th saw the Congress imprisoned What followed was therefore the direct result of the Government action The attempt ' to paralyze the administration " on non-acceptance of the Congress demand only proved the genumeness of the demand "It sets the seal on its genuineness by Congressmen preparing to die in the attempt to paralyze an administration that thwarts their will to fight the combine against democracy ' (Para 43)

The Government had frustrated the aspiration of India at every step Out of this frustration was born the cry of 'Quit India' which gave body to the freedom movement The Government instead of appreciating their impatience to play their part in the world crisis distributed those who

were associated with it By putting them in prison and obstructing constructive activity they themselves became the greatest obstruction in war effort

He asked therefore that the case against him and his colleagues should be withdrawn. He also requested the

Government to publish his reply

To this the Government replied on the 14th of October that the document had been published for the information of the public and not to convince Gandhiji! His request for publication of his reply was met with a refusal and a veiled threat held out that they reserved to themselves the freedom 'to use at any time and in any manner which they might think fit 'the various' admissions "contained in the communication which Gandhiji had "voluntarily addressed" to them!

His request to be allowed to see the members of the Working Committee was turned down on the plea that there was no indication that the views of the members of the

Working Committee differed from his own

Gandhiji in his rejoinder asked that the charges brought against him and the counter-charges against the Government might be referred to an impartual tribunal if the Government considered that it was his influence which corrupted people, they could keep him in prison and discharge the rest of the Congressmen

This letter along with Gandhiji s letters to Sir Reginald Maxwell and Lord Samuel (Nos 51, 53 and 62) the reader must read in full

VI

Items 80-103 included in this section cover Shrimati Kasturba's protracted illness which started soon after her arrest in 1942 and ended in her death in detention on 22nd of February, 1944 Facilities for seeing her near relatives and getting nursing and medical aid were obtained after protracted correspondence, and in almost every case the relief when came, came too late

On her death the request for her body being handed over to her sons and relatives was turned down and the cremation had to take place on the premises of the Aga Khan Palace

In March 1944 Mr Butler made a statement in the House of Commons which gave a highly incorrect and misleading version of the events relating to Shrimati Kasturba's illness and death Gandhiji protested against it but Government refused to make amends An appeal to Lord Wavell equally failed to bring any redress and the final letter from the Government of India (tiem No. 103) only added insult to miury

VII

In the months of November and December a series of facsimiles of cartoons and statements of a grossly libellous character were reproduced from the British press some Indian newspapers They were particularly directed against Gandhiii who was shown as a pro-Japanese Ouisling while Shrimati Miraben was depicted as his tool and emissary Shrimati Miraben protested against it in a letter to Lord Linlitheow dated the Christmas Eve. 1942. enclosing copies of relevant correspondence which she had with Gandhiji while she was in Orissa in the early summer of 1942 These showed that at a time when the Government were issuing instructions for the evacuation of civil authority from the eastern coastal area in Orissa, Gandhiji was trying to organize a total non-violent non-cooperation with, and a last ditch resistance to, the prospective Japanece invaders. She asked for publication of her letter of protest and the correspondence with Gandhin This letter was not even acknowledged

In February 1944 a reference was made to this correspondence in the Legislative Assembly The Home Member defended the Government's position by saying that the publication of the correspondence would not help the Congress case because the Government had not charged it with being pro-Japaness! The fact that the correspondence provided documentary proof against the charge of "defeatism" and readiness to "concede to the demands" of the Japanese that had been levelled against the Congress was conveniently forgotten

Gandhiji contended that the publication was necessary in view of the libellous propaganda against her referred to in Shrimati Miraben's letter to Lord Linlithgow It was rrelevant whether the publication would help the Congress case or not Blut the Government refused to budge

VIII

On the arrival of the present Viceroy Gandhiji made a fresh attempt to end the political deadlock and secure justice for himself and the Congress which he had failed to obtain at the hands of the previous Viceroy. He invited him "to make a descent" upon Ahmadnagar and the Aga Khan Palace in order to probe the hearts' of his captives whom he would find "to be the greatest helpers in the fight against Nazism, Fascism and Japanism and the like". As for the suggestion about the withdrawal of August Resolution, he pleaded that a resolution jointly undertaken could be honourably, conscientiously and properly withdrawn only after joint discussion and deliberation.

Lord Wavell's reply gave an unmistakable indication that the political issue was intended to be kept in cold storage and that the previous Viceroy's policy was to continue

ıх

The final section is of a miscellaneous character. The matters dealt with include the proposed amendment of the salt clause in the Gandhi-Irwin Agreement a request for being transferred to a regular prison where the expenses entailed in his detention would be less, conditions of interviews during his illness in detention and the acquisition of the site of the Samadhi of Shrimati Kasturba and Shri Mahadev Desai

[GANDHIII S PREFATORY COVERING LETTER]

' Sunder Bun " Gandhigram, Juhu, 10th June, 1944

DEAR FRIEND.

I send you herewith in two volumes copies of correspondence between the Government of India or the Bombay Government and myself during my incarceration in the palace of H H the Aga Khan in Yerayada

The second volume is a copy of my reply to the Government of India pamphlet entitled "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances, 1942-43" The first contains copies of correspondence arising out of the above mentioned reply and on miscellaneous matters of public importance

I had the copies cyclostyled with the help of kind friends For fear of censorship difficulties, I did not try to have the copies printed at any printing press. But lest the Government of India may think that there is anything in the correspondence objectionable from militay standpoint I am circulating for private use only the copies among friends who, I think, should know the nature of the correspondence that took place between the two Governments and me. You are free to show your copy to any friends you like, subject to the precaution that applies to you.

You will confer on me a favour if you will take the trouble of letting me have your reaction upon the correspondence especially upon the points arising from my reply to Government of India pamphlet. I have endeavoured to answer every item of importance in the Government indictment I should like to know the points, if any, which require elucidation.

Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

CORRESPONDENCE WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF BOMBAY

1

10th August, 1942

DEAR SIR ROGER LUMLEY,

After the train that carried me and other fellow prisoners reached Chinchwad on Sunday, some of us were ordered to alight Shrimati Sarojini Devi, Shrimati Mirabai, Shri Mahadev Desai and I were directed to get into a car There were two lornes lined up alongside the car I have no doubt that the reservation of the car for us was done out of delicate considerations. I must own too that the officers in charge performed their task with tact and courtesy.

Nevertheless I felt deeply humiliated when the other fellow prisoners were ordered to occupy the two lornes I realize that all could not be carried in motion cars. I have been before now carried in prison vans. And this time too we should have been carried with our comrades. In relating this incident my object is to inform the Government that in the altered conditions and the altered state of my mid. I can no longer accept special privileges which litheria I have accepted though reluctantly. I propose this time to accept no privileges and comforts which comrades may no receive, except for the special food so long as the Government allow it for my bodily need.

There is another matter to y his attention I have told my people

is not courting imprisonment that we must prepare for much higher sacrifice and so those who choose may peace fully resist arrest So a young man who was in the party offered such resistance. He was therefore hauled to the prison van This was ugly enough. But it was a painful sight when an impatient English sergeant rough handled him and shoved him into the lorry as if he was a log of wood. In my opinion the sergeant deserves correction The struggle has become bitter enough without such scenes.

This temporary jail is commodious enough to take in all who were arrested with me Among them are Sardar Patel and his daughter. She is his nurse and cool i have great anxiety about the Sardar who never got over the intestinal collapse which he had during his last incarceration. Ever since his release I have been personally regulating his diet etc. I request that both he and his daughter be plated with me And so should the other prisoners though not on the same imperative grounds as are applicable in the case of the Sardar and his daughter. I submit that it is not right to separate co workers arrested for the same cause unless they are dangerous criminals.

I have been told by the Superintendent that I am not to be supplied with newspapers Now I was given by one of my fellow prisoners on the train a copy of the Sunday edition of the Evening News It contains the Government of India's resolution in justification of their policy in dealing with this trisis It contains some grossly incorrect statements which I ought to be allowed to correct. This and similar things I cannot do unless I know what is going on outside the jul

May I expect an early decision on the points raised herein?

I am
Yours sincerely
M K GANDHI

2

No S D V/-2 3 Home Department, (Political) Bombay Castle, 14th August, 1942

From

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Home Department

Τo

M K Gandhi, Esquire, The Aga Khan's Palace, Yerayda

SIR.

With reference to your letter dated 10th instant addressed to His Excellency the Governor, I am directed to say that no change in the conditions of your detention is at present contemplated, and that therefore your request for the detention in His Highness, the Aga Khan's Palace of Mr Vallabbbhai Patel and his daughter cannot be acceded to, and that it is not at present the intention to supply you with newspapers

Your obedient servant,

J M Sladen

Secretary to the Government of Bombay,

Home Department

3

Rules about the writing and receiving of letters by security prisoners Communicated by the Superintendent on 26 8-42 (3-30 pm)

Security prisoners permitted to send and receive letters from members of their families only

The contents of letters to be limited strictly to personal and domestic matters

The letters should contain nothing that is likely to disclose where they are being detained and that when writing to their families they should ask the letters sent to them

should be addressed "C/o the Secretary to the Government of Bombay (H.D.)"

It has been decided to permit Mr M K Gandhi to select such newspapers as he would like to see, including past issues since his arrest, up to the reasonable maximum A list of newspapers should be obtained from him and forwarded to Government tummediately

4

To

The Secretary to the Bombay Government (H D)
DEAR SIR.

With reference to the Government orders about the writing of letters by the security prisoners, it seems that the Government do not know that for over thirtyfive years. I have ceased to live family life and have been living, what has been called. Ashram life in association with persons who have more or less shared my views Of these Mahadev Desai, whom I have just lost, was an associate beyond compare His wife and only son have lived with me for years sharing the Ashram life If I cannot write to the widow and her son or the other members of the deceased's family living in the Ashram. I can have no interest in writing to any one else Nor can I be confined to writing about personal and domestic matters. If I am permitted to write at all. I must give instructions about many matters that I had entrusted to the deceased. These have no connection with politics which are the least part of my activities I am directing the affairs of the A I S A and kindred associations Sevagrain Ashram itself has many activities of a social, educational and humanitarian character. I should be able to receive letters about these activities and write about them There is the Andrews Memorial Fund There is a large sum. Iving at my disposal I should be able to give instructions about its disposal. To this end I must be in correspondence with the people at Shantiniketan Pyarelal

Nayar who was co-secretary with Mahadev Desai, and whose company as also that of my wife was offered to me at the time of my arrest, has not yet been sent I have asked the I G P about his whereabouts I can get no information about him, nor about Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel who was under my care for the control of his intestinal trouble If I may not correspond with them about their health and welfare, again the permission granted can have no meaning for me

I hope that even if the Government cannot extend the facilities for correspondence in terms of this letter, they will

appreciate my difficulty Detention Camp, 27-8-'42

I am, yours etc. M K GANDHI

N S D V/- 1011 Home Department (Political)

Bombay Castle, 22nd September, '42 From

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Home Department

To M K Gandhi, Esquire,

SIR. In reply to your letter dated the 27th August 1942, I am directed to request you to furnish me with a list of the inmates of the Sevagram Ashram with whom you wish to correspond on personal and domestic matters only In regard to your further request that you should be allowed to write and receive letters on certain matters other than those of a purely personal and domestic nature, I am to inform you of the decision of Government that it would not be in accordance with the purposes of your confinement to allow such an extension of the scope of your correspondence.

> Your obedient servant, -I M SLADEN

Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Home Department

Б

The Secretary, Government of Bombay,

(H D Political), Bombay

SIR

With reference to your letter of 22nd September I beg
to say that I cannot exercise the privilege extended by the
Government since I may not refer in my letters even to nonpolitical matters mentioned in my letter of 27th August 1942

Detention Camp I am Yours etc.

25-9-'42

M K GANDHI

, (

CHIMANLAL,

Ashram, Sevagram, Wardha

Mahadev died suddenly Gave no indication Slept well last night Had breakfast Walked with me Sushila, juil doctors did all they could but God had willed otherwise Sushila and I bathed body Body lying peacefully covered with flowers incense burning Sushila and I rectting Gita Mahadev has died yogis and partitor's death Tell Durga, Babla and Sushila no sorrow allowed Only joy over such noble death Cremation taking place front of me Shall keep ashes Advise Durga remain Ashram but she may go to her people if she must Hope Babla will be brave and prepare himself full Mahadev solace worthly Love

15-8- 42

BAPU

The Secretary.

Home Department Bombay Government Bombay SIR.

Khan Bahadur Kateley kindly handed me yesterday the letters written by late Shri Mahadev Desai's wife and son At the time of handing me the letters, Khan Bahadur told me that he has to explain to me the delay caused in sending my 'letter' He could however give no explanation Imissed

ጽ

even a formal expression of regret for the inordinate delay. There appears to have been in the Bombay Secretariat a disregard of the feelings of a bereaved wife and a bereaved son.

From these letters I eather that what was on the face of it a telegram, and was handed to the I. G. P. with the request that it should go as an express telegraphic message, was posted as a letter. I should like to be informed why the telegraphic message was posted as a letter. May I remind the Government that I am without any reply to my letter of 27-8-'42? The widow and her son are instances in point. They cannot but be comforted to receive letters from my wife and me But under the prohibitory orders we may not write to them.

Detention Camp. 19th September, '42 I am. Yours etc.. * M K GANDHI (Security prisoner)

Q

From The Secretary to the Government of Bombay. Home Department

No. S. D. V. 1084. Home Department (Political). Bombay Castle, ' 24th September, 1942

To

M. K. GANDHI, Esquire SIR. '

regret to the widow for the delay.

With reference to your letter dated the 19th instant. I am directed to state that the delay in the delivery of your message to the widow of the late Mr. Mahadev Desai was due to a misunderstanding which is regretted. As has already appeared in the press, the Government of India has expressed As regards the further point mentioned in your letter concerning your correspondence, I am to invite reference to my letter No S D V 1011 dated the 22nd September, 1942

Your obedient servant
J M SLADEN
Secretary to the Government of Bombay
Home Denartment

D 10

The Secretary, Bombay Government, (Home Department) Bombay

SIR,

I beg to enclose herewith a cutting from the Bombay Chronicle dated 24th instant I shall be obliged if I am told whether the fear expressed by the writer of the note in question is justified and if it is, to what extent.

Detention Camp, 26-10-'42 I am, Yours etc.
-M K GANDHI

11

Enclosure to No 10

Bombay Chronicle October 28, 1942-Page 4
GOVT AND THE NAVALIVAN PRESS

To The Editor of the Chronicle"

In order to stop the publication of the Harison and allied weekles of Mihatma Gandhi the Government raided and took possession of the Navajivan Press with all its publications etc but chose to return after some time the publications etc. Piecemeal and imperfect reports of the raid secure and return have appeared in the press from time to time. It is necessary therefore, to put before the public a short account of the whole series of events.

After the arrest of Gandhiji and now the late Sjr Mahadev Desai, on the 9th August 1942 the Hanjan was being published under the editorship of Sjr Kishorelal Mashruwala

After the publication of one issue the police raided on the 21st August, 1942, the "Navajivan" Press and seized composed forms and galleys and alos some printed copies of the Harijan number which was to be published on the 23rd August, along with the whole press and paraphernalia. On the same night and the next day they removed essential parts of the printing machine and carried away copies of all numbers, old and new of the Harijan and its allied weeklies as well as all the bound volumes of their files beginning from 1933 up to 1942. Even the library, some manuscripts files of common periodicals the typewriter, the cyclostyle and kerosene tims were taken away. All the buildings of the publication department and the book-binding department as well as the godown of printing papers were sealed.

In view of Gandhijis public statement in the Henjam of 19.7-42 to the effect that he has instructed the Manager to close down all the weeklies as soon as orders for their closure were served by the Government, the Manager would have carried out the instructions fully but the Government chose to act as they did In the original order of secure there was nothing to warrant sensure of all our publications library etc., but the Government sealed all departments and put the whole precincts under police and military protection

This went on for about a month Suddenly on the 25th of September 1942 the City Magistrate inquired as to the Manager and called him in his presence He was informed verbally that everything except the press the printing papers and Hanjan files was to be returned. The next day, therefore, the seals were broken and the publications were handed over 4th the same time all blank printing papers types and other press furniture were stacked like grass on motor lotties and removed. They wanted to return the press machine but they refused to return the essential parts of the machinery that they had removed The Manager was told to accept what was being given. He was further informed that if he did not accept it as it was the sentnes would be removed and then he would be responsible for the machine. The Manager in charge said "The machine cannot work without its important parts. Why should I then accept it in its dismantled condition?"

The City Magistrate then removed the sentries and put upon the door of the building a notice to the effect that the building was no more in the possession of the Government The City Magistrate thereafter sent by registered post the keys of the building to the Manager in charge of the Press, but he refused to accept them

Thus the "Navajivan" Karyalaya has got back its publications, its office library etc., but the quite unworkable and dismantled press is still jung in the building and the "Navajivan" Karyalaya is not in possession of it Printing papers worth about Rs 50 000/, the types, some important manuscripts and ketosene tims a typewriter, a cyclostyle, an electric fan and all the tiles of the Harjian from start to finish have also not been returned Not only that, a local daily published in its issue dated 28-9-42 that the files have all been destroyed Till now the Government has allowed the report to go uncontradicted

In the words of the Bombay Chronicle we refuse to believe that any government could be guilty of such vandalism. It will be good for the authorities concerned to issue a statement on the subject

" Navajivan " Karyalaya, Ahmedabad, 20th October, 1942 Yours etc. KARIMBHAI VOHRA

12

No. S D III/- 2613 Home Department (Political), Bombay Castle,5th November, 1942

From

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay,

Home Department

To

M. K Gandhi, Esquire,

SIR.

I am directed to inform you in reply to your letter to me dated October 26th that the Government instructed the

10

District Magistrate, Ahmedabad, to destroy all objectionable literature seized from the Navajivan Mudranalaya such as old copies of the Harijan newspaper, books, leaflers and other niscellaneous papers and to return other articles that were not objectionable to the owners

I have ascertained from the District Magistrate that he interpreted the orders as covering all the old files of the Havian since 1933, and these old files have actually been

destroyed

Your obedient servant,
J M SLADEN
Secretary to the Government of Bombay,
Home Department

E 13

EXPRESS

Secretary Home Department

Bombay Government

Professor Bhansalı one time fellow, Elphinstone College, left college 1920 and joined Ashram Sabarmati. He is reported by daily press to be fasting without water near Sevagram Ashram Wardha over alleged Chimur excesses. Would like establish direct telegraphic contact with him through Superintendent for ascertaining cause fasting his condition. I would like to dissuade him if I find his fast morally unjustified. I make this request for humanity's sake

14

24-11-'42

GANDHI

The Inspector General of Prisons Bombay Presidency

Sir,

About 8-45 a m yesterday I sent you the text of an express telegram to the Secretary Bombay Government, Home Department, about Professor Bhansalı who is reported to be fasting As the Professor seems to have been fasting

since 11th instant according to the report in the Hindu of Madras and since last Wednesday according to the Bombay Chronicle I am naturally filled with anxiety Time in such cases is the greatest factor I shall therefore be obliged if you could convey by telephone or wire my request to the Bombay Government for an urgent reply in regard to my wire I am etc.

25-11-'42

M K GANDHI

15

No. S. D. VI/-2891 Home Department (Political),

From

Rombay Castle, 30 November, 1942 The Additional Secretary to the Government of Bombay. Home Department

M K GANDHI, Esquire,

SIR. I am directed to refer to your telegraphic message dated the 24th instant, regarding the fast of Professor Bhansali

In reply I am to state that Government is unable to sanction your request to be allowed to communicate with him If, however, you desire to advise him, for humanitarian

reasons, to abandon this fast, this Government will make arrangements to communicate your advice to him

Your obedient servant Sd/-

Additional Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Home Department 16 -

> Detention Camp, 4th December, '42

SIR.

I beg to acknowledge your letter of 30th ultimo received by me yesterday afternoon (3rd instant) I note with deep regret that my telegraphic message with regard to a dear co-worker, whose life seems to be in jeopardy, should have been answered by a letter which reached me ten days after the despatch of my message!

I am sorry for the Government rejection of my request As I believe in the legitimacy and even necessity of fasting under given circumstances, I am unable to advise abandonment of Prof Bhansalt's fast, unless I know that he has no justifying teason for it. If the newspaper report is to be believed, there seems to be legitimate ground for his fast and I must be content to lose my friend, if I must.

Additional Secretary to the Government of Bombay, (H D) I am, etc., M K GANDHI

II

CORRESPONDENCE WITH LORD LINLITHGOW

THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

17

The Aga Khan's Palace, Yeravda, 14-8-'42

DEAR LORD LINLITHGOW,

The Government of India were wrong in precipitating the crisis. The Government resolution justifying the step is full of distortions and misrepresentations. That you had the approval of your Indian "colleagues' can have no significance, except this that in India you can always command such services. That cooperation is an additional justification for the demand of withdrawal irrespective of what people and parties may say

The Government of India should have waited at least till the time that I inaugurated mass action I had publicly stated that I fully contemplated sending you a letter before taking concrete action It was to be an appeal to you for an impartial examination of the Congress case As you

know the Congress has readily filled in every omission that has been discovered in the conception of its demand So would I have dealt with every deficiency if you had given me the opportunity. The precipitate action of the Government leads one to think that they were afraid that the extreme caution and gradualness with which the Congress was moving towards direct action might make world opinion veer round to the Congress as it had already begun doing and expose the hollowness of grounds for the Government rejection of the Congress demand. They should surely have waited for an authentic report of my speeches of Friday and on Saturday night after the passing of the resolution by the A. I. C. C. You would have found in them that I would not hastily begin action. You should have taken advantage of the interval foreshadowed in them and explored every possibility of satisfying the Congress demand.

The resolution says The Government of India have waited patiently in the hope that wiser counsels might prevail They have been disappointed in that hope I suppose 'wiser counsels here mean abandonment of its demand by the Congress Why should the abandonment of a demand legitimate at all times be hoped for by a government pledged to guarantee independence to India? Is it a challenge that could only be met by immediate repression instead of patient reasoning with the demanding party? I venture to suggest that it is a long draft upon the credulity of mankind to say that the acceptance of the demand 'would plunge India into confusion. Aniway the summary rejection of the demand has plunged the nation and the Government into confusion. The Congress was making every effort to identify India with the allied cause

The Government resolution says The Governor-General in-Council has been aware too for some time past of dangerous preparations by the Congress party for unlawful and in some cases violent activities, directed among other things to the interruption of communications and public utility services, the organization of strikes, tampering with the loyalty of Government servants and interference with defence measures including recruitment" This is a gross distortion of the reality. Violence was never contemplated at any stage A definition of what could be included in non-violent action has been interpreted in a sinister and subtle manner as if the Congress was preparing for violent action Everything was openly discussed among Congress circles, for nothing was to be done secretly And why is it tampering with your loyalty if I ask you to give up a job that is harming the British people? Instead of publishing behind the backs of principal Congressmen the misleading paragraph, the Government of India, immediately they came to know of "the preparations", should have brought to book the parties concerned with the preparations That would have been an appropriate course By their unsupported allegations in the resolution, they have laid themselves open to the charge of unfair dealing

The whole Congress movement was intended to evoke in the people the measure of sacrifice sufficient to compel attention. It was intended to demonstrate what measure of popular support it had. Was it wise at this time of the day to seek to suppress a popular movement avowedly non-violent?

The Government resolution further says, "The Congress is not India's mouthpiece Yet in the interests of securing their own dominance and in pursuit of their totalitarian policy, its leaders have consistently impeded the efforts made to bring India to full nationhood." It is a gross libel thus to accuse the oldest national organization of India This language lies ill in the mouth of a Government which has, as can be proved from public records consistently thwarted every national effort for attaining freedom, and sought to suppress the Congress by hook or by crool.

The Government of India have not condescended to consider the Congress offer that if simultaneously with the declaration of independence of India, they could not trust the Congress to form a stable provisional government, they should ask the Muslim League to do so and that any national government formed by the League world be loyally accepted by the Congress Such an offer is hardly consistent with the charte of totalbartanism against the Congress

Let me examine the Government offer "It is that as soon as hostilities cease, India shall devise for herself, with full freedom of decision and on a basis embracing all and not only a single party, the form of government which she regards as most suited to her conditions" Has this offer any reality about it? All parties have not agreed now Will it be any more possible after the war, if the parties have to act before independence is in their hands? Parties grow up like mushrooms, for without proving their representative character, the Government will welcome them as they have done in the past, if the parties oppose the Congress and its activities, though they may do lip homage to independence Frustration is inherent in the Government offer Hence the logical cry of withdrawal first. Only after the end of the British power and fundamental change in the political status of India from bondage to freedom, will the formation of a truly representative government, whether provisional or permanent, be possible. The living burial of the authors of the demand has not resolved the deadlock. It has aggravated it

Then the resolution proceeds, "The suggestion put forward by the Congress party that the millions of India uncertain as to the future are ready, despite the sad lessons of so many matryr countries, to throw themselves into the arms of the invaders, is one that the Government of India cannot accept as a true representation of the feeling of the people of this great country" I do not know about the millions But I can give my own evidence in support of the

Congress statement It is open to the Government not to believe the Congress evidence No imperial power likes to be told that it is in peril It is because the Congress is anxious for Great Britain to avoid the fate that has overtaken other imperial powers that it asks her to shed imperials mountarily by declaring India independent The Congress has not approached the movement with any but the friendliest motive. The Congress seeks to kill imperialism as much for the sake of the British people and humanity as for India. Notwithstanding assertions to the contrary, I maintain that the Congress has no interest of its own apart from that of the whole of India and the world.

The following passage from the peroration in the resolution is interesting "But on them (the Government) there hes the task of defending India, of maintaining India's capacity to wage war, of safeguarding India's interests, of holding the balance between the different sections of her people without fear or favour." All I can say is that it is a mockery of truth after the experience in Malaya, Singapore and Burma It is sad to find the Government of India claiming to hold the "balance" between the parties for whose creation and existence it is itself demonstrably responsible

One thing more The declared cause is common between the Government of India and us To put it in the most concrete terms, it is the protection of the freedom of China and Russia The Government of India think that freedom of India is not necessary for winning the cause I think exactly the opposite I have taken Jawaharlal Nehru as my measuring rod His personal contacts make him feel much more the misery of the impending ruin of China and Russia than I can, and may I say than even you can In that misery he tried to forget his old quarriel with imperialism He dreads much more than I do the success of Naissia and Fascism I argued with him for days together. He fought against my position with a passion which I have no words to describe

But the logic of facts overwhelmed him. He yielded when he saw clearly that without the freedom of India that of the other two was in great jeopardy. Surely you are wrong in having imprisoned such a powerful friend and ally

If notwithstanding the common cause, the Government's amount of the Congress demand is hasty repression, they will not wonder if I draw the inference that it was not so much the Allied cause that weighed with the British Government, as the unexpressed determination to cling to the possession of India as an indispensable part of imperial policy. This determination led to the rejection of the Congress demand and precipitated repression.

The present mutual slaughter on a scale never before known to history is suffocating enough. But the slaughter of truth accompanying the butchery and enforced by the falsity of which the resolution is reeking adds strength to the Congress position.

It causes me deep pain to have to send you this letter But however much I dislike your action, I remain the same friend you have known me I would still plead for a reconsideration of the Government of India's whole policy. Do not disregard this pleading of one who claims to be sincere friend of the British people

Heaven guide you!

I am, Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

18

The Viceroy's House, New Delhi, 22nd August, 1942

DEAR MR GANDHI,

Thank you very much for your letter dated the 14th August, which reached me only a day or two ago

August, which reached me only a day or two ago
I have read, I need not say, what You have been good
enough to say in your letter with very close attention, and

I have given full weight to your views But I fear in the result that it would not be possible for me either to accept the criticisms which you advance of the resolution' of the Governor-General-in-Council, or your request that the whole policy of the Government of India should be reconsidered Yours sincerely.

M K. GANDHI, Esq.

LINLITHGOW

19 •

Secretary,

Government of India (H D)

New Delhi

Sir,

In spite of the chorus of approval sung by the Indian . Councillors and others, of the present government policy in dealing with the Congress, I venture to assert that had the Government but awaited at least my contemplated letter to H E the Viceroy and the result thereafter, no calamity would have overtaken the country The reported deplorable destruction would have most certainly been avoided

In spite of all that has been said to the contrary I claim that the Congress policy still remains unequivocally non-violent The wholesale arrests of the Congress leaders seemed to have made the people wild with rage to the point of losing self-control. I feel that the Government, not the Congress, are responsible for the destruction that has taken place. The only right course for the Government seems to me to be to release the Congress leaders, to withdraw all repressive measures and explore ways and means of concultation. Surely the Government have ample resources to deal with any overt act of violence. Repression can only breed discontent and bitterness.

Since I am permitted to receive newspapers, I feel that I owe it to the Government to give my reaction to the sad happenings in the country. If the Government think that as a prisoner I have no right to address such communica-

tions, they have but to say so and I will not repeat the mistake

I am, Yours etc. M K GANDHI

Detention Camp,
13th February, 1913

DEAR SIR,

23-9-42

Gandhiji in glancing through today's papers has noticed the following which has appeared as foot-note to annexire III of the published correspondence between H E the Viceroy and himself. "A formal acknowledgement was sent to this letter." He directs me to say that he never received any such acknowledgement and that he would like his repudiation of the statement in question to be published.

Sir Richard Tottenham, Home Department, Government of India

New Dellii

Communicated by the Superintendent Camp on 3.4.43

"Will you please inform Mr Gandhi with reference to the letter of 13th February, written on his behalf by Mr Pyarelal that his letter dated 23-9-42 to the Secretary to the Government of India, (H D) was acknowledged by a message through the officer I/C of the camp and Government considers that a message conveyed in this manner is as formal as a written communication"

21

22

PERSONAL

Detention Camp, New Your's Eve. 1942

Yours truly.

PYARFLAL.

DEAR LORD LINLITHGOW,

This is a very personal letter Contrary to the Biblical injunction I have allowed many suns to set on a quarrel I

have harboured against you. But I must not allow the old year to expire without disburdening myself of what is rankling in my breast against you. I had thought we were friends and should still love to think so. However what has happened since the 9th of August last makes me wonder whether you still regard me as a friend. I have perhaps not come in such close touch with any occupant of your gadias with you.

Your arrest of me, the communique you issued thereather, your reply to Rajaji and the reasons given therefor, Mr. Amery's attack on me, and much else I can catalogue go to show that at some stage or other you must have suspected my bona fides. Mention of other Congressmen in the same connection is by the way. I seem to be the fons et origo of all the evil imputed to the Congress. If I have not ceased to be your friend why did you not, before taking drastic action, send for me, tell me of your suspicions and make yourself sure of your facts?

I am quit capable of seeing myself as others see me. But in this case I have failed hopelessly. I find that all the statements made about me in Government quarters in this connection contain palpable departures from truth.

I have so much fallen from grace that I could not establish contact with a dying friend. I mean Prof. Bhansali who is fasting in regard to the Chimur affair !!!

And I am expected to condemn the so-called violence of some people reputed to be Congressmen, although I have no data for such condemnation save the heavily censored reports of newspapers. I must own that I thoroughly distrust those reports. I could write much more, but I must not lengthen my tale of woe. I am sure, what I have said is enough to enable you to fill in details.

You know I returned to India from South Africa at the end of 1914 with a mission which came to me in 1906, namely, to spread truth and non-violence among mankind in the place of violence and falsehood in all walks of life The law of Satyagraha knows no defeat Prison is one of the many ways of spreading the message But it has its limits You have placed me in a palace where every reasonable creature comfort is ensured I have freely partaken of the latter purely as a matter of duty, never as a pleasure, in the hope that some day those who have the power will realize that they have wronged innocent men I had given myself six months The period is drawing to a close So is my patience The law of Satyagraha, as I know it prescribes a remedy in such moments of trial. In a sentence it is, 'Crucify the flesh by fasting' That same law forbids its use except as a last resort I do not want to use it if I can avoid it.

This is a way to avoid it Convince me of my error or a errors, and I shall make ample amends You can send for me or send someone who knows your mind and can carry conviction There are many other ways if you have the will

May I expect an early reply?

May the New Year bring peace to us all I

I am, Your sincere friend, M K GANDHI

23

PERSONAL

The Viceroy's House, New Delhi, 13th Jan, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI,

Thank you for your personal letter of December 31st, which I have just received I fully accept its personal character, and I welcome its frankness And my reply will be, as you would wish it to be, as frank and as entirely personal as your letter itself

I was glad to have your letter, for, to be as open with you as our previous relations justify, I have been profoundly depressed during recent months first by the policy that was adopted by the Congress in August, secondly, because while that policy gave rise, as it was obvious it must, throughout the country to violence and crime (I say nothing of the risks to India from outside aggression) no word of condemnation for that violence and crime should have come from you, or from the Working Committee When you were first at Poona I knew that you were not receiving newspapers, and I accepted that as explaining your silence When arrangements were made that you and the Working Committee should have such newspapers as you desired I felt certain that the details those newspapers contained of what was happening would shock and distress you as much as it has us all, and that you would be anxious to make your condemnation of it categorical and widely known. But that was not the case, and it has been a real disappointment to me, all the more when I think of these murders, the burning alive of police officials, the wrecking of trains, the destruction of property. the misleading of these young students, which has done so much harm to India's good name, and to the Congress Party You may take it from me that the newspaper accounts you mention are well founded - I only wish they were not, for the story is a bad one I well know the immense weight of your great authority in the Congress movement and with the Party and those who follow its lead, and I wish I could feel, again speaking very frankly, that a heavy responsibility did not rest on you (And unhappily, while the initial responsibility rests with the leaders, others have to bear the consequences, whether as law breakers, with the results that that involves, or as the victims)

But if I am right in reading your letter to mean that in the light of what has happened you wish now to retrace your steps and dissociate yourself from the policy of last summer, you have only to let me know and I will at once consider the matter further And if I have failed to understand your object, you must not hesitate to let me know without delay in what respect I have done so, and tell me what

positive suggestion you wish to put to me You know me well enough after these many years to believe that I shall be only too concerned to read with the same close attention as ever any message which I receive from you, to give it the fullest weight and approach it with the deepest anxiety to understand your feelings and your motives

Yours sincerely, LINLITHGOW

24

PERSONAL.

Detention Camp 19-1-'43

DEAR LORD LINLITHGOW.

I received your kind letter of 13th instant yesterday

at 2-30 P M I had almost despaired of ever hearing from you Please excuse my impatience

Your letter gladdens me to find that I have not lost caste with you

My letter of 31st December was a growl against you Yours is a counter-growl It means that you maintain that you were right in atresting me and you were sorry for the omissions of which, in your opinion, I was guilty

The inference you draw from my letter is, I am afraid, not correct I have reread my letter in the light of your interpretation but have failed to find your meaning in it I wanted to fast and should still want to if nothing comes out of our correspondence and I have to be a helpless witness to what is going on in the country including, the privations of the millions owing to the universal scarcity stalking the land

If I do not accept your interpretation of my letter you want me to make a positive suggestion This I might be able to do, only if you put me among the members of the Working Committee of the Congress

If I could be convinced of my error or worse of which you are evidently, I should need to consult nobody, so far

as my own action is concerned, to make a full and open confession and make ample amends But I have, not any conviction of error I wonder if you saw my letter to the Secretary to the Government of India, (H D) of 23rd September, 1942 I adhere to what I have said in it and in my letter to you of 14th August, 1942

Of course I deplore the happenings that have taken place since 9th August last. But have I not laid the whole blame for them at the door of the Government of India? Moreover I could not express any opinion on events which I cannot influence or control and of which I have but a one-sided account. You are bound prima facie to accept the accuracy of reports that may be placed before you by your departmental heads. But you will not expect me to do so Such reports have, before now, often proved fallible It was for that reason that in my letter of 31st December I pleaded with you to convince me of the correctness of the information on which your conviction was based. You will perhaps appreciate my fundamental difficulty in making the statement you have expected me to make

This however, I can say from the housetop, that I am as confirmed a believer in non-violence as I have ever been You may not know that any violence on the part of Congress workers I have condemned openly and unequivocally I have even done public penance more than once I must not weary you with examples The point I wish to make is that on every such occasion I was a free man

This time the retracing as I have submitted, lies with the Government You will forgive me for expressing in opinion challenging yours I am certain that nothing but good would have resulted if you had stayed your hand and granted me the interview which I had announced, on the night of the 8th August, I was to seek. But that was not to be

Here, may I remind you that the Government of Ind.a have before now owned their mistakes, as for instance

the Punjab when the late General Dyer was condemned in the U P when a corner of a mosque in Cawippore was restored and in Bengal when the Partition was annulled All these things were done in spite of great and previous mob violence

To sum up

(1) If you want me to act singly, convince me that I was wrong and I will make ample amends

(2) If you want me to make any proposal on behalf of the Congress you should put me among the Congress Working Committee members

I do plead with you to make up your mind to end the impasse

If I am obscure or have not answered your letter fully, please point out the omissions and I shall make an attempt to give you satisfaction

I have no mental reservation

I find that my letters to you are sent through the Government of Bombay This procedure must involve some loss of time As time is of the essence in this matter, perhaps you will issue instructions that my letters to you may be sent directly by the Superintendent of this Camp

I am,

Your sincere friend, M K GANDHI

25

PERSONAL.

The Viceroy's House, New Delhi, 25th Jan 1943

CEAR MR GANDHI,

Many thanks for your personal letter of the 19th January, which I have just received, and which I need not say I have read with close care and attention But I am still I fear rather in the dark I made clear to you in my last letter that, however reluctantly, the course of events, and my familiarity with what has been taking place, has left me

no choice but to regard the Congress movement, and you as its authorized and fully empowered spokesman at the time of the decision of last August, as responsible for the sad campaign of violence and crime, and revolutionary activity which has done so much harm, and so much mury to India's credit, since last August I note what you say about non-violence I am very glad to read your uncquivocal condemnation of violence, and I am well aware of the importance which you have given to that article of your creed in the past. But the events of these last months, and even the events that are happening today, show that it has not met with the full support of certain at any rate of your followers, and the mere fact that they may have fallen short of an ideal which you have advocated is no answer to the relations of those who have lost their lives, and to those themselves who have lost their property or suffered severe injury as a result of violent activities on the part of Congress and its supporters And I cannot I fear accept as an answer your suggestion that 'the whole blame' has been laid by you yourself at the door of the Government of India We are dealing with facts in this matter, and they have to be faced And while as I made clear in my last letter. I am very anxious to have from you anything that you may have to say or any specific proposition that you may have to make. the position remains that it is not the Government of India. but Congress and yourself that are on their justification in this matter

If therefore you are anxious to inform me that you repudiate or dissociate yourself from the resolution of the 9th August and the policy which that resolution represents, and if you can give me appropriate assurances as regards the future, I shall, I need not say, be very ready to consider the matter further It is of course very necessary to be clear on that point, and you will not, I know, take it amist that I should make that clear in the plainest possible words

I will ask the Governor of Bombay to arrange that any communication from you should be sent through him which will I trust reduce delay in its transmission

Yours sincerely

26

Detention Camp 29th January 1943

DEAR LORD LINLITHGOW

M K GANDHI Eso

I must thank you warmly for your prompt reply to my

I wish I could agree with you that your letter is clear I am sure you do not wish to imply by clearness simply that you hold a particular opinion strongly. I have pleaded and would continue to plead till the last breath that you should at least make an attempt to convince me of the validity of the opinion you hold that the August resolution of the Congress is responsible for the popular violence that broke out on the 9th August last and after even though it broke out after the wholesale arrests of principal Congress workers. Was not the drastic and unwarranted action of the Government responsible for the reported violence? You have not even said what part of the August resolution is had or offensive in your opinion That resolution is in no way a retraction by the Congress of its policy of non violence It is definitely against Fascism in every shape or form It tenders cooperation in war effort under circumstances which alone can make effective and nation wide cooperation possible

Is all this open to reproach?

Objection may be raised to that clause of the resolution which contemplated civil disobedience. But that by itself cannot constitute an objection since the principle of civil disobedience is impliedly conceded in what is known as the

not to be started before knowing the result of the meeting for which I was to seek from you an appointment

Then, take the unproved and in my opinion unprovable charges hurled against the Congress and me by so responsible a Minister as the Secretary of State for India

Surely I can say with safety that it is for the Government to justify their action by solid evidence, not by mere tose dixit

But you throw in my face the facts of murders by persons reputed to be Congressmen I see the fact of the murders as clearly, I hope, as you do My answer is that the Government goaded the people to the point of madness They started leonine violence in the shape of the arrests already referred to That violence is not any the less so, because it is organized on a scale so gigantic that it displaces the Mosaic law of tooth for tooth by that of ten thousand for one—not to mention the corollary of the Mosaic law, I e, of non-resistance as enunciated by Jesus Christ I cannot interpret in any other manner the repressive measures of the all-powerful Government of India

Add to this tale of woe the privations of the poor millions due to India-wide scarcity which I cannot help thinking might have been largely mitigated, if not altogether prevented, had there been a bona fide national government responsible to a popularly elected assembly

If then I cannot get soothing balm for my pain, I must resort to the law prescribed for Satyagrahis, namely, a fast according to capacity I must commence after the early morning breakfast of the 9th February, a fast for twentyone days ending on the morning of the 2nd March Usually, during my fasts, I take water with the addition of salts But nowadays, my system refuses water. This time therefore I propose to add juices of citrus fruits to make water drinkable For, my wish is not to fast unto death, but to survive

the ordeal, if God so wills The fast can be ended sooner by the Government giving the needed relief

I am not marking this letter personal, as I did the two previous ones. They were in no way confidential. They were mere personal appeal.

I am,
Your sincere friend
M K GANDHI

P S

The following was inadvertently omitted —The Government have evidently ignored or overlooked the very material fact that the Congress, by its August resolution, asked nothing for itself All its demands were for the whole people As you should be aware, the Congress was willing and prepared for the Government inviting Qaid-i-Azam Jinnah to form a national government subject to such agreed adjustments as may be necessary for the duration of the war, such Government being responsible to a duly elected assembly Being isolated from the Working Committee, except Shrimati Sarojini Devi I do not know its present mind But the Committee is not likely to have changed its mind

M K GANDHI

27 .

The Viceroy's House, New Delhi, 5th February, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI,

Many thanks for your letter of 29th January which I have just received I have read it, as always, with great care and with every anxiety to follow your mind and to do full justice to your argument But I fear that my view of the responsibility of Congress and of yourself personally for the lamentable disorders of last autumn remains unchanged

In my last letter I said that my knowledge of the facts left me no choice but to regard the Congress movement, and you as its authorized and fully empowered leader at the time of the decision of last August, as responsible for the campaign of violence and crime that subsequently broke out. In reply you have resterated your request that I should attempt to convince you that my opinion is correct. I would readily have responded earlier to that request were it not that your letters gave no indication, such as I should have been entitled to expect, that you sought the information with an open mind In each of them you have expressed profound distrust of the published reports of the recent happenings, although in your last letter, on the basis of the same information, you have not hesitated to lay the whole blame for them on the Government of India In the same letter you have stated that I cannot expect you to accept the accuracy of the official reports on which I rely It is not therefore clear to me how you expect or even desire me to convine you of anything But in fact, the Government of India have never made any secret of their reasons for holding the Congress and its leaders responsible for the deplorable acts of violence. sabotage and terrorism that have occurred since the Congress resolution of the 8th August declared a "mass struggle' in support of its demands, appointed you as its leader and authorized all Congressmen to act for themselves in the event of interference with the leadership of the movement A body which passes a resolution in such terms is hardly entitled to disclaim responsibility for any events that follow it There is evidence that you and your friends expected this policy to lead to violence, and that you were prepared to condone it, and that the violence that ensued formed part of a concerted plan, concerved long before the arrest of Congress leaders. The general nature of the case against the Congress has been publicly stated by the Home Member irthis speech in the Central Legislative Assembly on the 15th September last, and if you need further information I would refer you to it I enclose a complete copy in case the press versions that you must have seen were not sufficient I need only add that all the mass of evidence that has come to light has confirmed the conclusions then reached I have ample information that the campaign of sabotage has been conducted under secret instructions, circulated in the name of the A I C C, that well-known congressmen have organized and freely taken part in acts of violence and murder, and that even now an underground Congress organization exists in which, among others, the wife of a member of the Congress Working Committee plays a prominent part, and which is actively engaged in planning the homb outrages and other acts of terrorism that have disgusted the whole country. If we do not act on all this information or make it publicly known it is because the time is not yet rine, but you may rest assured that the charges against the Congress will have to be met sooner or later and it will then be for you and your colleagues to clear yourselves before the world if you can And if in the meanwhile you yourself, by any action such as you now appear to be contemplating attempt to find an easy way out, the judgment will go against you by default

I have read with surprise your statement that the principle of civil disobedience is implicitly conceded in the Delhi Settlement of the 5th March 1931 which you refer to as the "Gandhi-Irwin Pact." I have again looked at the document Its basis was that civil disobedience would be 'effectively discontinued" and that certain "reciprocal action" would be taken by Government It was inherent in such a document that it should take notice of the existence of civil disobedience But I can find nothing in it to suggest that civil disobedience was recognized as being in any circumstances legitimate. And I cannot make it too plain that it is not so regarded by my Government.

To accept the point of view which you put forward would be to concede that the authorized government of

the country, on which lies the responsibility for maintaining peace and good order, should allow subversive and revolutionary movements described by you yourself as open rebellion to take place unchallenged that they should allow preparations for violence, for the interruptions of communications, for attacks on innocent persons, for the murder of police officers and others to proceed unchecked My Government and I are open indeed to the charge that we should have taken drastic action at an earlier stage against you and against the Congress leaders But my anxiety and that of my Government has throughout been to give you and to give the Congress organization every possible opportunity to withdraw from the position which you have decided to take up Your statements of last June and July, the original resolution of the Working Committee of the 14th July, and your declaration on the same day that there was no room left for negotiation, and that after all it was an open rebellion are all of them grave and significant, even without your final exhortation to "do or die' But with a patience that was perhaps misplaced, it was decided to wait until the resolution of the All India Congress Committee made it clear that there could be no further toleration of the Congress attitude if Government was to discharge its responsibility to the people of India

Let me in conclusion say how greatly I regret, having regard to your health and age, the decision that you tell me that you now have in your mind to take I hope and pray that wiser counsels may yet prevail with you But the decision whether or not to undertake a fast with its attendent risks is clearly one that must be taken by you alone and the responsibility for which and for its consequences must rest on you alone I trust sincerely that in the light of what I have said you may think better of your resolution and I would welcome a decision on your part to think better of it, not only because of my own natural reluctance to see

you wilfully risk your life, but because I regard the use of a fast for political purposes as a form of political blackmail (himsa) for which there can be no moral justification, and understood from your own previous writings that this was also your view

M K GANDHI, ESQ

Yours sincerely, LINLITHGOW

28

The Viceroy's House, New Delhi, 5th February, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI,

In your letter of 29th January to H E you mentioned that you were not marking that letter personal like your two earlier letters, but that those two earlier letters were in no way confidential, and were a mere personal appeal As you would no doubt have expected H E had hitherto attached to the word 'personal' its normal conventional meaning, and had accordingly given the same marking to his replies He assumes in the light of what you say that you would have no objection to his publishing these letters with his replies to them despite the fact of their personal marking Perhaps you would be so kind as to let me know

M K. GANDHI. ESO

Yours sincerely, G LAITHWAITE

29

Detention Camp 7th February, 1943

DEAR SIR GILBERT.

I was delighted to see your signature after such a lapse of time When I said that the two personal letters were not confidential I certainly meant what you say But I meant also that though they were not confidential on my part, if His Excellency wanted to treat them as such, being personal, he was free to do so, and therefore equally free to regard his

two replies also as such In that case he could have the four letters withheld from publication So far as I am concerned my request of course is that the whole correspondence beginning with my letter of 14th August last, and including my letter to the Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, should be published

Yours sincerely M K GANDHI

30

Detention Camp, 7-2-'43

DEAR LORD LINLITHGOW

I have to thank you for your long reply dated 5th instant to my letter of 29th January last

I would take your last point first, namely, the contemplated fast which begins on 9th instant Your letter, from a Satyagrafu's stand-point is an invitation to fast No doubt the responsibility for the step and its consequences will be soley mine You have allowed an expression to slip from your pen for which I was unprepared in the concluding sentence of the second paragraph you describe the step as an attempt "to find an easy way out." That you, as a firend, can impute such a base and cowardly motive to me passes comprehension You have also described it as "a form of political blackmail", and you quote my previous writings on the subject against me I abide by my writings I hold that there is nothing inconsistent in them with the contemplated step I wonder whether you have yourself read those writings

I do claim that I approached you with an open mind when I asked you to convince me of my error A "profound distrust" of the published reports is in no way inconsistent with my having an open mind

You say that there is evidence that I (I leave my friends out for the moment) "expected this policy to lead

to violence", that I was prepared to condone it", and that "the violence that ensued formed part of a concerted plan conceived long before the arrest of Congress leaders' I have seen no evidence in support of such a serious charge You admit that part of the evidence has yet to be published The speech of the Home Member, of which you have favoured me with a copy, may be taken as the opening speech of the prosecution counsel and nothing more It contains unsupported imputations against Congressmen Of course he has described the violent outburst in graphic language. But he has not said why it took place when it did I have suggested why it did You have condemned men and women before trying them and hearing their defence Surely there was nothing wrong in my asking you to show me the evidence on which you hold them guilty. What you say in your letter carries no conviction Proof should correspond to the canons of English jurisprudence

If the wife of a member of the Working Committee is actively engaged in "planning the bomb outrages and other acts of terrorism' she should be tried before a court of law and punished if found guilty. The lady you refer to could only have done the things attributed to her after the wholesale arrests of 9th August last which I have dared to describe

as leonine violence

You say that the time is not yet ripe to publish the charges against the Congress Have you ever thought of the possibility of their being found baseless when they are put before an impartial tribunal, or that some of the condemned persons might have died in the meanwhile, or that some of the evidence that the living can produce might become unavailable?

I retterate the statement that the principle of civil disobedience is implicitly conceded in the settlement of 5th March 1931, arrived at between the then Viceroy on behalf of the Government of India and myself on behalf of the Congress I hope you know that the principal Congressmen were discharged before that settlement was even thought of Certain reparations were made to Congressmen under that settlement Civil disobedience was discontinued only on conditions being fulfilled by the Government That by itself was, in my opinion, an acknowledgement of its legitimacy, of course under given circumstances. It therefore seems somewhat strange to find you maintain that civil disobedience "cannot be recognized as being in any circumstances legitimate by your Government." You ignore the practice of the British Government which has recognized its legitimacy under the name of "passive resistance"

Lastly you read into my letters a meaning which is wholly inconsistent with my declaration, in one of them, of adherence to unadulterated non-violence For, you say in your letter under reply, that "acceptance of my point of view would be to concede that the authorized Government of the country on which lies the responsibility for maintaining peace and good order, should allow movements to take place that would admit preparations for violence, interruptions of communications, for attacks on innocent persons, for murders of police officers and others, to proceed unchecked" I must be a strange friend of yours whom you believe to be capable of asking for recognition of such things as lawful

I have not attempted an exhaustive reply to the views and statements attributed to me This is not the place nor the time for such reply I have only picked out those things which in my opinion demanded an immediate answer You have left me no loophole for escaping the ordeal I have set before for myself I begin it on 9th instant with the clearest possible conscience Despite your description of it as "a form of political blackmail', it is on my part meant to be an appeal to the Highest Tribunal for justice which I have failed to secure from you If I do not survive the ordeal I shall go to the Judgment Seat with the fullest faith in my innocence

Posterity will judge between you as representative of an allpowerful Government and me as a humble man who had tried to serve his country and humanity through it

My last letter was written against time and therefore a material paragraph went in as post-script I now send herewith a fair copy typed by Pyarelal who has taken Mahadev Desai s place You will find the post-script paragraph restored to the place where it should have been

I am, Your sincere friend, M K GANDHI

Detention Camp, 29th January, 1943

DEAR LORD LINLITHGOW,

Encl (1)

~~

I must thank you warmly for your prompt reply to my letter of 19th instant

31

I wish I could agree with you that your letter is clear I am sure you do not wish to imply by clearness simply that you hold a particular opinion strongly. I have pleaded and would continue to plead till the last breath that you should at least make an attempt to convince me of the validity of the opinion you hold that the August resolution of the Congress is responsible for the popular violence that broke out on the 9th August last and after even though it broke out after the wholesale arrests of principal Congress workers. Was not the drastic and unwarranted action of the Government responsible for the reported violence? You have not even said what part of the August resolution is bad or offensive in your opinion That resolution is in no way a retraction by the Congress of its policy of non-violence It is definitely against Fascism in every shape or form It tenders cooperation in war effort under circumstances which alone can make effective and nation-wide cooperation possible

The Government have evidently ignored or overlooked the very material fact that the Congress, by its August resolution, asked nothing for itself All its demands were for the whole people As you should be aware, the Congress was willing and prepared for the Government inviting Qaid-i-Azam Jinnah to form a national government subject to such agreed adjustments as may be necessary for the duration of the war, such government being responsible to a duly elected assembly Being isolated from the Working Committee, except Shrimati Sarojim Devi, I do not know its present mind But the Committee is not likely to have changed its mind

Is all this open to reproach?

Objection may be raised to that clause of the resolution which contemplated civil disobedience. But that by itself cannot constitute an objection since the principle of civil disobedience is impliedly conceded in what is known as the 'Gandhi-Irwin Pact'. Even that civil disobedience was not to be started before knowing the result of the meeting for which I was to seek from you an appointment

Then take the unproved and in my opinion unprovable charges hurled against the Congress and me by so responsible a Minister as the Secretary of State for India

Surely I can say with safety that it is for the Government to justify their action by solid evidence, not by mere time distil

But you throw in my face the facts of murders by persons reputed to be Congressmen I see the fact of the murders as clearly, I hope, as you do My answer is that the Government goaded the people to the point of madness They started leonine violence in the shape of the arrests already referred to That violence is not any the less so because it is organized on a scale so gigantic that it displaces the Mosaic law of tooth for tooth by that of ten Ynousand for one—not to mention the corollary of the Mosaic law, 1 e, of non-resistance as enunciated by

Jesus Christ I cannot interpret in any other manner the repressive measures of the all-powerful Government of India

Add to this tale of woe the privations of the poor millions due to India-wide scarcity which I cannot help thinking might have been largely mitigated, if not altogether prevented, had there been a bona fide national government

responsible to a popularly elected assembly

If then I cannot get soothing balm for my pain, I must resort to the law prescribed for Satyagrahis, namely, a fast according to capacity I must commence after the early morning breakfast of the 9th February, a fast for twentyone days ending on the morning of the 2nd March Usually, during my fasts, I take water with the addition of salts But nowadays, my system refuses water This time therefore I propose to add juices of citrus fruits to make water drinkable For, my wish is not to fast unto death but to survive the ordeal, if God so wills The fast can be ended sooner by the Government giving the needed relief

I am not marking this letter personal, as I did the two previous ones. They were in no way confidential. They

were mere personal appeal

I am

Your sincere friend, M K GANDHI

32

[Received by Post]

CONFIDENTIAL

Home Department, New Delhi

7th February, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI,

The Government of India have been informed by His Excellency the Viceroy of your intention as communicated to him of undertaking a fast for 21 days in certain circumstances. They have carefully considered the position, and the

conclusions they have reached in the light of such consideration are set out in the statement of which a copy is enclosed, which they propose, in the event of your maintaining your present intention, to release in due course to the press

2 The Government of India, as you will see from their statement, would be very reluctant to see you fast, and I am instructed to inform you that, as the statement makes clear, they would propose that, should you persist in your intention, you will be set at liberty for the purpose, and for the duration, of your fast as from the time of its commencement During the period of your fast there will be no objection to your proceeding where you wish, though the Government of India trust that you will be able to arrange for your accommodation away from the Aga Khan's Palace

3 Should you for any reason find yourself unable to take advantage of these arrangements, a decision which the Government of India would greatly regret, they will of course suitably amend the statement of which a copy is now enclosed before it issues. But they wish to repeat, with all earnestness, their anxiety and their hope that the considerations which have carried so much weight with them will equally carry weight with you, and that you will not pursue your present tentative proposal. In that event no occasion will of course arise for the issue of any statement of any kind.

Yours Sincerely.

R TOTTENHAM

P S February 8th

In view of the urgency of the matter the text of this letter was telegraphed to the Governor's Secretary yesterday for communication to you to-day

33

Advance copy of the proposed Government communique STATEMENT

Mr Gandhi has informed His Excellency the Viceroy that he proposes to undertake a fast of three weeks' dura-

tion from the 9th February. It is to be a fast according to canacity, and during it Mr Gandhi proposes to add juices of citrus fruits to water to make water drinkable, as his wish is not to fast to death, but to survive the ordeal. The Government of India deplore the use of the weapon of fast to achieve political ends. There can, in their judgment be no justification for it, and Mr Gandhi has himself admitted in the past that it contains an element of coercion. The Government of India can only express their regret that Mr. Gandhi should think it necessary to employ such a weapon on this occasion, and should seek justification for it in anything which the Government may have said or done in connection with a movement initiated by him and his coworkers in the Congress Party The Government of India have no intention on their part of allowing the fast to deflect them from their policy, nor will they be responsible for its consequences on Mr Gandhi's health. They cannot prevent Mr Gandhi from fasting If he decides to do so. he must do so at his own risk and under his own arrangements They have accordingly decided to release him for the purpose and duration of the fast, and any members of his party living with him who may wish to accompany him

The Government of India propose to issue in due course a full statement on the origin and development of the movement which was initiated in August last and the measures which Government have been compelled to adopt to deal with it. But they think this is a suitable occasion for a brief review of the events of the last few months

Mr Gandhi, in his correspondence with the Viceroy, has repudiated all responsibility for the consequences which have flowed from the "Quit India" demand, which he and the Congress party have put forward. This contention will not hear examination.

Mr Gandhi's own statement, before the movement was launched envisaged anarchy as an alternative to the existing order and referred to the struggle as "a fight to the finish in the course of which he would not hesitate to run any risk, however great".

As much has been made of his offer to meet the Viceroy, it is necessary to point out that at a press interview on the lith July after the Working Committee resolution was passed, Mr. Gandhi stated that there was no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiation, there was no question of one more chance, after all it was an open rebellion which was to be as short and swift as possible. His last message was "do or die". The speeches of those most closely associated with Mr. Gandhi have been even more explicit and have given a clear indication of what the Congress High Command had in mind in launching their attack against Government as by law established and against the agencies and services by which the life of the country was being conducted, in a period, be it noted, of exceptional stress and strain and of grave danger to India from Japanese aggression.

The instructions issued by the various organizations contained in leaflets which were found to be freely circulating in almost every part of India - and which, on the evidence. cannot all be disowned as unauthorized-gave specific instructions as to the methods which were to be employed for bringing the administration to a standstill. The circular of the 29th July emanating from the Andhra Provincial Congress Committee is an instance in point. It is noteworthy in this connection that in widely separated areas all over the country identical methods of attack on railways and other communications were employed, requiring the use of special implements and highly technical knowledge. Control rooms and block instruments in railway stations came in for special attention, and destruction of telegraph and telephone lines and equipment was carried out in a manner which denoted careful planning and close knowledge of their working. If these manifestations of rebellious activities are to be regarded as the result not of Congress teachings, but as a manifestation of the popular resentment against the arrest of Mr Gandhi and the Congress leaders the question may well be asked to which section of the public the tens of thousands of men engaged in these violent and subversive activities belonged If it is claimed that it is not Congressmen who have been responsible it would be extraordinary, to say the least, if the blame were to be laid on non-Congress elements

The country is, in effect, asked to believe that those who own allegiance to the Congress Party have behaved in an exemplary non-violent manner and it is persons who are outside the Congress fold who have registered their resentment at the arrest of the leaders of the movement which they do not profess to follow A more direct answer to the argument is to be had in the fact that Congressmen have been repeatedly found engaged in incitement to violence or in prosecuting Congress activities which have led to grave disorders

That political parties and groups outside the Congress Party have no delusions on the subject may be judged from the categorical way in which they have dissociated themselves from the movement and condemned the violence to which it has given rise In particular the Muslim League has on more than one occasion emphasized the character and intentions of the policy pursued by those of the Congress Party As early as the 20th August last the Working Committee of the League expressed the view, reiterated many times since, that by the slogan "Quit India' what is really meant was supreme control of the government of the country by the Congress and that the mass civil disobedience movement had resulted in lawlessness and considerable destruction of life and property Other elements in the political life of the country have expressed themselves in a similar vein and if the followers of the Congress Party contend that the resultant violence was no part of their policy or programme, they are doing so against the weight of overwhelming

Mr Gandhi in his letter to the Viceroy has sought to fasten responsibility on the Government of India. The Government of India emphatically repudiate the suggestion It is clearly preposterous to contend that it is they who are responsible, for the violence of the past few months which so gravely disorganized the normal life of the country—and, incidentally, aggravated the difficulties of the food situation, at a time when the united energies of the people might have been devoted to the vital part of repelling the enemy and striking a blow for the freedom of India, the Commonwealth and the world

34

Detention Camp, 8th February, 1943

DEAR SIR RICHARD

I have very carefully studied your letter I am sorry to say that there is nothing in the correspondence which has taken place between His Excellency and myself or your letter to warrant a recalling of my intention to fast I have mentioned in my letters to His Excellency the conditions which can induce prevention or suspension of the step

If the temporary release is offered for my convenience I do not need it I should be quite content to take my fast as a detenue or prisoner If it is for the convenience of the Government I am sorry I am unable to suit them much as I should like to do so I can say this much that I, as a I should like to do so I can say this much that I, as a prisoner, shall avoid as far as is humanly possible, every cause of inconvenience to the Government, save what is inherent in the fast riself. The impending fast has not been conceived to be taken as a free man Circumstances may arise as they have done before now, when I may have to fast as a free man. If therefore I am released, there will be no fast in terms of my correspondence above mentioned I

shall have to survey the situation de novo and decide what I should do I have no desire to be released under false pretences In spite of all that has been said against me, I have not to belie the vow of Truth and Non-violence which alone makes life liveable for me [say this, if it is only for my own satisfaction It does me good to reiterate openly my faith when outer darkness surrounds me, as it does just now

I must not hustle the Government into a decision on this letter I understand that your letter has been dictated through the telephone. In order to give the Government enough time, I shall suspend the fast, if necessary, to Wednesday next 10th instant

So far as the statement proposed to be issued by the Government is concerned, and of which you have favoured me with a copy, I can have no opinion But if Imight have I must say that it does me an injustice The proper course would be to publish the full correspondence and let the public judge for themselves

35

Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

CONFIDENTIAL

Home Department, Government of India, New Delhi 9th February, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI,

I am instructed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 6th February 1943 which has been laid before the Governor-General in Council The Government of India note your decision with great regret. Their position remains the same, that is to say, they are ready to set you at liberty for the purpose and duration of your fast But if you are not prepared to take advantage of that fact and if you fast while in detention you will do so solely on your own responsibility and at your own risk. In that event you will be at liberty to have your own medical attendents, and also

to receive visits from friends with the permission of Government during its period Suitable drafting-alterations will be made in the statement which the Government of India would in that event, issue to the press

> Yours sincerely, R TOTTENHAM

To

M K. GANDHI, ESQ, (Received by telephone—9-2-43 IRMIN

Secretary to the Government of Bombay)

36

Received on 10-2-'43 at 6-5 P M

The following is the full text of the Government of India communique Mr Gandhi has informed His Excellency the Vicerov that he proposes to undertake a fast of three weeks' duration from the 10th February It is to be a fast according to capacity, and during it Mr Gandhi proposes to add mices of citrus fruit to water to make water drinkable, as his wish is not to fast to death, but to survive the ordeal. The Government of India deplore the use of the weapon of fasting to achieve political ends There can, in their judgement, be no justification for it, and Mr Gandhi has himself admitted in the past that it contains an element of coercion The Government of India can only express its regret that Mr Gandhi should think it necessary to employ such a weapon on this occasion, and should seek a justification for it in anything which Government may have said or done in connection with the movement initiated by him and his co-workers in the Congress Party The Government of India have no intention on their part of allowing the fast to deflect their policy Nor will they be responsible for its consequences on Mr Gandhi's health They cannot prevent Mr. Gandhi from fasting It was their wish, however, that if he decided to

do so he should do so as a free man and under his own arrangements, so as to bring out clearly that the responsibility for any fast and its consequences rested exclusively with him They accordingly informed Mr Gandhi that he would be released for the purpose and for the duration of the fast of which he had notified them, and with him any members of the party living with him who may wish to accompany him Mr Gandhi in reply has expressed his readiness to abandon his intended fast if released, failing which he will fast in detention. In other words it is now clear that only his unconditional telease would prevent him from fasting. This the Government of India are not prepared to concede Their position remains the same that is to say. they are ready to set Mr Gandhi at liberty for the purpose and duration of his fast But if Mr Gandhi is not prepared to take advantage of that fact and if he fasts while in detention, he does so solely on his own responsibility and at his own risk. He would be at liberty in that event to have his own medical attendants, and also to receive visits from friends with the permission of Government during its period

The Government of India propose to issue, in due course, a full statement on the origin and development of the movement which was initiated in August last, and measures which Government has been compelled to adopt to deal with it But they think this a suitable occasion for a brief review of the events of the last few months

Mr Gandhi, in his correspondence with the Viceroy, he repudiated all responsibility for the consequences which have flowed from the 'Quit India" demand which he and the Congress Party have put forward This contention will not bear examination Mr Gandhi's own statement, before the movement was launched, envisaged anarchy as an alternative to the existing order, and referred to the struggle "as a fight to the finish in the course of which he would

not hesitate to run any risk, however great" As much has been made of his offer to meet the Viceroy, it is necessary to point out that at a press interview on the 14th of July. after the Working Committee resolution was passed. Mr. Gandhi stated that there was no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiation, there was no question of one more chance, it was an open rebellion which was to be as short and as swift as possible His last message was "Do or Die "The speeches of those most closely associated with Mr Gandhi have been even more explicit, and have given a clear indication of what the Congress High Command had in mind in launching their attack - an attack which would if realized, have most seriously imperilled the whole cause of the United Nations-against Government by law established. and against the agencies and services by which the life of the country was being conducted in a period, be it noted, of exceptional stress and strain, and of grave danger to India from Japanese aggression

The instructions issued by the various Congress organizations contained in leaflets which were found to be freely circulating in almost every part of India - and which, on the evidence, cannot all be disowned as unauthorized - gave specific directions as to the methods which were to be employed for bringing the administration to a standstill The circular of the 29th July emanating from the Andhra Provincial Congress Committee is an instance in point It is noteworthy in this connection that in widely separated areas all over the country, identical methods of attacks on railways and other communications were employed, requiring the use of special impliments and highly technical knowledge Control rooms and block instruments in railway stations came in for special attention and destruction of telegraph and telephone wires and equipment was carried out in a manner which denoted careful planning and close knowledge of their working If these manufestations of rebellious activities are to be regarded as the result not of Congress teachings but as a manifestation of popular resentment against the arrest of Mr Gandhi and the Congress leaders, the question may well be asked, to which section of the public the tens of thousands of men engaged in these violent subversive activities belonged. If it is claimed that it is not Congressmen who have been responsible, at would be extraordinary, to say the least, if the blame were to be laid on non-Congress elements. The country is, in effect asked to believe that those who own allegiance to the Congress Party have behaved in an exemplary non--violent manner, and that it is persons who are outside the Congress fold who have registered their resentment at the arrest of the leaders of a movement which they did not profess to follow A more direct answer to the argument 15 to be had in the fact that known Congressmen have been repeatedly found engaged in incitements to violence of in prosecuting Congress activities which have led to grave disorders That political parties and groups outside the Congress

Party have no delusions on the subject may be judged from the categorical way in which they have dissociated themselves from the movement, and condemned the violence to which it has given rise In particular the Muslim League has, on more than one occasion, emphasized the character and intentions of the policy pursued by the Congress Party As early as the 20th of August last the Working Committee of the League expressed the view, reiterated many timesince, that by the slogan Ouit India' what was really meant was supreme control of the government of the country by the Congress, and that the mass civil disobedience movement had resulted in lawlessness and considerable des truction of life and property Other elements in the political life of the country have expressed themselves in a similar vein, and if the followers of the Congress persist in their contention that the resultant violence was no part of their policy or programme, they are doing so against the weight of overwhelming evidence

Mr Gandhi in his letter to the Viceroy has sought to fasten responsibility on the Government of India The Government of India emphatically repudiate the suggestion It is clearly preposterous to contend that it is they who are responsible for the violence of the last few months which so gravely disorganized the normal life of the country and, incidentally, aggravated the difficulties of the food situation—at a time when the united energies of the people might have been devoted to the vital task of repelling the enemy and of striking a blow for the freedom of India the Commonwealth and the world

37

Detention Camp

DEAR LORD LINLITHGOW

On the eve of your departure from India I would like to send you a word

Of all the high functionaries I have had the honour of knowing none has been the cause of such deep sorrow to me as you have been It has cut me to the quick to have to think of you as having countenanced untruth and that regarding one whom you at one time considered as your friend I hope and pray that God will some day put it into your heart to realize that you a representative of a great nation, had been led into a grievous error

With good wishes

I still remain Your friend M K GANDHI

38

PERSONAL

Viceroy's Camp, India (Simla), 7th October,1943

DEAR Mr GANDHI

I have received your letter of 27th September I am indeed sorry that your feelings about any deeds or words of

mine should be as you describe But I must be allowed, as gently as I may, to make plain to you that I am quite unable to accept your interpretation of the events in question

As for the corrective virtues of time and reflection, evidently they are ubiquitous in their operation, and wisely to be rejected by no man

M K GANDHI, Esq Received on 15 10 1943 I am sincerely, LINLITHGOW

Ш

CORRESPONDENCE DURING THE FAST

39

Detention Camp, 12 February, 1943

DEAR COL BHANDARI,

You have told me that the Government have instructed you to convey to them urgently any wish I might have to express You have also given me a copy of the instructions of the Government about the regulating of friends' visits. This is my submission about the visits

It is not fair to leave the initiative to me In the present state of my mind I have no initiative about such visits If therefore the Government wish that I should receive visitors they should inform the public that, if any member of the public specially desires to see me, they will give him the permission Their names need not be referred to me For, I will not thwart the wish of any friend to see me It is highly probable that my children and other relatives as also immates of the Ashram and other friends who are intimately connected with me through one or more of my many activities may want to see me If Rajai, for instance, who had already applied to the Government for permission to see me in connection with the communal problem wants to see me about that matter or any other, I should be glad to see him But even regarding him I

would not take the initiative of submitting his name to the Government

- 2 If the visitors are permitted to see me without any restrictions as to the matters they might discuss with me, the object of discussions would be largely frustrated if the discussions cannot be published I would of course, always and in every circumstance, myself rule out, without needing any external pressure, any discussion that can, by any stretch of imagination, be helpful to the Fascist powers, including Japan If visits contemplating discussions are to be allowed the declaration I have suggested to be made by the Government should obviously be made forthwith so that such visits may take place in the early stages of the fast
- 3 It is possible that those who have been serving or nursing me in the Ashram or those who were attending on me during my previous fasts may want to stay with me to take part in the nursing If they should so wish they should be permitted I see difficulty in the way of making public announcement on this point If my proposal commends itself to the Government, I suggest their addressing Shrimati Janaki Devi the widow of the late Seth Jamnalal Bajaj telling her that if anyone desires during my fast to take part in serving me, he would be permitted to do so on her submitting their names to the Government. She knows all those who have served me before

Then there are two other matters I have been most anxious all these months to know all about the stage of health of SInt Mathooradas Tricumjee, ex-Mayor of Bombay, a grand-son of one of my sisters long since dead The Government may either let me have the information or they may permit Shri Mathooradas Tricumjee himself to write to me, or if he is physically unable to do so, anybody may be allowed on his behalf to give me the fullest information. When I was arrested his life was almost despaired of I read in the papers how ever that he had undergone a successful operation

The other thing is in connection with the news that appears in the Bombay Chronicle received here today, that Professor Bhansali has embarked on another fast, this time out of sympathy with me I would like, in order to save time, the Government to convey the following message to him by express wire or through telephone, whichever may be the quickest way

"I have just read about your sympathetic fast. You have just ended your very long fast over Chimur. You have made that your special task. You should therefore quickly rebuild your body and fullfi the self allotted task. Leave God to do with me as He likes. I would not have interfered if you had not just risen from a fast that might have proved fatal and if you had not imposed on yourself a special duty.

If the Government would comply with my request on this point I would like them to send the message without any alteration and further to let me correspond with him if my message does not produce the desired result

> Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

40

POSITION REGARDING INTERVIEWS

- 1 In respect to procedure, the imitative is left entirely to Mr. Gandhi
- 2 The absence of any restrictions on the subjects discussed
- 3 The fact that an official will be present during interviews
 - 4 Restrictions on the publication of discussions

(The foregoing was communicated by Col Bhandari personally to Gandhiji at 1 10 P M on 12th February 1943)

41

Points conveyed by Col Bhandari from letter dated

Paragraph 1—If Mr Gandhi has no initiative about visits it is equally true that Government have no wishes in the matter. They therefore regret that they cannot see their

way to making any public announcement beyond that which is already contained in their communique of February 10th which made it clear that he would be at liberty to receive visits from friends with the permission of Government during the period of his fast. They adhere to their original decision, which is that they will communicate to him for his information the names of those who ask for interview as his friends, provided they see no objection to any particular individual, and it will be left to him and/or his advisers to take such action as they think fit

Paragraph 2 — Government are glad to note the assurances given in the paragraph but regret they must adhere to their original decision that no account of any interview that may take place shall be published without their specific

approval

Paragraph 3 — If the Inspector General of Prisons considers that one or two extra nurses are required, the matter

will certainly be considered sympathetically

Paragraph 5 & 6 — The Government of India regret that the reference to Chimur in Mr Gandhi's draft message to Professor Bhansali and the implication that the latter is to continue his agitation on that subject makes it impossible for the Government of India to communicate the message as it stands They would, however, be prepared to inform Professor Bhansali that Mr Gandhi wishes him to give up his fast in view of the fact that he is only just recovering from his first one, or they would be prepared to consider an alternative message in Mr Gandhi's own words

As regards the health of Mr Mathooradas Tricumjee, referred to in paragraph 4, the Government of Bombay is making inquiries and will communicate to Mr Gandhi as soon as possible any information that is obtained In the meanwhile, Mr Mathooradas is being informed that he may himself write letters to Mr Gandhi on personal and do-

mestic matters

Detention Camp, February 24, 1943

DEAR COL BHANDARI.

There seems to be, between Khan Bahadur Kateli and me, a conflict in the understanding of Government instructions about interviews From the correspondence and instructions you were good enough to read to me I had gathered that those who were permitted to visit me were not restricted as to the nature of discussion or its duration, a Government representative if necessary being present. Where I am physically unable to carry on discussion I leave it to Shri Pyarelal to finish it Naturally also the visitors who are intimately connected with me are seen and talked to by my wife I personally can do very little talking Doctors, for one thing have to limit it to the fewest possible minutes. The Khan Bahadur's instructions are that the talk must be confined only as between them and me. If such is the position it is hopeless Thus, Seth R D Birla came and so did Shri Kamalnavan Basas They know all about the trusts that I used to regulate Naturally, I took the opportunity of their visits and instructed Shri Pyarelal accordingly and he has been talking to them regarding them. The Khan Bahadur had a very delicate duty to perform. He did it firmly but as gracefully as was possible under the circumstances The Khan Bahadur also says he has strict instructions not to allow visitors to take any notes or papers. During the remaining days of the fast and convalescence. I would like, if possible, to be undisturbed by such things. I would therefore like clear instructions which Khan Bahadur and I can mutually understand I have no desire to go behind them

Shri Devadas Gandhi, my son, has permission to stop at the Palace as long as he likes During the permission period he talks during odd minutes when he thinks he can Naturally, the Khan Bahadur cannot be present at those times I have asked Shri Pyarelal to show him all the correspondence that has passed between the Government of India and the Government of Bombay and myself I had also the intention of supplying him with copies of such correspondence. But since the Khan Bahadur's prohibition, pending Government instructions, I have asked my son not to take any copies. Yours sincerely.

M K GANDHI

43

Order dated 26th February, 1943, in reply to Gandhin's letter of 24th February, '43, communicated by Col Bhandari

- 2 It has throughout been the intention of Government that an official should be present during all interviews Government has not so far insisted on this in respect of interviews with Devadas and Ramdas Gandhi in view of the condition of their father, but now that he is improving the Government desires that they should be allowed interviews only two or three times a day and this should be subject to the same conditions as other interviews.
- 3 The object of the arrangement sanctioned by the Government was to enable Mr Gandhi to interview friends Government has no objection, if other detenues happen to be present during an interview, to their joining in the conversation, but when Mr Gandhi himself terminates an interview or is unable to continue it, it should be regarded as closed and no further conversation allowed with other detenues
- 4 Government does not think that copies of its correspondence with Mr Gandhi should be allowed to go out of the detention camp

e detention camp

44

Detention Camp 2nd March, 1943

DEAR COL. BHANDARI,

You were good enough yesterday, my day of silence, to tell me that the Government had restricted to my two sons the admission of outsiders at the breaking of the fast tomorrow Whilst I am thankful for the concession I am unable to avail myself of it For, as the Government know, I make no distinction between sons born to me and numerous others who are as dear to me even as they are I told you three or four days ago that, if the Government allowed any outsiders to be present at the breaking of the fast, they should allow all—nearly fifty—who are at present in Poona, and who have been allowed to visit me during the fast I see that that was not to be

Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

45

Detention Camp, 12-3-'43

DEAR COL BHANDARI

With reference to the talk this morning, we would like to bring the following facts to your notice

As regards Mrs Gandhi, she has been suffering from chronic bronchins with dialation of the bronchi. She has also complained latterly of pain of an anginal character and has had attacks of tachycardia with heart rate of 180 per minute. As you must have noticed she often gets puffiness of the face and eyelids especially in the mornings. Her physical disabilities are telling upon her mental condition though Gandhips company mitigates that to a large extent. In view of all this we are of the opinion that she should have a whole time nurse companion with her. A person who can speak her language and is known to her personally is likely to succeed better.

As regards Gandhiji we are of the opinion that he will require careful nursing and looking after for another month or so If Kanu Gandhi can be left for that period it will be the best, as he is attached to Gandhiji and has been trained to anticipate his wants. If the Government have no objection he is ready and willing to stay as long as he is required.

Yours sincerely,

M D D GILDER S NAYAR

46

Detention Camp, 13-3-'43

DEAR COL BHANDARI,

With reference to this morning's conversation about Kanu Gandhi's presence with me during the convalescence period, not exténding beyond a month according to the doctors' opinion, I beg to say that, if the Government will not permit him to stay with me during that period, I am afraid, I must go without his services however valuable they are I must confess that I do not like this kind of treatment which seems to me to be one of the sharp reminders, even during my helpless period, for which I am quite aware I am solely responsible, that I am a prisoner But even a prisoner may give himself the privilege of denying himself conveniences whose acceptance may humiliate him, as the offer to give a substitute for Kanu Gandhi seems to do

Yours sincerely, M K Gandhi

47

Detention Camp, dated 13-3-'43

DEAR COL. BHANDARI,

You will remember that we asked for the services of Mr Mehta sometime after Gandhiji had started his fast and when it became evident to us that his help in the management of the case was necessary. He had rendered useful service in Gandhiji's previous fasts, and Gandhiji has full confidence in him

Towards the end of the fast we had requested you to secure his service till Gandhiji had well advanced in convalescence. We were therefore surprised this morning when you informed us that his services would terminate on the 17th instant Nevertheless we record our opinion that the convalescence period is by no means over You have yourself observed with us that Gandhin is still bedridden and unable to move about by himself. We are, therefore, of opinion that Mr Mehta's service should be continued at least up to the end of this month. We would like you please to bring our oninion at once to the notice of the Government

> Yours sincerely. M D D GILDER S NAVAR

48

Detention Camb. 20-3-143

DEAR COL BHANDARI

the authorities

at the presen

In the course of your conversation with Gandhiji this morning regarding Shri Dinshaw Mehta's attendence you remarked that you presumed his visits could now be dispensed with as I could more or less replace him Your premise. I am afraid, is not correct. Whilst it is true that I have been attending of Gandhiji for some years and have also given him massage under normal conditions. I have never applied myself to specialized massage I have neither got Shri Mehta's knowledge nor his experience to enable me to give Gandhin the treatment which his system may demand from day to day during his convalescence. As you i know Mehta has had experience of Gandhin of 1932 when he attended upon him. in the Nasik Central Prison The at that time had to be kept up writing this as I feel it necessary to

facts as al >

IV CORRESPONDENCE AFTER FAST

49

Detention Camp, 18th February, 1943

DEAR SIR RICHARD TOTTENHAM,

I have not had the privilege of coming in contact with you For the last twenty years I have been co-secretary with the late Shri Mahadev Desai to Gandhiji. The occasion for writing this letter is the Press Communique dated 10th February, 1943, that has been issued by the Government of India in connection with Gandhiji's fast. You knew Shri Mahadev Desai personally. If he had been alive today he would have, from his precise and tenacious memory, sent a categorical refutation of the various allegations and insinuations contained in that document against Gandhiji, which might have compelled conviction. In his absence that duty has devolved upon me I arm a very poor substitute for the late Shri Mahadev Desai, but I feel that I should be failing in my duty if I did not put on record my personal testimony, for what it may be worth, in refutation of those charges.

I take the following from the Press Communique

"Mr Gandhi's own statement, before the movement was launched, envisaged anarchy as an alternative to the existing order, and referred to the struggle as a fight to the finish in the course of which he would not hesitate to run any risk, however great. As much has been made of his offer to meet the Viceroy it is necessary to point out that at a press interview on the 14th of July last after the Working Committee resolution was passed, Mr Gandhi stated that there was no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiations, there was no question of one more chance, after all, it was an open rebellion which was to be as short and as swift as possible. His last message was 'do or die'."

The obvious inference that the Government want the public to draw from this is that Gandhiji had actually bidden good-bye to his creed of non-violence in connection with the contemplated Civil Disobedience struggle, that he had sanctioned the use of violence in its prosecution, and was prepared to condone the same In the above extract Gandhiji s utterances have been torn from their non-violent context and presented in a violent setting. Take his last message 'do or die' This expression - the exact contrary of 'do or kill '- was used by Gandhin in his closing Hindustani speech in the A I C C which was in fact a continuation of the Hindustani speech on the previous day. The whole of the earlier portion of this speech was an impassioned reaffirmation of his faith in non-violence and an exhortation to the people to observe the same. He summed up his speech in two words which meant "do your duty and die if you must in the course of discharging it " I do not know whether a full report of this speech was allowed to be published in the press I give below from memory a few cullings from it, to illustrate its unmistakable non-violent setting

"I am the same Gandhi that I was in 1920 I attach the same importance to non-violence that I did then If, therefore, anybody has no faith in non-violence, let him not vote for this resolution"

The present struggle has its roots in Ahimsa God would not have forgiven me if, in the present crisis when the world is being scorched in the flames of Himsa and pining for deliverance, I failed to make use of the special talent which he has vouchsafed to me

"In this resolution there is no hatred for the British If people ran amock and used violence against Englishmen they would not find me alive in their midst to witness it. And the responsibility for it would be upon those who perpetrated those outrages" Both Shri Mahadev Desai and myself recorded these utterances as they dropped from Gandhiji's lips. The notes of these speeches are not with me here, but they are in existence I have, however, before me a gist of these speeches in Shri Mahadev Desai's own hand. He had prepared it for Gandhiji's use on arrival here and it was found after his death among his papers.

To reinforce my point let me here mention Gandhiji's last instructions which he gave me just as he went out to present himself for arrest on the morning of 9th August last at Birla House His words were "Let every non-violent soldier of freedom write out the slogan 'do or die' on a piece of paper or cloth and stick it on his clothes, so that in case he died in the course of offering Satyagraha, he might be distinguished by that sign from other elements who do not subscribe to non-violence" Scores of representative Congress workers came in several lorry loads to Birla House on that morning to meet Gandhii who was to have explained to them his wishes i'in connection with the A I C C resolution of the previous evening. In Gandhiu's absence I gave them his parting message I explained to them what I knew from him to be his attitude, namely, that while in the event of civil disobedience being launched everybody would be free to go the full length under Ahimsa, there were two things to which he would not be a living witness in their midst These were a cowardly giving up of the struggle or running mad and indulging in violence on their part

As regards Gandhiji's "offer" to meet the Viceroy, it was made by him in his closing speech before the A I C C The Government's communique seeks to discredit it by saying that, at a press interview on the 14th of July after the Wardha Working Committee's resolution was passed, Gandhiji stated that there was no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiation. This has to be read with the following interviews he gave to press correspondents followed

by his impassioned declaration before the A I C C that he was going to seek an interview with the Viceroy and was not going to commence civil disobedience before the result of the interview was known As I have not the corrected text of these interviews by me I have to satisfy myself by giving the Statesman's version which suffers from some obvious printer's devils

The Statesman 7 8- 42

MR GANDHI ANSWERS QUESTIONS "

Bombay August 6

In an exclusive interview to the Associated Press today Mr Gandhi answered a number of questions on the new resolution of the Congress Working Committee

Q — Does the resolution mean peace or war? There is an interpretation particularly among the foreign journalists that it means declaration of war and that the last three paragraphs of the resolution are the really operative part. Is the emphasis on the first part of the last part of the resolution?

A — The emphasis in any non violent struggle projected or in operation is always on peace. War when it becomes an absolute necessity

 $\mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}$ 0 you contemplate the immediate establishment of a provisional government and if so how do you expect it to come into being? Do you think that there would be a period of interregnum between the endorsement of the resolution by the A I C C and the starting of the mass striggle? A \rightarrow II independence is ushered in with perfect Brinsh food will then

I expect an almost simultaneous establishment of a provisional government which being just now based as it must be of necessity on non-violence will to command universal confidence represent the free and voluntary association of all parties

Q — Do you contemplate any negotiation between the Congress and the British Government before launching a mass struggle?

A —I have definitely contemplated an interval between the passing of the Congress resolution and the starting of the struggle I do not know that what I contemplate doing according to my wont can be in any way described as being in the nature of neglotation but a letter will certainly go to the Viceroy not as an ultimatum but as an earnest pleading for avoidance of a conflict If there is a favourable response then my letter can be the basis for negotiation.

Q — What is the maximum time you are prepared to wait to see if there is any response from the British Government and the United Nations to the last minute appeal of the A L C C?

A —The object with which the demand for immediate withdrawal is made does not allow of a long interval for the simple reason that the war will not be suspended while in expectation of some thing turning up the interval is contemplated. The Working Committee itself which is sincerely eager to mobil ze the whole of free Indian opinion in favour of the war effort is impatient to do so and in view of the terrible suspense created throughout India it is altogether wrong both for the Congress and British Power to prolong the suspense for a day longer than is warranted by force of urcumstances beyond control

The Statesman 9-7-1942 MR GANDHIS REPLY TO NEWS CHRONICLE

Bombay August 8

Replying to the News Chroniele editorial Mr Gandhi in an interview today said

If the resolution goes through this evening I shall be the chief octor in the tragedy it is therefore dreadful if any responsible Englishman considers me to be guilty of harred of the British and an admitted partiality for appeasement. In recent times I have not beard any other Englishman accusing me of the harred of the British Anyway I emphatically plead not guilty. My love of the British is equal to that of my own people. I claim no merit for: If or I have equal love for all mankind without exception It demands no reciprocity. I own no enemy on earth. That is my creed. The resolution has provided for the difficulty that the framers' could

anticipate They have accounted for every valid criticism and I can say on behalf of the Congress that it would any time be prepared to consider and make allowance for my (any?) valid difficulty. No one responsible has even taken the trouble of discussing with the Working Committee of the Congress the difficulty there is about immediate recognition of India is indeependence. The Congress consent to the military operations of the Allied arms during the pendency of the war surely is sufficient answer to my (any?) difficulty we could conceive.

The British or the Allies run no risk in recognizing independence. The risk is all on the side of India but the Congress is prepared to take it. Not only the British run no risk so far as the conduct of the war is concerned, but they gain by this one act of justice an ally counting 400 mill ons and accession of strength that is derived from a consciousness of having done that justice.

Now take open rebellion which was to be as short and as swift as possible. It is common knowledge that Gandhiji has set the fashion in using military terms in connection with Satyagrah. Therefore he described the struggle often as "a non-violent rebellion " He has repeatedly referred to himself as a "rebel" and the Congress frankly and openly as a "rebel body". As for the meaning of " as swift and as short as possible". I would refer you to the following excernts from the clippings referred to already.

O - How quickly do you think you can win and is not a complete

general strike necessary for such speed?

A -" Whether people believe it or not I must confess that in nonviolent action God is the decisive factor Whatever strength I possess is not my own Every ounce of it comes from the God of Truth who does not dwell in the clouds up above but who dwells in every fibre of my being Therefore it is very difficult for me to speak with the precision say of General Wavell who thinks as he must that his dispositions and calculations must be such and can be made such that they cannot be overridden by any such unknown and intangible power called God or Truth, or whatever other name human fancy chooses to give to that Power

"You are however right when you say that for a swift ending a general strike is necessary. It is not outside my contemplation, but seeing that I shall take every step in terms of my oft repeated declaration that a mas struggle is not conceived in any inimical spirit but in the friendliest spirit I shall move with the utmost caution If a reneral strike becomes a dire necessity I shall not flinch "

(Statesman August 7, '42 Mr Gandhi answers Questions)

We here feel that Britain cannot be extricated from its critical position unless India s hearty co-operation is secured That co-operation is impossible without the people realizing that they are independent today And they have to act swiftly, if they are to retain the independence regained after insufferable period of foreign domination. No one can change the nature of a whole mass of mankind by promises when the reality is the indispensable requisite for energizing them " (Statesman, August 9, '42)

In the light of the foregoing the expression "a fight to the finish in the course of which he would not hesitate to run any risk however great", loses the sinister meaning ascribed to it in the communique.

Gandhiu's reference to "all Congressmen being authorized to act for themselves in the event of interference with the leadership of the movement" has been wholly misunderstood From past experience which had shown that all sort

of men set themselves up as leaders and misled the people he took the precaution of leaving each one to himself to act as he or she thought best, of course, in terms of non-violence

Sir, Richard Tottenham, Yours truly Government of India, Home Department, PYARELAL

New Delhi

· incom

50

Home Department, New Delhi, 24th Feb., 1943

DEAR MR PYARELAL

I am desired to acknowledge the receipt of your letter dated February 18th addressed to Sir Richard Tottenham Pyarelal Esq. Yours truly,

51

Detention Camp,

S J L OLVER

roona

Detention Camp, 21st May, 1943

DEAR SIR REGINALD MAXWELL,

It was only on the 10th instant, that I read your speech delivered in the Legislative Assembly on the 15th February last on the adjournment motion about my fast I saw at once that it demanded a reply I wish I had read it earlier

I observe that you are angry, or at least were at the time you delivered your speech I cannot in any other way account for your palpable inaccuracies. This letter is an endeavour to show them It is written to you, not as an official, but as man to man. The first thought that came to me was that your speech was a deliberate distortion of facts. But I quickly revised it. So long as there was a favourable construction possible to put upon your language, the unfavourable had to be rejected I must assume therefore that what appeared to me to be distortions were not deliberate.

, You have said that "the correspondence that led to the fast is there for anyone to interpret as he chooses", yet you have straightway told your audience that "it can perhaps be

read in the light of the following facts" Did you leave them the choice?

I now take your "facts" seriatim

1 "When the Congress Party passed their resolution of August 8th, a Japanese attack on this country was thought to be likely"

Your seem to have conveyed the meaning that the thought was that of the Congress and that it was gratuitous The fact is that the Government gave currency to the thought and emphasized it by action which even seemed ludicrous

2 "By demanding the withdrawal of British power from India and by placing the Congress in open opposition to it the Congress Party might be thought to have hoped for some advantage to themselves if the Japanese attack succeeded "

Now this is not a fact but your opinion wholly contrary to facts Congressmen never hoped for, nor desired, any advantage, from Japanese success, on the contrary, they dreaded it and that dread inspired the desire for the immediate end of British rule. All this is crystal clear from the resolution of the All India Congress Committee (8th August. 1942) and my writings

3 "Today, six months after, the Japanese danger has, at any rate for the time being, receded and there is little

immediate hope from that quarter."

This again is your opinion, mine is that the Japanese danger has not receded. It still stares. India in the face Your fling that "there is little immediate hope from that quarter," should be withdrawn unless you think and prove that the resolution and my writings adverted to in the previous paragraph did not mean what they said

.4 "The movement initiated by the Congress has been decisively defeated

I must combat this statement Satvacraha knows no defeat It flourishes on blows the hardest imaginable But I need not go to that bower for comfort. I learnt in schools established by the British Government in India that "Freedom's battle once begun is bequeathed from bleeding size to son". It is of little moment when the goal is reached so long as effort is not relaxed. The dawn came with the establishment of the Congress sixty years ago. Sixth of April, 1919, on which All India Satyagraha began, saw a spontaneous awakening from one end of India to the other. You can certainly derive comfort, if you like, from the fact that the immediate objective of the movement was not gained as some Congressmen had expected. But that is no criterion of 'decisive' or any 'defeat'. It ill-becomes one belonging to a race which owns no defeat to deduce defeat of a popular movement from the suppression of popular exuberance, may be not always wise, by a frightful exhibition of power.

5 "Now therefore it is the object of the Congress Party to rehabilitate themselves and regain if they can the credit they have lost"

Surely, your own experience should correct this opinion You know, as well as I do, that every attempt at suppression of the Congress has given it greater prestige and popularity. This the latest attempt at suppression is not likely to lead to a contrary result. Hence the questions of 'lost credit' and 'rehabilitation' simply do not arise.

6 "Thus they are now concerned to disclaim responsibility for the consequences that followed their decision. The point is taken up by Mr Gandhi in his correspondence with the Viceroy The awkward facts are now disowned as unproved."

'They' here can only mean 'me' For throughout your speech I was the target 'Now' means at the time of my fast I remind you that I disclaimed responsibility on 14th August last when I wrote to H E the Viceroy In that same letter I laid it on the Government who by their

wholesale arrests of 9th August provoked the people to the point of madness "The awkward facts" are not awkward for me when the responsibility rests on the Government and what you put forward as 'facts' are only one sided allegations awaiting proof

7 Mr Gandhi takes up his stand, Surely I can say with safety that it is for the Government to justify their action by solid evidence

To whom are they to justify themselves?

Sardar Sant Singh Before an impartial enquiry

Was not Sardar Sant Singh's answer a proper answer? How, nice it would have been if you had not put in the interjection For, have not the Government of India been obliged before now to justify their acts by appointing inquiry committees as for instance, after the Jallianwala Bagh Massacre?

8 But you proceed, 'Elsewhere in his letters Mr Gandhi makes this clear He says, 'Convince me that I was wrong and I will make ample amends' In the alternative he asks 'If you want me to make any proposal on behalf of the Congress, you should put me among the Working Committee members' So far as can be seen, these were the demands when he conceived his fast There is no other solid demand made"

Here there is a double wrong done to me You have ignored the fact that my letters were written to one whom I considered to be a friend You have further ignored the fact that the Viceröy in his letter had asked me to make clear proposals If you had borne these two facts in mind, you would not have wronged me as you have done But let me come to the minth count of your indictment and it will be clear to you what I mean

9 "But now, fresh light emerges Government without granting any of his demands informed Mr Gandhi that they would release him for the purpose and for the duration of the fast in order to make it clear they disclaimed responsibility for the consequences. On that Mr Gandhi replied that if he was released he would at once abandon the fast, and that he had conceived the fast only as a prisoner. Thus, if he were released, the objects for which he declared his fast, although still unfulfilled, would recede into the background. As a free man, he would neither demand these objects nor fast. Interpreted in this way, his fast would seem to amount to little more than a demand for release.

Together with the letter containing the offer of release.

a copy of the draft communique that was to be issued by the Government was delivered to me. It did not say that the offer was made in order to make it clear that the Government disclaimed responsibility for the consequences ' If I had seen that offending sentence I would have sent a simple refusal In my innocence, I put a fair meaning on the offer and in my reply I argued why I could not accept it And, according to my wont, in order that the Government may not be misled in any shape or form. I told them how the fast was conceived and why it could not be taken by me as a free man I went out of my way even to postpone, for the convenience of the Government, the commencement of the fast by a day Mr Irwin who had brought the offer and the draft communique appreciated the courtesy Why was this reply of mine withheld from the public at the time the revised communique was issued, and why was an unwarranted interpretation given instead? Was not my letter a material document?

Now for the second wrong You say that if I were released my objects for which I had declared the fast would recede into the background, and even gratuitously suggest that as a free man I would neither demand these objects not fast As a free man I could and would have carried on an agitation for an impartial public inquiry into the charges

brought against Congressmen and me I would also have asked for permission to see the imprisoned Congressmen Assume that my agitation had failed to make any impression on the Government. I might then have fasted All this if you were not labouring under intense irritation you could have plainly seen from my letter, supported as you would have been by my past record Instead you have deduced a meaning which according to the simple rules of construction you had no right to deduce Again as a fee man I would have had the opportunity of examining the tales of destruction said to have been wrought by Congressmen and even by non-Congressmen And if I had found that they had committed wanton acts of murder, then also I might have fasted as I have done before now You should thus see that the demands made in my letter to H E the Viceroy would not have receded into the background if I had been released for they could have been pressed otherwise than by the fast. and that the fast had not the remotest connection with any desire for release Moreover, imprisonment is never irksome to a Satyagrahi For him a prison is a gateway of Liberty

10 'I could quote several resolutions of the Congress Working Committee against him Mr Gandhi himself took up the subject in the Harijan dated 19th August 1939 There he says 'Hunger-strike has positively become a plague

My views quoted by you have not undergone the slightest change If you had read the quotations without passion, it would have prevented you from putting upon my letter the construction you have

11 On the ethics of hunger-striking Mr Gandlin had something to say in the Harijan of 20th may, 1939 after his Rajkot fast 'I now see that it was tainted by himsa'! Further on he remarks, 'this was not the way of ahimsa or conversion'.

I am sorry to have to say that you have wholly misrcad my article Fortunately I happen to have A Hingoranis

collection of my writings " To the Princes and Their Peoples' I quote from the Harman article referred to by you "At the end of my fast I had permitted myself to say that it had succeeded as no previous fast had done I now see that it was tainted with himsa. In taking the fast I sought immediate intervention of the Paramount Power so as to induce fulfilment of the promise made by the Thakore Saheb This was not the way of ahimsa or conversion, it was the way of himsa or coercion. My fast to be pure should have been addressed only to the Thakore Saheb, and I should have been content to die, if I could not have melted his heart I hope you realize that you misapplied the stray sentences taken from their setting I described my fast as 'tainted' not because it was bad ab initio but because I sought the intervention of the Paramount Power I have given you the credit of being unaware of the article I wish you could read it. In any case, may I expect you to correct the error? For me the Raikot episode is one of the happiest chapters of my life, in that God gave me the courage to own my mistake and purge it by renouncing the fruits of the award I became stronger for the purging

12 'I must confess that speaking for myself it is certainly tepugnant to Western ideas of decency to exploit against an opponent his feelings of humanity, chivalty or mercy or to trifle with such a sacred trust as one's own life in order to play on the feelings of the public for the sake of some purely mundane object "

I must tread with extreme caution upon the ground with which you are infinitely more familiar than I can be Let me however remind you of the historic fast of the late Mac Swiney I know that the British Government let him die in imprisonment. But he has been acclaimed by the Irish people as a hero and a martyr. Edward Thompson in his "You have lived through all this says that the late Mr Asquith called the British Government's action a "political blunder."

of the first magnitude" The author adds "He was allowed to die by inches, while the world watched with a passion of admiration and sympathy and innumerable British men and women begged their Government not to be such a damned fool. And is it repugnant to Western ideas of decency to exploit (if that expression must be retained) against the opponent his feelings of humanity, chivalry or mercy? Which is better, to take the opponent's life secretly or openly, or to credit him with finer feelings and evoke them by fasting and the like? Again, which is better, to trifle with one's own life by fasting or some other way of self-immolation, or to trifle with it by engaging in an attempt to compass the destruction of the opponent and his dependants?

13 "What he says in effect is this You say, Government is right and the Congress is wrong I say the Congress is right and the Government is wrong I chose to put the burden of proof on you I am the only person to be convinced You must either admit you are wrong or submit your reasons to me and make me the sole arbiter in the matter

It seems to me that Mr Gandhi's demand is rather like asking the United Nations to appoint Hitler to adjudge the responsibility for the present war It is not usual in this country to put the accused person on the bench to judge ifs own case."

This is an unbecoming caricature of my letters to the Viceroy What I said in effect was this "You have allowed me to consider myself as your friend I do not want to stand on my rights and demand a trial You accuse me of being in the wrong I contend that your Government is in the wrong Since you would not admit your Government's error you owe it to me to let me know wherein I have erred For, I am in the dark as to how I have erred If you convince me of my guilt, I will make ample ammends "My simple request you have turned against me and compared me to an imaginary Hirler appointed to adjudge his own

case If you do not accept my interpretation of my own letters, can I not say, let an impartial judge examine the rival interpretations? Will it be an offensive comparison if I recall the fable of the wolf who was always in the right and the lamb who was always in the wrong?

14 "Mr Gandh is the leader of an open rebellion He forfeits that right (the right of being heard) so long as he remains an open rebel. He cannot claim to function except through the success of his own method. He cannot take part in public life under the protection of the law that he denies. He cannot be a citizen and yet not a subject."

You are right in describing me as the leader of an open rebellion except for a fundamental omission namely, strictly non-violent. This omission is on a par with the omission of nots from the Commandments and quoting them in support of killing stealing etc You may dismiss the phrase or explain it away in any manner you like But when you quote a person you may not omit anything from his language. especially an omission which changes the whole aspect of things I have declared myself an open rebel on many occasions, even during my visit to London on the occasion of the second Round Table Conference But the anathema that you have pronounced against me has not been pronounced before You will perhaps recall the time when the late Lord Reading was willing to hold a Round Table Conference in which I was to be present, although I was leading a mass civil disobedience movement. It was not called because I had insisted that the Ali Brothers who were then in prison. should be released British history which I was taught as a lad had it that Wat Tyler and John Hampden who had rebelled were heroes In very recent times the British Government treated with Irish rebels whilst their hands were still red with blood. Why should I become an outcast although my rebellion is innocent and I have had nothing to do with Violence?

In spite of the validity of my claim that you have enunciated a novel doctrine, I admit that you made a perfect statement when you said, "He cannot claim to function except through the success of his own method." My method being based on truth and non-violence, ever succeeds to the extent it is applied. Therefore I function always and only through the success of my method and to the extent that I correctly represent, in my own person, its fundamentals.

The moment I became a Satyagrahi from that moment I ceased to be a subject, but never ceased to be a citizen A citizen obeys laws voluntarily and never under compulsion or for fear of the punishment prescribed for their breach. He breaks them when he considers it necessary and welcomes the punishment That robs it of its edge or of the disgrace which it is supposed for imply

15 "In some of the published correspondence, Mr Gandhi has made much of his intention to seek an interview with the Viceroy But the Congress resolution still stood together * with Mr Gandhi's own words 'do or die 'The Government communique, on the subject of his fast, has already reminded the public of Mr Gandhi's statement made on 14th July that there was no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiation I may again quote Mr Gandhi s own words . 'Every one of you should from this moment onwards, consider yourself a free man or woman and acr as if you are free and are no longer under the heel of this imperialism' Now listen to this 'You may take it from me that I am not going to strike a bargain with the Vicerov for ministries or the like I am not going to be satisfied with anything short of complete freedom ' 'We shall do or die We shall either free India or die in the attempt "This is open rebellion "

Let me first of all make a vital correction of the quotation you have taken from my press statement made on the 14th July and reported in the Hanjan of 19th July You have quoted me as saying that "there was no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiation " The real quotation is "there is no room left for negotiations in the proposal for withdrawal "You will admit that the difference is material The faulty quotation apart, you have omitted from my statement, which occupies nearly three columns of the Harnan all the things which amplify my meaning and show the caution with which I was working I take a few sentences from that statement "It is possible that the British may negotiate a withdrawal. If they do it will be a feather in their cap Then it will cease to be a case for withdrawal. If the British see, however late, the wisdom of recognizing the freedom of India without reference to the various parties, all things are possible But the point I want to stress is this " Here follows the sentence misquoted by you. The paragraph then proceeds "Either they recognize independence or they don't After recognition many things can follow, for by that single act, the British representatives will have altered the face of the whole landscape and revived the hope of the people which has been frustrated times without number. Therefore, whenever that great act is performed on behalf of the British people, it will be a red letter day in the history of India and the world And, as I have said, it can materially affect the fortunes of the war" From this fuller quotation you will see how everything that was being done was done in order to ensure victory and ward off Japanese aggression You may not appreciate my wisdom, but you may not impugn my good faith

Though I have no verbatim report of my speeches before the All India Congress Committee, I have fairly full notes I accept the correctness of your quotations If you bear in mind that all things were said with non-violence always as the background the statements become free from any objection. Do or die "clearly means do your duty by carrying out instructions and die in the attempt if necessary.

As to my exhortation to the people to consider themselves free I take the following from my notes "The actual struggle does not commence this very moment. You have merely placed certain powers in my hands. My first act will be to wait upon H E the Viceroy and plead with him for the acceptance of the Congress demand. This may take two or three weeks What are you to do in the meanwhile? I will tell you There is the spinning wheel I had to struggle with the Maulana Saheb before it dawned upon him that in a non-violent struggle it had an abiding place. The fourteen-fold constructive programme is all there for you to carry out But there is something more you have to do and it will give life to that programme Every one of you should from this very moment, consider yourself a free man or woman and even act as if you are free and no longer under the heel of this Imperialism This is no make-believe You have to cultivate the spirit of freedom before it comes physically. The chains of a slave are broken the moment he considers himself a free man. He will then tell his master 'I have been your slave all these days but I am no longer that now You may kill me, but if you do not and if you release me from the bondage, I will ask for nothing thore from you For henceforth instead of depending upon you I shall depend upon God for food and clothing God has given me the urge for freedom and therefore I deem myself to be a free man' Apart from your resentment of the 'Quit India' cry, ask yourself whether the quotation as found in its own setting is in any way offensive? Should not a man, longing to be free, first of all cultivate the spirit of freedom and act accordingly irrespective of consequences?

16 "It is not the method of peaceful persuasion to go to the person whom you wish to convince armed with a resolution declaring mass rebellion. The essence of negotiation is that both parties should be uncommitted and thirt

neither should exert the pressure of force on the other. That is true in any circumstances. But as between a subject and the State which rules him the position is still more emphatic it is not for the subject to deal with the State on equal terms, still less to approach it with an open threat."

At the outset let me make one correction The resolution did not "declare" mass rebellion It merely sanctioned the "starting of a mass struggle on non-violent lines on the widest possible scale so that the country might utilize all the non-violent strength it has gathered during the last twenty-two years of peaceful struggle "I was to "guide the nation in the steps to be taken" The paragraph sanctioning the mass struggle also "appeals to Britain and the United Nations in the interest of freedom

The essence of negotiation should undoubtedly be that the parties are uncommitted and that neither "exerts the pressure of force on the other." In the case under consideration the actual position is that one party has overwhelming force at its disposal and the other has none. About non-committal too the Congress has a commitments except the immediate attainment of freedom. Subject to that there is the widest latitude for negotiation.

Your proposition about the subject and the State is I know a reply to the cry of "Quit India Only the cry is intrinsically just and the subject and the state formula is too antedulturian to have any real meaning. It is because the Congress has felt the subjection of India as an insufferable reproach that it has risen against it A well ordered State is subject to the people It does not descend upon the people from above but the people make and unmake it

The resolution of 8th August did not contain any threat open or veiled It prescribed the limitations under which the negotiations could be carried on and its sanction was free of all 'force", I e , violence It consisted of self-suffering Instead of appreciating the fact that the Congress laid all

its cards on the table. You have given a sinister meaning to the whole movement by drawing unwarranted inferences In so far as there was any violence after the 8th of August last on the part of any Congressman, it was wholly unauthorized as is quite clear from the resolution itself. The Government in their wisdom left me no time whatsoever for issuing instructions. The All India Congress Committee finished after midnight on the 8th August. Well before sunrise on the 9th I was carried away by the Police Commissioner without being told what crime. I had committed. And so were the members of the Working Committee and the principal Congressmen who happened to be in Bombay Is it too much when I say that the Government invited violence and did not want the movement to proceed on peaceful lines?

Now let me remind you of an occasion of an open rebellion when you played an important part I refer to the famous Bardoli Satyagraha under Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel He was conducting a campaign of civil disobedience It had evidently reached a stage when the then Governor of Bombay felt that there should be a peaceful end to the struggle. You will remember that the result of an interview between H E the then Governor and the Sardar was the appointment of a committee of which you were a distinemshed member And the committee's findings were for the most part in favour of the civil resisters. Of course you may say, if you wish, that the Governor made a mistake in negotiating with the rebel and so did you in accepting the appointment Consider the reverse position what would have happened, if instead of appointing a committee the Governor had attempted heavy repression. Would not the Government have been held responsible for an outbreak of violence if the people had lost self-control?

17 'Government does hold Mr Gandlu responsible for the recent happenings that have so disturbed the peace of India, caused so much loss of life and property of innocent persons and brought the country to the brink of a terrible danger. I do not say he had any personal complicity in acts but it was he that put the match to the train carefully laid beforehand by himself and his colleagues That he was forced to do so prematurely was not his fault but our fortune This was the method by which they hoped to gain their ends They may seek to repudiate it, now that it has proved unsuccessful, but the responsibility is theirs none-the-less If Mr Gandhi wished to dissociate himself from them, he could have spoken for himself without consulting the members of the Working Committee Can be then, without cancelling the Congress rebellion without reparation, without even assurances for the future, claim at any moment to step back as though nothing had happened into the nublic life of the country and be received by Government and society as a good citizen?

I can accept no responsibility for the unfortunate happenings described by you. I have no doubt whatsoever that history will record that the responsibility for the happenings was wholly that of the Government In the nature of things I could not out a match to a train which for one thing was never laid And if the train was never laid the question of prematureness does not arise. The deprivation of the people of their leaders you may consider" our fortune' I consider it a misfortune of the first magnitude for all concerned I wish to repudiate nothing of what I have done or intended I have no sense of repentance, for I have no sense of having done any wrong to any person. I have stated times without number that I detest violence 'in any shape or form But I can give no opinion about things of which I have no first-hand knowledge I never asked for permission to consult the Congress Working Committee to enable me to dissociate myself from violence. I asked for permission to see them if I was expected to make any

proposals on behalf of the Committee I cannot cancel the Congress rebellion which is of a purely non-violent character I am proud of it I have no reparation to make, for I have no consciousness of guilt And there can be no question of assurances for the future when I hold myself guiltless. The question of re-entering the public life of the country or being received by Government and society as a good citizen does not arise I am quite content to remain a prisoner I have never thrust myself on the public life of the country or on the Government I am but a humble servant of India The only certificate I need is a certificate from the inner voice. I hope you realize that you gave your audierice not facts but your opinions framed in anger.

To conclude why have I written this letter? Not to answer your anger with anger I have written it in the hope that you may read the sincerity behind my own words I never despair of converting any person even an official of the hardest type General Smuts was converted or say reconciled as he declared in his speech introducing the bill giving relief in terms of the settlement arrived at between him and me in 1914 That he has not fulfilled my hope or that of the Indian settlers which the settlement had inspired is a sad story, but it is irrelevant to the present nurpose I can multiply such recollections. I claim no credit for these conversions or reconciliations. They were wholly due to the working of truth and non-violence expressing themselves through me I subscribe to the belief or the philosophy that all life in its essence is one and that the humans are working consciously or unconsciously towards the realization of that identity. This belief requires a living faith in a living God who is the ultimate arbiter of our fate. Without Him not a blade of grass moves. My belief requires me not to despair even of converting you though your speech warrants no such hope If God has willed it He may put power in some word of mine which will touch your heart. Mine is but to make the effort. The result is in God's hands

The Hon'ble Sir Reginald Maxwell, Home Member. M K GANDHI

Government of India New Delhi

PERSONAL

New Delhi, the 17th June, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI.

I have your letter of the 21st May and have read with interest your comments on my Assembly speech of the 15th February I see you still maintain the position which you took up in your letters to His Excellency the Viceroy regarding the Congress resolution of the 8th August and responsibility for the disturbances that followed it As you know, Government have never accepted the construction which you sought to put upon those events So long as this fundamental difference exists, I must regretfully conclude that there is not sufficient common ground for profitable discussion of the other points raised in your letter

M K GANDHI

Yours sincerely, R MAXWELL

53

Detention Camp, 23rd June, 1943

DEAR SIR REGINALD MAXWELL,

I thank you for your reply of 17th instant received on 21st instant to my letter of 21st May last.

I had not hoped that my reply would remove the fundamental difference between us, but I had hoped and would still like to hope that the difference would be no bar to an admission and correction of discovered errors. I had thought, as I still think, that my letter did point out some errors in your Assembly speech of 15th February last I am,

Yours sincerely,

M K GANDH

Detention Camp. 4th May, 1943

DEAR QUAID-I-AZAM,

When some time after my incarceration, the Government asked me for a list of newspapers I would like to have I included the "Dawn" in my list, I have been receiving it with more or less regularity Whenever it comes to me. I read it carefully I have followed the proceedings of the League as reported in the "Dawn" columns I noted your invitation to me to write to you. Hence this letter

I welcome your invitation I suggest our meeting face to face rather than talking through correspondence But I

am in your hands

I hope that this letter will be sent to you and if you agree to my proposal, that the Government will let you visit me

One thing I had better mention There seems to be an 'if ' about your invitation Do you say I should write only if I have changed my heart? God alone knows men's hearts

I would like you to take me as I am

Why should not you and I approach the great question of communal unity as men determined on finding a common solution and work together to make our solution acceptable to all who are concerned with it or are interested in it? Yours sincerely.

M K GANDHI

55

Secretary, Government of India, Home Department. New Delhi

Detention Camp. 4th May, 1943

Will you please forward the enclosed to Quaid-1-Azam Innah ? I am. Yours faithfully,

M K GANDHI

56

Home Department, New Delhi, the 24th May, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI,

In reply to your letter of the 4th May in which you have requested the Government of India to forward a letter of the same date addressed by you to Mr Jinnah, I am to inform you that the Government of India have decided that your letter cannot be forwarded This decision is in accordance with the restrictions which, as you are aware, have been placed on your correspondence and interviews while you are under detention Government propose shortly to issue a communique, of which I enclose an advance copy, stating the fact that the letter has been withheld and the reasons therefor

Yours sincerely,

Received on 26-5-'43 at 6-30 p m

57

PRESS COMMUNIQUE

The Government of India have received a request from Mr Gandhi to forward a short letter from himself to Mr Jinnah expressing a wish to meet him

In accordance with their known policy in regard to correspondence or interviews with Mr Gandhi the Government of India have decided that this letter cannot be forwarded and have so informed Mr Gandhi and Mr Jinnah They are not prepared to give facilities for political correspondence or confact to a person detained for promoting an illegal mass movement which he has not disavowed and thus gravely embarrassing India's war effort at a critical time It rests with Mr Gandhi to satisfy the Government of India that he can safely be allowed once more to participate in the public affairs of the country, and until he does so the disabilities from which he suffers are of his own choice

DEAR SIR Richard Tottenham

I received last evening your letter of the 24th instant refusing my request to forward my letter addressed to Quaid-Azam Jinnah I wrote only yesterday to the Superintendent of this camp asking him kindly to inquire whether my letter to Quaid-Azam Junnah, and later, the one dated the 15th instant, to Right Hon ble Lord Samuel had been forwarded to the respective addressees

I am sorry for the Government's decision For, my letter to the Quaid-1-Azam was sent in reply to his public invitation to me to write to him, and I was especially encouraged to do so because his language had led me to think that if I wrote to him my letter would be forwarded to him The public too are anxious that the Quaid-i-Azam and I should meet or at least establish contact. I have always been anxious to meet the Quaid-i-Azam if perchance we could devise some solution of the communal tangle which might be generally acceptable. Therefore the disability in the present instance is much more that of the public than mine. As a Satyagrahi I may not regard as disabilities the restrictions which the Government have imposed upon me As the Government are aware, I have denied myself the pleasure of writing to my relatives as I am not allowed to perform the service of writing to my co-workers who are in a sense more to me than my relatives

The advance copy of the contemplated communique with which you have considerately favoured me requires amendation in more places than one For, as it stands, it does not square with facts

As to the disavowal referred to in the proposed communique, the Government are aware that I regard the non-violent mass movement, for the launching of which the Congress gave me authority on the 8th August last, as perfectly legitimate and in the interest of the Government and the public As it is the Government left me no time to start the movement Therefore how could a movement, which was never started embarrass "India's" wat effort? If then, there was any embarrassment by reason of the popular resentment of the Government's action in resorting to the wholesale arrests of principal Congressmen the responsibility was solely that of the Government The mass movement, as the resolution sanctioning it said in so many words was sanctioned in order to promote India-wide effort on behalf of the Allied cause, including the cause of Russia and China, whose danger was very great in August last and from which, in my opinion they are by no means free even now I hope the Government will not feel offended when I say that all the war effort that is being put forth in India is not India's but the alien Government's I submit that if the Government had complied with the request of the Congress as embodied in its August resolution, there would have been a mass effort without parallel for winning the battle of human freedom and ridding the world of the menace that Fascism Nazism. Japanism and Imperialism are I may be wholly wrong any way this is my deliberate and honest полидо

In order to make the communique accord with facts, I suggest the following alteration in the first paragraph. After Mr. Jinnah add "in response to his public invitation to Mr. Gandhi to write to him stating that he (Mr. Gandhi) would be willing to correspond with or meet him according as he wished.

I hope that the remaining portion of the communique too will be suitably amended in the light of my submission I am.

Yours sincerely M K GANDHI

Detention Camp, 28th May, 1943

DEAR SIR RICHARD TOTTENHAM,

I handed my reply to your letter, of the 24th instant, at about one o'clock yesterday, to the Superintendent. I hirried the writing and the dispatch in the hope of my letter reaching you before the publication of the communique I was therefore astonished and grieved to find the communique in the papers received in the afternoon, and Reuter's report of the reactions upon it in London Evidently there was no meaning in an advance copy of the communique being sent on me I regard the communique not only to be inconsistent with facts, but unfair to me The only way partial redress can be given to me is the publication of the correspondence between us I therefore request that it may be published

I am, Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

60

Home Department, New Delhi 4th June, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI,

I am directed to acknowledge your letter to Sir Richard Tottenham dated 27th May, 1943, and to say that the Government of India have considered it but see no reason to modify their communique already published

> Yours sincerely, CONRAN SMITH

61

Home Department,

New Delhi, the 7th June '43

DEAR MR GANDHI,

In reply to your letter to Sir Richard Tottenham dated 28th May, 1943, I am directed to say that the advance copy of the communique stating Government's reasons for not forwarding your letter to Mr Jinnah was furnished to you for your personal information and that Gowernment regret that they see no reason to publish the correspondence

Yours sincerely.

CONRAN SMITH

Received on 11-6-43

Detention Camp, 15th May, 1943

DEAR LORD SAMUEL

I enclose herewith a cutting from the Hindu dated the 8th April last containing Reuter's summary of your speech in the House of Lords, during the recent debate Assuming the correctness of the summary I feel impelled to write this letter

The report distressed me I was wholly unprepared for your unqualified association with the one-sided and unjustified statement of the Government of India against the Congress and me

You are a philosopher and a liberal A philosophic mind has always meant for me a detached mind, and liberalism a sympathetic understanding of men and things

As it seems to me there is nothing in what the Government has said to warrant the conclusions to which you are reported to have come

From the summary I select a few of the items which in my opinion, are inconsistent with facts

1 'The Congress Party has to a great extent thrown over democratic philosophy

The Congress Patty has never 'thrown over democratic philosophy' Its career has been one progressive march towards democracy Every one who subscribes to the attainment of the goal of independence through peaceful and

legitimate means and pays four annas per year can become

2 'It shows signs of turning towards toralitarianism' You have based your charge on the fact that the Working Committee of the Congress had control over the late Congress ministries Does not the successful party in the House of Commons do likewise 7 I am afraid even when democracy has come to full maturity, the parties will be running elections and their managing committees will be controlling the actions and policies of their members Individual Congressmen did not run elections independently of the party machinery Candidates were officially chosen 'Totalitarian' and they were helped by All India leaders according to the Oxford Pocket Dictionary means "designating a party that permits no rival loyalties or parties "Totalitarian State ' means " with only one governing party' It must have violence for its sanction for keeping control A Congress member, on the contrary, enjoys the same freedom as the Congress President or any member of the Working Committee There are parties within the Congress itself Above all the Congress eschews violence Members render voluntary

3 "They (Congress Ministers) resigned (not?) because they had not the support of their Assemblies. They resigned because de jure they were responsible to their electorates, de facto they were responsible to the Working Committee of the Congress and the High Command. That is not democracy. That is totalitarjanism."

obedience The All India Congress Committee can at any moment unseat the members of the Working Committee

and elect others

You would not have said this, if you had known the full facts The dejure responsibility of the ministers to the electorate was not diminished in any way by their de factor responsibility to the Congress Working Committee, for the very simple and valid reason that the Working Committee

derives its power and prestige from the very electorate to whom the ministers were responsible. The prestige that the Congress enjoys is due solely to its service of the people. As a matter of fact the ministers conferred with the members of their parties in their respective assemblies and they tendered their resignations with their approval. But totalitarianism is fully represented by the Government of India which is responsible to no one in India. It is a tragic irony that a government which is steeped in totalitarianism brings that very charge against the most democratic body in India.

 $4\,$ ' India is unhappy in that the line of party division is the worst any country can have , $\,$ it is division according to religious communities '

Political parties in India are not divided according to religious communities. From its very commencement, the Congress has deliberately remained a purely political organization It has had Britishers and Indians, including Christians, Parsis Muslims and Hindus as presidents. The Liberal Party of India is another political organization, not to mention others that are wholly non-sectarian. That, there are also communal organizations based on religion and they take part in politics is undoubtedly true But that fact cannot sustain the categorical statement made by you I do not wish in any way to minimize the importance of these organizations or the considerable part they play in the politics of the country But I do assert that they do not represent the political mind of India. It can be shown that historically the politico-religious organizations are the result of the deliberate application by the Government of the 'divide and rule policy" When the British imperial influence is totally withdrawn. India will probably be represented solely by political parties drawn from all classes and creeds

5 "The Congress can claim at best barely more than half the population of India Yet in their totalitarian spirit they claim to speak for the whole'

If you measure the representative character of the Congress by the number of members on the official roll, then it does not represent even half the population. The official membership is infinitesimal compared to India's vast population of nearly four hundred millions. The enrolled membership began only in 1920. Before that the Congress was represented by its All India Congress Committee whose members were mainly elected by various political associations. Nevertheless the Congress has, so far as I know, always claimed to speak the mind of India, not even excluding the Princes A country under alien subjection can only have one political goal, namely, its freedom from that subjection. And considering that the Congress has always and predominantly exhibited that spirit of freedom, its claim to represent the whole of India can hardly be denied That some parties repudiate the Congress does not derogate from the claim in the sense in which it has been advanced

6 'When Mr Gandhi called upon the British Government to quit 'India, he said it would be for the Congress to take delivery'

I never said that when the British quitted India the Congress would take delivery This is what I said in my letter to H E the Viceroy dated 29th February last "The Government have evidently ignored or overlooked the very material fact that the Congress, by its August resolution asked nothing for itself All its demands were for the whole people As you should be aware, the Congress was willing and prepared for the Government inviting Quaid-i-Azam Jinnah to form a National Government subject to such agreed adjustments as may be necessary for the duration of the war, such Government being responsible to a duly elected Assembly Being isolated from the Working Committee except Shrimati Sarojini Devi I do not know its present mind But the Committee is not liklely to have changed its mind"

7 "If this country or Canada, Australia, New Zealand or South Africa or the United States had abstained from action as the Congress in India abstained then perhaps the cause of freedom everywhere would have gone under

It is a pity that the leaders of the Congress do not realize that glory is not to be won in India by abandoning

the cause of mankind"

How can you compare India with Canada and other dominions which are virtually independent entities, let alone Great Britain or the United States wholly independent countries? Has India a spark of the freedom of the type enjoyed by the countries named by you? India has yet to attain her freedom Supposing the Allied powers were to lose, and supposing further that the Allied forces were to withdraw from India under military necessity, which I do not expect. the countries you name may lose their independence. But unhappy India will be obliged to change masters, if she is even then in her defenceless state. The Congress does not abstain out of cussedness Neither the Congress, nor any other organization can possibly kindle mass enthusiasm for the Allied cause without the present possession of independence, to use your own expression either de jure or de facto Mere promise of future independence cannot work that miracle The cry of "Quit India" has arisen from a realization of the fact that if India is to shoulder the burden of representing, or fighting for the cause of mankind, she must have the glow of freedom now Has a freezing man ever been warmed by the promise of the warmth of sunshine coming at some future date?

The great pity is that the ruling power distrusts every thing that the Congress does or says under my influence which it has suddenly discovered is wholly evil It is necessary for a clear understanding that you should know my connection with the Congress and Congressmen It was in 1935 that I was successful in my attempt to sever all formal

connection with the Congress There was no coolness between the Congress Working Committee members and myself But I realized that I was cramped and so were the members, whilst I was officially connected with the Congress The growing restraints which my conception of non-violence required from time to time were proving too hard to bear I felt therefore that my influence should be strictly moral I had no political ambition. My politics were subservient to the demands of truth and non-violence, as I had defined and practised for practically the whole of my life And so I was permitted by the fellow members to sever the official connection even to the extent of giving up the four anna membership. It was understood between us that I should attend the meetings of the Working Committee only when the members required my presence for consultation in matters involving the application of non-violence or affecting communal unity Since that time I have been wholly unconnected with the routing work of the Congress Many meetings of the Working Committee have therefore taken place without me Their proceedings I have seen only when they have been published in the newspapers The members of the Working Committee are independent minded men. They engage me often in prolonged discussions before they accept my advice on the interpretation of non-violence as applied to problems arising from new situations It will be therefore unjust to them and to me to say that I exercise any influence over them beyond what reason commands The public know how even until quite recently the majority of the members of the Working Committee have on several occasions rejected my advice

8 "They have not merely abstained from action, but the Congress has deliberately proclaimed the formula that it is wrong to help the British war effort by men or mone; and the only worthy effort is to resist all war with nonviolent resistance. In the name of non-violence they have led a movement which was characterized in many places by the utmost violence and the White Paper 'gives clear proof of the complicity of the Indian Congress leaders in the disorders"

This charge shows to what extent the British public has been misled by imaginary stories, as in the Government of India publication statements have been torn from their context and put together as if they were made at one time or in the same context. The Congress is committed to non--violence so far as the attainment of freedom is concerned And to that end the Congress has been struggling all these twenty years, however imperfectly it may be, to express non-violence in action, and I think it has succeeded to a great extent But it has never made any pretence of war resistance through non-violence Could it have made that claim and lived up to it, the face of India would have been changed and the world would have witnessed the miracle of organized violence being successfully met by organized nonviolence But human nature has nowhere risen to the full height which full non-violence demands. The disturbances that took place after the 8th of August were not due to any action on the part of the Congress They were due entirely to the inflamatory action of the Government in arresting Congress leaders throughout India and that at a time which was psychologically wholly wrong The utmost that can be said is that Congressmen or others had not risen high enough in non-violence to be proof against all provocation

It surprises me that although you have admitted that "this White Paper may be good journalism but it is not so good as a state document," you have based your sweeping judgement on the strength of that paper. If you would read the very speeches to which the paper makes reference, you will find there ample material to show that the Government of India had not the slightest justification in making those unfortunate arrests on August 9th last and after, or in making the charges they, have brought against the arrested leaders.

after their incarceration - charges which have never been sifted in any court of law

9 "Mr Gandhi faced us with an utterly illegitimate method of political controversy, levying blackmail on the best of human emotions pity and sympathy, by his fast The only creditable thing to Mr Gandhi about the fast was his ending it."

You have used a strong word to characterize my fast H E the Viceroy has also allowed himself to use the same word You have perhaps the excuse of ignorance He had no such excuse for he had my letters before him All I can tell you is that fasting is an integral part of Satyagraha It is a Satyagrahi's ultimate weapon. Why should it be blackmall when a man under a sense of wrong crucifies his flesh? You may not know that Satyagrahi prisoners fasted in South Africa for the removal of their wrongs, so they have done in India One fast of mine you know, as I think you were then a Cabinet minister I refer to the fast which resulted in the alteration of the decision of His Majesty's Governent If the decision had stood, it would have perpetuated the curse of untouchability. The alteration prevented the disaster

The Government of India communique announcing my recent fast, issued after it had commenced, accused me of having undertaken the fast to secure my release It was a wholly false accusation. It was based on a distortion of the letter I had written in answer to that of the Government. That letter dated the 8th February was suppressed at the time when the communique was issued. If you will study the question, I refer you to the following which were published in the newspapers.—

My letter to H E the Viceroy dated, New Year's Eve, 1942 H. E. 's reply dated, January 13, 1943 My letter dated, January 19, 1943

H. E. 's reply dated, January 25, 1943.

My letter dated, January 29, 1943 H E 's reply dated February 5, 1943 My letter dated, February 8, 1943

Sir R Tottenham's letter dated, February 7, 1943

My reply dated, February, 8, 1943

And I do not know from where you got the impression that I ended the fast, for which supposed act you give me the redit If you mean by it that I ended the fast before its time, I would call such an ending a discredit to me As it was, the fast, ended on its due date for which I can claim no credit.

10 "He (Lord Samuel) considered that the negotiations

broke down on points on which they would not have broken down, had there been any real desire on the part of the

Congress to come to a settlement'

The statement made by the President of the Congress, Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, and Pandut Nehru, who carried on the prolonged negoriations, I venture to think, make it quite clear that no true man could have shown more real or greater desire for a settlement. In this connection it is well to remember that Pandut Nehru was, and I have no doubt still remains, an intimate friend of Sir Stafford Cripps at whose invitation he had come from Allahabad He could therefore leave no stone unturned to bring the negoriations to a successful issue The history of the failure has yet to be written, when it is, it will be found that the cause lay elsewhere than with the Congress

I hope my letter has not wearied you Truth has been overlaid with much untruth. If not justice to a great organization, the cause of Truth, which is humanity, demands an impartial investigation of the present distemper

Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

The Rt Hon'ble Lord Samuel, House of Lords, London Enclo 1 63
Home Department,
New Delby the 26th May, 1943

DEAR MR GANDHI

I am destred to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of May 15th enclosing a letter for the Right Hon'ble Lord Samuel I am to say that, for the reasons which have been explained to you in another connection, the Government of India have decided that your letter cannot be forwarded

Yours sincerely R TOTTENHAM

64

Detention Camp, 1st June 1943

DEAR SIR RICHARD TOTTENHAM

I have your note of the 26th ultimo conveying the Government's decision about my letter to the Rt. Hon ble Lord Samuel I would just like to say that the letter is not political correspondence but it is a complaint to a member of the House of Lords pointing out misrepresentations into which he has been betrayed and which do me an injustice. The Government's decision amounts to a ban on the ordinary right belonging even to a convict of correcting damaging misrepresentations made about him Moreover, I suggest that the decision about my letter to Quard--Azam Jinnah is wholly inapplicable to this letter to the Rt. Hon ble Lord Samuel Therefore I request reconsideration of the decision I am.

Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

65 Home Department New Delhi 7th June 1943

DEAR MR. GANDHI

I am directed to acknowledge your letter to Sir Richard Tottenham dated first June, 1943, on the subject of Government's decision regarding your letter to Lord Samuel and to say that Government regret that they do not see their way to alter that decision

> Yours sincerely, CONRAN SMITH

E 66 ' ^

The Additional Secretary, Detention Camp,
Home Department, Government of India,
New Pelly

Sir.

I observe from the daily papers that there is a persistent rumour going round that I have written to H E the Viceroy withdrawing the A I C C resolution of 8th August last I observe too that much speculation is being built upon the rumour I suggest that the Government should issue a contradiction of the rumour For I have neither the authority nor the wish to withdraw the resolution My personal opinion is that the resolution was the only one the A I C C could have passed if the Congress was to make any effective contribution to the cause of human freedom which is involved in the immediate independence of India

I am. ect.
M K GANDHI

67

Received on 2-8-'43

From

Government of India, Home Department, New Delhi 29th July, 1943

Sir Richard Tottenham, C S I, C I E, I-C S,

Addl Secretary to the Government of India

To M K Gandhi Esquire, Aga Khan's Palace, Poona Sir.

In reply to your letter of the 16th July, I am directed to inform you that the Government of India do not think

70

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA, HOME DEPARTMENT New Delbi 19th March '43

DEAR SIR.

We understand from your letter of March 5th, which reached me a few days ago, that Mr. Gandhi wishes to have a copy of the Government of India publication entitled "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances, 1942-43". If so, I am desired to say that we should be glad to supply it.

Pyarelal, Esor.

Detention Camp. Poona

Yours truly, R TOTTENHAM

71

Detention Camp, 26th March, 1943

DEAR SIR,

With reference to your letter of 19th inst. I have to say that your interpretation of my letter of March 5th is correct and Gandhiji will be thankful if a copy of the pamphlet "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances, 1942-43" is supplied to him.

Sir Richard Tottenham,

Yours truly, PYARELAL

New Delhi

72

D. O. No 19-9-43 Poll. (1)

Government of India,
Home Department.

New Delhi, 5th April, 1943

DEAR SIR,

With reference to your letter of March the 26th, I'am desired to enclose herewith a copy of the pamphlet "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances, 1942-43" as requested by Mr. Gandhi.

Pyarclal, Esq.

Yours truly, R. TOTTENHAM

Detention Camp. Poona

R. TOTTENH

M K GANDHI'S REPLY WITH APPENDICES *

"CONGRESS RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE DISTURBANCES 1942-43"

To
The Additional Secretary,

Detention Camp, 15th July, 1943

Government of India, H D, New Delhi DEAR SIR.

In reply to my request dated 5th March last for a copy of Government of India publication entitled "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances 1942-43," I received a copy on 13th April It contains several corrections marked in red ink Some of them are striking

2 I take it that the Government have based the charges made in the publication against the Congress and myself on the material printed therein and not on the evidence which, as stated in the preface, is withheld from the public

3. The preface is brief and is signed by Sir R Tottenham. Additional Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department It is dated 13th February last, i e, three days after the commencement of my recent fast. The date is omnous Why was the period of my fast chosen for publishing a document in which I am the tartet?

4 The preface commences thus:

"In response to demands which have reached Government from several sources Government have now prepared a review which brings together a number of facts bearing on the responsibility of Mr Gandhi and the Congress High Command for the disturbances which followed the same toning of a mass movement by the A I C C on August 8th 1942

There is an obvious mis-statement here The disturbances followed not the "sanctioning of the mass movement by the A I C C" but the arrests made by the Government. As for the "demands", so far as I am aware, they began soon

[&]quot;It is requested that the Appendices should be regarded as an integral part of the reply M K. G

after the wholesale arrests of principal Congressmen all over India As the Government are aware, in my letters to H E the Viceroy, the last being dated 7th February, 1943, I had asked for proof in support of my alleged guilt The evidence now produced might have been given to me when I raised the question Had my request been compiled with, one advantage would certainly have accrued I would have been heard in answer to the charges brought against me * That very process would have delayed the fast and who knows, if Government had been patient with me it might have even prevented it.*

- 5 The preface contains the following sentence Almost all the facts presented in this review are, or should be, already within the knowledge of the public 'Therefore, so far as the public are concerned there was no such hurry as to require publication of the document during the fast. This train of reasoning has led me to the inference that it was published in expectation of my death which medical opinion must have considered almost a certainty. It was feared even during my previous long fasts. I hope my inference is wholly wrong and the Government had a just and valid reason for choosing the time that they did for the publiction of what is after all an indictment of the Congress and me I hope to be pardoned for putting on paper an inference, which if true must discredit the Government. I feel that I am being just to them by unburdening myself of a suspicion instead of harbouring it and allowing it to cloud my judgement about their dealings with me
- 6 I now come to the indictment itself It reads like a presentation of his case by a prosecutor. In the present case the prosecutor happens to be also the policeman and jallor. He first arrests and gags his victims and then opens his case behind their backs.
- 7 I have read it again I have gone through the numbers of Harijan which my companions happened to have with

them, and I have come to the conclusion that there is nothing in my writings and doings that could have warranted the inferences and the innuendoes of which the indictment is full. In spite of my desire to see myself in my writings as the author has seen me I have completely failed

8 The indictment opens with a misrepresentation I am said to have deplored "the introduction of foreign soldiers into India to aid in India's defence In the Harrian article on which the charge is based. I have refused to believe that India was to be defended through the introduction of foreign soldiers If it is India's defence that is aimed at, why should trained Indian soldiers be sent away from India and foreign soldiers brought in instead? Why should the Congress - an organization which was born and lives for the very sake of India's freedom - be suppressed? I am clearer today in my mind than I was when I penned that article on 16th April that India is not being defended and that if things continue to shape themselves as they are. India will sink at the end of the war deeper than she is today, so that she might forget the very word freedom. Let me quote the relevant passages from the Haryan article referred to by the author

I must confess that I do not look upon this event with equanimity Cannot a limitless number of soldiers be trained out of India millions? Would they not make as food fighting material as any in the world? Then why foreigners? We know what American and means It amounts in the end to American india Mencia influence if not American rule added to British It is a tremendous price to pay for the possible success of Allted arms I see no Indian freedom peeping through all this, preparation for the so called defence of India It is a "preparation pure and simple for the defence of the British Empire whatever may be asserted to the contraty (Harpisa April 25 1942, p. 1283) (Vide Appendix I (T)

9 The second paragraph of the indictment opens with this pregnant sentence

"It will be suggested that during the period of Mr Gandhis first advocacy of British withdrawal from India and the meeting of the All India Congress Committee in Bombay on August 7th the Congress High devote the whole of my energy "to this supreme act". By simply detaching the phrase from its context, mystery has been made to surround it The phrase "supreme act" occurs in an argument addressed to an English friend and, if it is read in its setting, it ceases to be mysterious or objectionable unless the very idea of withdrawal is held objectionable. Here are the relevant parts from the argument

I am convunced therefore that the time has come during the war not after it for the British and the Indians to be reconciled to complete separation from each other That way and that way alone lies the safety of both and shall I say the world I see with the naked eye that the estrangement is growing Feery act of the British Government is being interpreted and I think rightly as being in its own interest and for its own safety There is no such thing as joint common interest. Ractial wayeenority is treated not as a vice but a virtue This is true nor only in India but it is equally true in Africa it is true in Burma and Ceylon These countries could not be held otherwise than by assertion of race superiority

This is a drastic disease requiring a drastic remedy I have pointed out the remedy—complete and immediate orderly withdrawal of the British from India at least, in reality and properly from all non European possessions It will be the bravest and the cleanest act of the British people It will at once put the Allied cause on a completely moral basis and may even lead to a most honourable peace between the warring nations. And the clean end of Imperialism is likely to be the end of Fascism and Natism The suggested action will certainly blunt the edge of Fascism and Nazism which are an offshoot of Imperialism.

British distress cannot be relieved by nationalist India 5 aid in the manner sugested by the writer It is ill equipped for the purpose even it it can be made enthusiastic about it. And what is there to enthuse nationalistic India? Just as a person cannot feel the glow of the sun a heat in its absence even so India cannot feel the glow of the own at the actual exp.rience of it Many of us simply cannot contemplate an utterly free India with calmners and equaminity. The first experience is likely to be a shock before the glow comes That shock is a necessity. India is a mighty nation. No one an rell how she will act and with what effects when the shock is all livered.

I feel therefore that I must devote the whole of my energy to the advantage of the supreme act. The writer of the letter admits the wrong done to Inda by the British I suggest to the writer that the first condition of British success is the pre-ent undoing of the wrong It should precede not follow victory. The presence of the British in India is an invirtion

to Japan to invade India. Their withdrawal removes the bait Assume however that it does not free India will be better able to cope with the invasion Unadulterated non cooperation will then have full sway

(Harijan May 10 1942 p 148)

In this long extract, the phrase "supreme act" takes its legitimate place It does not refer simply to the British withdrawal Bur it sums up all that must precede and succeed it. It is an act worthy of the energy not of one person but of hundreds. This is how I began my answer to the English frend's letter.

I can but repeat what I felt and said in my letter to Lord Linhthgow recording my impressions of the first interview with him after the declaration, of war I have nothing to withdraw nothing to repent of I remain the same friend today of the British that I was then I have not a trace of hatred in me towards them Burl have never been blind to their limitations as I have not been to their great virtues.

(Harijan May 10 1942 p 148)

To read and fully understand my writings, it is necessary to understand always this background. The whole of the movement has been conceived for the mutual benefit of India and England. Unfortunately, the author, ignoring this background, has approached my writings with coloured spectacles has torn sentences and phrases from their 'context, and dressed them up to suit his preconception. Thus he has put out of joint "their withdrawal removes the bait", and omitted the sentence that immediately follows and which I have restored in the foregoing extract. As is clear from the above article, unadulterated non-cooperation here refers exclusively to the lananese.

12 The last paragraph at page 2 begins thus

In its eather stages Mr Gandhis Quit India move was meant and was widely interpreted as a proposal for the physical withdrawal from India of the British (italics mine) and of all Allied and British troops

I have searched, and so have the friends with me, in vain, for some expression in my writings which would warrant the opinion that 'Quit India' move was meant as a proposal for the physical withdrawal of the British from India It is

true that colour was lent to such an interpretation by a superficial reading of a sentence in the article of Hanjan of April 26th, already quoted. As soon as my attention was drawn to it by an English friend, I wrote in the Hanjan of 21th May 15 follows.

There is evidently confusion in some rands about my invitation to the British to withdraw For a British er writes to say that he likes India and her people and would not like willingly to leave India. He likes too my method of non wolence Evidently the writer has confused the individual as such with the individual as the holder of power. India has no quarrie with the British peo, le I have hundreds of British frends, Andrews friend ship was enough to the me to the British people."

With this clear enunciation of my views before him at the time of penning the indictment, how could be say that I had 'meant' physical withdrawal of the British as distinguished from the British power? And I am not aware that my writing was "widely interpreted as such" He has quoted nothing in support of this statement.

13 The author proceeds in the same paragraph

e "As late as June 14th he makes for the purpose of his scheme the assumption that the Commander-in Chief of the united American and British armies has decided that India is no good as a base "

"For the purpose of his scheme" is a gratuitous interpolation here The extract is taken from an interview with several journalists I was answering a series of questions At one stage I had put a counter question thus, "supposing England retires from India for strategic purposes, and apart from my proposal,—as they had to do in Burma—what would happen? What would India do?' They replied "That is exactly what we have come to learn from you We would certainly like to know that" I rejoined 'Well, therein comes my non-violence For we have no weapons Mind you, we have assumed that the Commandet-in-Chief of the united American and British armies has decided that India is no good \$5 a base, and that they should withdraw to some other base and concentrate the Allied forces there We can't help it We have then to depend on what strength we have We have no army, no military resources no military skill either, worth the name, and non-violence is the only thing we can fall back upon "It is clear from this quotation that I was not expounding any scheme I was merely arguing about possibilities based on assumptions agreed between the interviewers and myself

14 The author proceeds

"Added strength is given to the belief that this is a correct interpretation of Mr Gandhis original intentions by the prominence to which attention has already been drawn of the theme that the British withdrawal would remove any Japanese motive for invading India for with the British and Allied armies still in India how is the bait removed."

I have just now shown that the physical withdrawal of the British was never contemplated by me, of the Allied and the British troops was certainly contemplated in the first instance Therefore it is not a question of 'interpretation', because it is one of fact But the sentence has been impressed in order to make what is straight look crooked

15 Then proceeds the author

At the same time he made it clear that on the British departure the Indian army would be disbanded

I made clear no such thing What I did was to discuss with interviewers the possibilities in the event of British withdrawal Indian army being a creation of the British Government, I assumed, would be automatically disbanded when that power withdrew, unless it was taken over by a treaty, by the replacing government If the withdrawal took place by agreement and with goodwill on both sides these matters should present no difficulty I give in the Appendix the relevant passages from the interview on the subject [vide Appendix I (S).]

16 From the same paragraph I take the following

"Bowing to the gathering force of this opposition and also as will be shown later with a possible view to reconcling disagreement among members of the Working Committee Mr Gandhi discovered the gap in his original proposals In Harijan of June 14th he paved the way. — by the slightly cryptic assertion that if he had his way the Indian National Government when formed would tolerate the presence of the United Nations on Indian soil under certain well, defined conditions but would permit no further assistance—for the more definite statement made to an American journalist in the following weeks Hariyan when in reply to a question whether he envisaged free Indias allowing Allied troops to operate from India he said I do It will be only then that you will see real cooperation. He continued that he did not contemplate the complete shifting of Allied troops from India and that, provided India became entirely free he could not insist on their withdrawal.

This is for me the key thought opening the author's mind It is built on finding motives other than those that are apparent from my language. Had I been guided by the force of the opposition whether from the foreign or the Indian Press or from Congressmen, I should not have hesitated to say so It is well known that I am as capable of resisting opposition that makes no appeal to my head or my heart as I am of readily yielding when it does But the literal fact is that when I gave the country the withdrawal formula. I was possessed by one idea and one only, that if India was to be saved and also the Allied cause, and if India was to play not merely an effective but, maybe a decisive part in the war. India must be absolutely free now The 'gap' was this although the British Government might be willing to declare India's independence, they might still wish, for their own and for China's defence, to retain their troops in India What would be my position in that case? It is now well known that the difficulty was presented to me by Mr Louis Fischer He had come to Sevagram and staved with me for nearly a week. As a result of the discussions between us, he drew up certain questions for me to answer My reply to his second question, the author describes as a "slightly cryptic assertion paving the way for a "more definite statement in the following week's Harnan" I give below the whole of the article embodying the questions and answers It was written on 7th June 1942, and appeared in the Harijan dated 14th June p 188

IMPORTANT QUESTIONS

A friend was discussing with me the implications of the new proposal As the discussion was naturally desultors, I asked him to frame his questions, which I would answer through Harrison. He affeed and days me the following

1 O' You ask the British Government to withdraw immediately from India, Would Indians thereupon form a national government, and what groups or parties would participate in such an Indian government?

A My proposal is onesided a c. for the British Government to act upon, wholly irrespective of what Indians would do or would not do I have even assumed temporary chaos on their withdrawal. But if the withdrawal takes place in an orderly manner it is likely that on their withdrawal a provisional covernment will be set up by and from among the present leaders. But another thing may also happen. All those who have no thought of the nation but only of themselves may make a bid for power and get together the turbulent forces with which they would seek to gain control somewhere and somehow I should hope that with the complete final and honest withdrawal of the British power, the wise leaders will realize their responsibility forget their differences for the moment and set up a provisional government out of the material left by the British power As there would be no power regulating the admission or rejection of parties or persons to or from the Council board restraint alone will be the guide. If that happens probably the Congress, the League and the States representatives will be allowed to function and they will come to a loose understanding on the formation of provisional national government All this is necessarily guesswork and nothing more

2. O Would that Indian national government permit the United Nations to use Indian territory as a base of military operations against Japan and other Axis nowers?

A Assuming that the national government is formed and if it answers my expectations its first act would be to enter into a treaty with the United Nations for defensive operations against aggressive powers it being common cause that India will have nothing to do with any of the Fascist powers and India would be morally bound to help the United Nations

3 Q What further assistance would this Indian national government be ready to render the United Nations in the course of the present war against the Fascist adgressors?

A If I have any hand in guiding the imagined national government there would be no further assistance save the toleration of the United Nations on the Indian soil under well-defined conditions. Naturally there will be no prohibition against any Indian giving his own personal help by way of being a recruit or/and of giving financial aid It should be understood that the Indian army has been disbanded with the withdrawal of British power Again if I have any say in the councils of the national government all its power prestige and resources would be used towards bringing about world peace But of course after the formation of the national government my voice may be a voice in the wilderness and nationalist India may to war-mad

4 Q Do you believe this collaboration between India and the Allied powers might or should be formulated in a treaty of alliance or an agreement for mutual and?

A 1 think the question is altogether ptemature and in any case it will not much matter whether the relations are regulated by treaty or agreement. I do not even see any difference

Let me sum up my attitude One thing and only one thing for me is solid and certain This unnatural prostration of a great nation - it is neither nations' nor 'peoples - must cease if the victory of the Allies is to be ensured. They lack the moral basis. I see no difference between the Fascist or Nazi powers and the Allies All are exploiters all resort to ruthlessness to the extent required to compass their end America and Britain are very great nations but their greatness will count as dust before the bar of dumb humanity whether African or Asiatic They and they alone have the power to undo the wrong They have no right to talk of human liberty and all else unless they have washed their hands clean of the pollution That necessary wash will be their surest insurance of success for they will have the good wishes - unexpressed but no less certain - of millions of dumb Asiatics and Africans Then but not till then will they be fighting for a new order. This is the reality. All else is speculation I have allowed myself however to include in it as a test of my bona fides and for the sake of explaining in a concrete manner what I mean by my proposal.

What is described as the 'more definite statement' is nothing but an impromptur reply given to an American journalist, Mr Grover representative of the Associated Press of America If that interview had not chanced to come about, there might have been no statement 'more definite' than what appeared in my reply to Mr Louis Fischer. Hence the writer's suggestion that I "paved the way" for "the more definite statement" in the following week's Harijan is altogether unwarrtanted, if I may not call it even mischievous I do not regard my answers to Mr Louis Fischer as a 'slightly ctyptic statement' They are deliberate

answers given to deliberate questions framed after a full discussion lasting a week. My answers show very clearly that I had no scheme beyond the 'Quit India' formula, that all else was guess, and that immediately the Allied Nations' difficulty was made clear to me, I capitulated. I saw the "gap" and filled it in, in the best manner I know. The 'definite statement', fortunately for me, in my opinion, leaves little room if any for conjectures and insinuations in which the writer has indulged. Let it speak for itself. Here are the relevant portions:

IT WILL BE FELT BY THE WORLD

Coming to the point Mr Grover said again "There is a good deal of speculation that you are planning some new movement. What is the nature of it?"

"It depends on the response made by the Government and the people I am trying to find out public opinion here and also the reaction on the world outside"

"When you speak of the response, you mean response to your new proposal?"

"Oh yes, said Gandhiji, "I mean response to the proposal that the British Government in India should end today Are you startled?"

' I am not," said Mr Grover, "you have been asking for it and working for it."

"That's right I have been working for it for years But now it has taken definite shape and I say that the British power in India should go today for the world peace, for Chuna, for Russia and for the Allied cause I shall explain to you how it advances that Allied cause Complete independence frees India's energies, frees her to make her contribution to the world crists Today the Allies are carrying the burden of a huge corpse—a huge nation lying prostrate at the feet of Britain, I would even say at the feet of the Allies For America is the predominant pattner, financing the war, giving her mechanical ability and her resources which are inexhaustible America is thus a partner in the guilt."

"Do you see a situation when after full independence is granted American and Allied troops can operate from India?" Mr. Grover pertinently asked.

"I do," said Gandhiji. 'It will be only then that you will see real cooperation. Otherwise all the effort you put up may fail. Just now Britain is baving India's resources because India is her possession. Tomorrow whatever the help, it will be real help from a free India." You think India in control interferes with Allied action to meet Japan's aggression?

It does

When I mentioned Allied troops operating I wanted to know whether you contemplated complete shifting of the present troops from India?

Not necessarily

It is on this that there is a lot of misconception

You have to study all I am writing I have discussed the whole question in the current issue of Hanjam I do not want them to go no condition that India becomes entitlely free. I cannot them majes to n their withdrawal because I want to resist with all my might the charge of inviting Japan or India.

But suppose your proposal is rejected what will be your next move?

It will be a move which will be felt by the whole world It may not interfere with the movement of British troops but it is sure to engage British attention It would be wrong of them to reject my proposal and say India should remain a slave in order that Britain may win or be able to defend China I cannot accept that degrading position India free and independent will play a prominent part in defending China Today I do not think she is rendering any real help to China. We have followed the non-embarrassment policy so far We will follow it even now But we cannot allow the British Government to exploit it in order to strengthen the strangle hold on India And today it amounts to that The way for instance in which thousands are being asked to vacate their homes with nowhere to go to no land to cultivate no resources to fall back upon is the reward of our non embarrassment This should be impossible in any free country I cannot tolerate India submitting to this kind of treatment. It means greater degradation and servility and when a whole nation accepts servility it means good bye for ever to freedom

INDIA'S GAINS FROM BRITISH VICTORY? *

All you want is the civil grip relaxed. You won't then hinder military activity? was Mr. Grover's next question.

I do not know I want unadulterated independence If the military activity serves but to strengthen the atrangle hold I must res at that 200 I am no philanthropist to go on helping at the expense of my freedom And what I want you to see is that a corpse cannot give any help to 8 lung body The Allies have no moral cause for which they are fightner so long as they are carrying this double sin on their shoulders the sin of Indias subjection and the subjection of the Negroes and African Faces.

Mr Grover tried to draw a picture of a free India after an Allied victory Why not wait for the boons of victory? Gandhiji mentioned a the boons of the last World War the Rowlatt Act and martial law and

Amritisar Mr Grover mentioned more economic and industrial prospectify
— by no means due to the grace of the government but by the force of
circumstances and economic prosperity was a step further forward to Swaraj
Gandhiji said the few industrial gains were wrung out of unwilling hands he
set no store by such gains after this war those gains may be further slackles
and it was a doubtful proposition whether there would be any gains — when
one had in mind the industrial policy that was being followed during the
war Mr Grover did not seriously press the point

WHAT CAN AMERICA DO?

You don't expect any assistance from America in persuading Britain to relinquish her hold on India asked Mt Grover half incredulously

I do indeed replied Gandhiji

With any possibility of success?

There is every possibility I should think said Gandhiji I have every right to expect America to throw her full weight on the side of justice if she is convinced of the unstice of the Indian cause "

You don't think the American Government is committed to the British remaining in India?

I hope not But British diplomacy is so clever that America even though it may not be committed and in spite of the desire of President Roosevelt and the people to help India it may not succeed British propa ganda is so well organized in America against the Indian cause that the few friends India has there have no chance of being effectively heard And the political system is so rigid that public opinion does not affect the administration.

It may slowly said Mr Grover apologetically

Slowly? said Gandhiji I have waited long and I can wait no longer It is a t-trible tragedy that 40 crores of people should have no say in this wat If we have the freedom to play our part we can arrest the march of lapan and save China

WHAT DO YOU PROMISE TO DO?

Mr Grover having made himself sure that Gandhiji did not insist on the literal withdrawal of either the Bitush or the troops now placing himself in the position of the Allies began to calculate the gains of the bargain Gandhiji of course does not want independence as a reward of any services but as a right and in discharge, of a debt long overtide. What specific things would be done by India: to save China asked Mr. Grover. If India is declared independent?

Great things I can say at once though I may not be able to specify them today said Gandhiji. For I do not know what government we, aball, have We have various political organizations here which I expect would be able to work your a proper national solution Just now they are not solid parties, they are often acted upon by the British power, they look up to it and its frown or favour means much to them The whole atmosphere is corrupt and rotten. Who can foresee the possibilities of a corpse coming to life? At present India is a dead weight to the Allies."

"By dead weight you mean a menace to Britain and to American interests here?"

I do It is a menace in that you never know what sullen India will do at a given moment

"No but I want to make myself sure that if genuine pressure was brought to bear on Britain by America, there would be solid support from yourself?

"Myself? I do not count — with the weight of 73 years on my shoulders Bury up get the cooperation — whatever it can give willingly — of a free and mighty nation. My cooperation is of course there I exercise what influence I can by my writings from week to week. But India's is an infinitely greater influence. Today because of widespread discontent there is not that active hostility to Japanese advance. The moment we are free, we are transformed into a nation prizing its liberty and defending it with all its might and therefore helping the Allied cause."

'May I concretely ask -- will the difference be the difference that there is between what Burma did and what, say, Russia is doing? said Mr Grover

"You might put it that way They might have given Burma independence after separating it from India. But they did nothing of the kind, They stuck to the same old policy of exploiting her There was little cooperation from Burmans, on the contrary there was hossibly or inertia. They fought neither for their own cause nor for the Allied cause Now take a possible contingency. If the Japanese compel the Allies to retire from India to a safer base. I cannot say today that the whole of India will be up in arms against the Japanese I have a fear that they may degrade themselves as some Burmans did 1 want india to oppose Japan to a man. If India was reseme Burmans did 1 want india to oppose Japan to a man. If India was her mind would be changed All parties would then act as one man If this live independence is declared today I have no doubt India becomes a powerful Ally."

Mr Grover rased the question of communal disunion as a handicapand himself added that before the American Independence there was not much unity in the States 'I can only say that as soon as the vicious influence of the third party is withdrawn the parties will be face to face with reality and close up ranks' said Gandhiju. 'Ten to one my conviction is that the communal quarrels will disappear as soon as the British power that keeps us apart disappears."

WHY NOT DOMINION STATUS?

Would not Dominion Status declared today do equally well? was Mr Grover's final question

No good said Gandhiji instantaneously We will have no half measures no tinkering with independence It is not independence that they will give to this party or that party but to an indefinable India It was wrong I say to possess India. The wrong should be righted by leaving India to therself. (Hanjan June 21 1942 pp 139 et seq)

17 The rest of the chapter is taken up with a colourful description of the draft resolution I sent to Allahabad and a quotation containing remarks attributed to Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru, and Shri Rajagopalachari on that resolution Immediately after the publication of the extracts from the notes seized by the Government, Panditji issued a statement which I append hereto [vide Appendix V (C)] I cannot understand why the author has disregarded that important statement, unless for the reason that he disbelieved Panditji sexplanation As for Shri Rajagopalachari s statement, the author stands on less insecure ground Rajaji certainly holds the views attributed to him In the interview with Mr Grover, the American correspondent, this is what I said about Rajai's difference with me

May I finally ask you about your attitude to Rajaji s move?

I have declared that I will not discuss Rajaji in public. It is ugly to be talking at valued colleagues. My differences with him stand but there are some things which are too sacred to be discussed in public

But Mr Grover had not so much in mind the Pakistan controversy as C R s crusade for the formation of a national government Mr Grover had the discernment to make it clear that C R could not be motivated by British Government His position happens to harmonite with them

You are right, said Gandliny It is fear of the Japanese that makes that tolerate the British rule He would postpone the question of freedom until after the war On the contrary I say that if the war is to be decisively won. India must be freed to play her part to-day I find no flaw in my position I have arrived at it after considerable debating within myself I am doing nothing in hurry or anger There is not the slightest room mus from actionmodating his Japanese No I am sure that Indias independence is not only essential for India, but for China and the Allied cause

18 The first chapter concludes with the following commentary on the draft which had been sent by me to the Committee at Allahabad

A draft to repeat of which the whole thought and background is one of favouring Japan a resolution which amounts to running into the arms of Japan

And this is written in spite of Pandit Jawaharlal's repudiation of the statement attributed to him, and in spite of my explanation about differences with Rajaji — all of which was before the writer

19 In support of my contention that the author had no warrant for the opinions expressed in the sentences quoted, I would like to draw attention to the following extracts from my press statement reported in the Bombay Chronicle of 5th August last

As the language of the draft (the one that was sent to Allahabad) shows it had many I's to be dorted and T's to be crossed. It was sent through Miraben to whom I had explained the implications of the draft and I said to her or to the friends of the Working Committee who happened to be in Sevagram to whom I had explained the draft that there was an omission—deliberate—from my draft as to the foreign policy of the Congress and therefore any reference to China and Russia

For as I had said to them I derived my inspiration and knowledge from Pandity about foreign matters of which he had been a deep student Therefore I said that he could fill in that part in the resolution

But I mry add that I have never even in a most unguarded moment expressed the opinion that Japan and Germany would win the war Not only that I have often expressed the opinion that they cannot win the war if only Great Britain will once for all shed her imperialism I have given expression to that opinion more than once in the columns of Hanjan and I repeat here that in spite of all my wish to the contrary and of others if disaster overtakes Great Britain and the Allied Powers it will be because even at the critical moment—most critical in her history—she has most obstinately refused to wash hersal of the tain of imperialism which she has carried with her for at least a century and a half.

How in the face of this categorical statement the author could say that the actuating motive behind the 'Quit Indi' move was that I was "convinced that Axis would win the war' passes understanding 20 In support of the same charge the author says :

"That this attitude persisted long after the Allahabad meeting of the Working Committee is shown by the following remark made by Mr. Gandhi in Haripan of July 19th, in reply to a question whether it would not be wiser to postpone his movement until Britain had settled with the Germans and the Isoanese

' No, because I know you will not settle with Germans without us "

I quote below from the article in which this opinion is expressed. It is from the *Hanjan* of July 19, 1942, pp 234 and 235, and is entitled "A Two Minutes' Interview", the interviewer being a correspondent of the Daily Express. London.

"But the correspondent of the Daily Express (London) who was among the first to arrive and who was not staying until the end saud he would be content with just a couple of minutes' interview, and Gandhiji acceded to his request He had made up his mind that if the demand for withdrawal which seemed to gather strength every day was rejected, there would be some kind of a movement So he asked.

"Would you say that your movement will make it more difficult or less difficult for us to keep the Japanese out of India?"

"Our movement," said Gandhiji, "will make it more difficult for the Japanese to come in But of course if there is no cooperation from Britain and the Alles I cannot say"

"But," said Mr Young, "think of the war as a whole Do you think that your new movement will help the Allied Nations towards victory, which you have said you also desire?"

Yes, if my submission is accepted."

"What do you mean by your submission? - That Britain should offer non-violent battle?"

No, no My submission that British rule in India should end If that is accepted victory for the Allied powers is assured Then India will become an independent power, and thus a real ally, while now she is only a slave The result of my movement, if it is sympathetically responded to, is bound to be a speedy victory But if it is misundestrood by the British and they take up the attitude that they would like to crush it, then they would be responsible for the result, not It.

This was far from convincing Mr Young He would not think of any movement with equanimity So he made an appeal to Gandhiji's sentiment—a sentiment he had more than once expressed.

"Mr Gandin, you have been in Lundon yountelf Have you no comment to make on the heavy bombings which the British people have sustained?" Oh Yes I know every nook and corner of London where I lwed for three years so manyyears ago and somewhat of Oxford and Cambridge and Manchester too but it is London I specially feel for I used to read in the Inner Temple Library and would often attend Dr Parkers settmons in the Temple Church My heart goes out to the people and when I heard that the Temple Chuch was bombed I bled And the bombing of the Westmunster Abbey and other ancient editices affected me deeply

Then don't you think, said Mr Young it would be wiser to postpone your movement until we have settled with the Germans and the Japanese?

No because I know you will not settle with the Germans without us If we were free we could give you cent per cent cooperation in our own manner It is curious that such a simple thing is not understood Britain has today no contribution from a free India Tomorrow as soon as India is free she gains moral strength and a powerful ally in a free nation—powerful morally This raises England's power to the nth degree This is surely self proved

It is curious that sentences taken out of a piece breathing concern for the success of the Allied arms are here presented as an indication of my 'pro-Axis' mentality!

21 The following passage is then reproduced from my letter to H E the Vicerov of 14th August last as 'significant

I have taken Jawaharial Nehru as my measuring rod His personal contacts make him feel much more the misery of the impending rum of China and Russa than I can

The misery of the impending ruin of China and Russia has been underlined by the author who thus comments on the passage

They foresaw a British rearguard action across India and the devastation that this must entail

According to his wont the author has failed to quote the whole of the relevant part of the letter. Nor has he guided the reader by quoting the letter in the appendix. I quote below the relevant part

One thing more The declared cause is common between the Govern
more of India and us To put it in the most concrete terms it is the
protection of the freedom of Chuna and Russa. The Government of India
think that freedom of India is not necessary for winning the cause I think
exactly the opposite I have taken Jawaharlal Nehru as my measuring
od His personal contacts make him feel much more the misery of the

impending ruin of China and Russia than I can and may I say than even you can In that misery he tried to forget his old quartel with imperialism

He dreads much more than I do the success of Nazism and Facism I argued with him for days together He fought against my position with a passion which I have no words to describe But the logic of facts over-whelmed him He yielded when he saw clearly that without the freedom of India that of the other two was in great peopardy Surely you are wrong in having improved such a powerful fired and ally

The full letter is given in the appendix (vide Appendix IX)

I suggest that the full quotation gives a meaning wholly different from that given by the author The following passages from Hanjan will further prove the baselessness of

the charge of pro-Axis or 'defeatist' tendency on my part
Q Is it a fact that your present attitude towards England and Japan
is influenced by the belief that you think the British and the Allies are
going to be defeated in this war?

I have no hesitation in saying that it is not true On the contrary I said only the other day in Harijan that the Britisher was hard to beat, He has not known what it is to be defeated."

(Harijan June 7 1942, p 177)

America is too big financially intellectually and in scientific skill to be subdued by any nation or even combination

(Hartjan, June 7 1942. p 181)

22 A further complete answer to the same charge, if one were still needed, is furnished by my letter to Shrimati Mirabehn, dictated on the spur of the moment, and never meant for publication. The letter was written to her in answer to her questions which carried to me her belief that the Japanese attack was imminent and that they were likely to have a walk over. My answer leaves no doubt whatsoever as to my attitude. The letter was written after the Allahabad meeting of the All India Congress Committee. It was dictated by me to the late. Shri Mahadev. Desai. The original is in Shrimati Mirabehn's possession. I know that she wrote a letter to Lord Linlithgow from this camp on Detember 24th last sending copies of this correspondence and requesting its publication. She never received even an acknowledgement of her communication.

pigeon-holed without so much as being read I give it in the appendix for ready reference (vide Appendix II (H))

23 In view of the colourful description of my draft resolution sent to Allahabad, I reproduce opposite passages from the resolution to show that the author has gone to everything connected with the Congress with the deliberate intention, as it seems to me, of seeing nothing but evil Thus "Britain is incapable of defending India" is followed by these sentences

It is natural that whatever she (Britain) does is for her own defence. There is an eternal conflict between Indian and British interests It follows their notions of defence would also differ. The British Government has no trust in India is political parties. The Indian army has been maintained until now mainly to hold India in subjugation. It has been completely segregated from the general population who can in no sense regard it as their own. This policy of mistrust still continues and is the reason why national defence is not entrusted to India se elected presentatives.

24 Then there is this sentence taken from the draft "If India were freed her first step would probably be to negotiate with Japan" This has to be read in conjunction with the following paragraphs from the draft

This Committee desires to assure the Japanese Government and people that India bears no enmity either towards Japan or towards any other nation India only desires freedom from all alien domination. But in this fight for freedom the Committee is of opinion that 'India while welcoming universal sympathy does not stand in need of foreign military and India will attain her freedom through her non violent strength and will retain it hikewise Therefore the Committee hopes that Japan will not have any designs on India. But if Japan attacks India and Britain make no response to its appeal the Committee would expect all those who look to Congress for guidance to offer complete non-violent non-cooperation to the Japanese forces and not render any assistance to the Its is no part of the duty of those who are attacked to render any assistance to the stacker! It is their duty to offer complete non-cooperation

tance to the attacker It is their duty to offer complete non-cooperation.

It is not difficult to understand the simple principle of non-violent non-cooperation.—

1 We may not bend the knee to the aggressor nor obey any of his orders

2. We may not look to him for any favours nor fall to his bribes. But we may not bear him any malice nor wish him ill

3 If he wishes to take possession of our fields we will refuse to give them up even if we have to die in the efforts to resist him

4 If he is attacked by disease or dying of thirst and seeks our aid we may not refuse it

5 In such places where the British and Japanese forces are fighting our non cooperation will be fruitless and unnecessary

At present our non-coop_tration with the British Government is himsted Were we to offer them complete non-cooperation when they are actually righting it would be tantamount to placing our country deliberately in Japanese hands Therefore not to put any obstacle in the way of the British forces will often be the only way of demonstrating our non-cooperation with the Japanese Neither may we assist the British in any active manner if we can judge from their recent attructe the British Government do not need any help from us beyond our non interference. They desire our help only as glaves—a position we can invest accept

3 5 5

Whilst non cooperation against the Japanese forces will necessarily be limited to a comparatively small number and must succeed if it is complete and genuine the true building up of Swaray consists in the millions of India whol. heartedly working the constructive programme Without it the whole nation cannot rise from its age long torpor. Whether the British remain or not it is our duty always to wipe out unemployment to bridge the gulf between rich and poor to banish communal strife to exortize the demon of untochability to reform dacouts and save the people from them. If crores of people do not take a living interest in this nation building work freedom must remain a dream and unattainable by either non violence or violence.

I contend that from this setting it is impossible to infer pro-Japanese attitude or anti-British attitude on my part or that of the Working Committee On the contrary there is determined opposition to any aggression and meticulous concern for the Allied arms. The demand for immediate freedom itself is born of that concern If the search be for implacable opposition on my part to British imperialism that search is superfluous for it is patent in all my writings.

25 I would like to close this subject by quoting some pissages from my speeches on the 7th and 8th August last

Extracts from the Hindustani speech of 7th August Then there is the question of your attitude towards the British I have noticed that there is hatred towards the British among the They say they are discusted with their behaviour. The people make no distinction between British imperialism and the British people To them the two are one. This hatred would even make them welcome the Japanese This is most dangerous. It means that they will exchange one slavery for another We must get rid of this feeling Our quarrel is not with the British people we fight their imperialism The proposal for the withdrawal of British power did not come out of anger It came to enable India to play its due part at the present critical juncture. It is not a happy position for a his country like India to be merely beloing with money and material obtained willy nilly from her while the United Nations are conducting the war We cannot evoke the true spirit of sacrifice and valour so long as we do not feel that it is our war so long as we are not free I know the British Government will not be able to withhold freedom from us when we have made enough self sacrifice. We must therefore purge ourselves of hatred Speaking for myself I can say that I have never felt any hatred. As a matter of fact I feel myself to be a greater friend of the British now than ever before One reason is that they are today in distress My very friendship therefore demand that I should try to save them from them mistakes. As I view the situation they are on the brink of an abyss. It therefore becomes my duty to warn them of their danger even though it may for the time being anger them to the point of cutting off the friendly hand that is stretched out to help them People may laugh nevertheless that is my claim. At a time when I may have to launch the biggest struggle of my life I may not harbour hatred against anybody. The idea of taking advantage of the opponent's difficulty and utilizing it for delivering a blow is entirely repugnant to me

There is one thing which I would like you always to keep before your mind Never believe that the British are going to lose the war I know they are not a nation of cowards They will fight to the last rather than accept defeat But suppose for strategic reasons they are forced to leave India as they had to leave Malays Singapore and Burna what shall be our position in that event? The Japanese will invade India, and we shall be imprepared Occupation of India by the Japanese will mean too the end of China and perhaps Russia. I do not want to be the instrument of Russias and Chinas defeat Pandit Nehru was only today describing to me the wretched condition of Russia. He was signated. The picture is drew still haunts me I have asked myself the question. What can I do thelp Russia and China? And the reply has come from within You are being weighed in the balance You have in the alchemy of Ahimas a universal panacea. Why dont you give it a trial? Have you lost fath?

Out of this agony has emerged the proposal for British withdrawal It may irritate the Britishers today and they may misunderstand me, they may even look upon me as their enemy But some day they will say that I was their rule friend

From the Hindustani speech on 8th August.

After showing concern for China I said:

I therefore want freedom immediately, this very night, before dawn, it can be shal. It cannot now wait for the realization of communal unity If that unity is not achieved, sacrifices for attaining freedom will need to be much greater than would otherwise have been the case The Congress has to wan freedom or be wiped out in the effort. The freedom which the Congress is struggling to achieve will not be for Congressismal alone but for the whole of the Indian become

From the concluding speech in English on 8th August

It will be the greatest mistake on their (United Nations') part to turn a deaf ear to India's non-violent pleading and refuse her fundamental right of freedom. It will deal a mortal blow to Russia and China if they oppose the demand of non-violent India which is today, on bended knee, pleading for the discharge of a debt long overdue . . . I have been the author of the non-embarrassment policy of the Congress and yet today you find me talking in strong language. My non embarrassment plea, however, was always qualified by the proviso, "consistently with the honour and safety of the nation" If a man holds me by the collar and I am drowning, may I not struggle to free myself from the strangle-hold? Therefore there is no inconsistency between our earlier declarations and our . I have always recognized a fundamental difference between Fascism and the democracies, despite their many limitations and even between Fascism and British imperialism which I am fighting Do the British get from India all they want? What they get today is from an India which they hold in bondage Think, what a difference it would make if India were to participate in the war as a free ally That freedom. if it is to come, must come today For she will utilize that freedom for the successe of the Allies including Russia and China The Burma Road will once, more be opened, and the way cleared for rendering really effective help to Russia

Englishmen did not die to the last man in Malaya or on the soil of Burna They effected instead, what has been described as a 'masterije evacustion'. But I cannot afford to do that. Where shall I go, where shall I take the forty crores of India? How is this mass of humanity to be set allame in the cause of world deliverance unless and until it has touched and felt freedom? Today there is no life left in them I has been crushed

out of them If lustre has to be restored to their eyes freedom has to come not tomorrow but today Congress must therefore pledge itself to do or die

These quotations show clearly why I advised the Congress to make the demand for the withdrawal of British power The quotations also show that non-violence, i.e., self-suffering and self-sacrifice without retaliation was the key-stone of the movement

26 The author has had difficulty in finding an adequate explanation for my agreement to the stationing of Allied troops in India in spite of the withdrawal of British power If he had an open mind, there should have been no difficulty My explanation was there There was no occasion to doubt its sincerity unless there was positive evidence to the contrary I have never claimed infallibility or a larger share of intellect for myself than the ordinary

27 The author says that no "sausfactory solution" of the difficulty raised by Rajaji, namely, that the stationing of the Allied forces, without the civil power being with the British Government, would be "reinstallation of the British Government in a worse form" was "ever made public by Mr Gandhi". The author therefore suggests that "the solution was one which he (I) preferred should remain a secret," and he proceeds to say

"Now while the details of Mr Gandhi's personal solution of this problem must remain a matter for speculation an explanation which full fils the logicil requirements of the above structure immediately comes to mind it is that, as has been shown above to be probable. Mr Gandhi's admission of this amendment to his scheme was intended primarily as a bid for American support and secondarily as a sop to his opponents on the Working Committee but that he envisaged or planned to create circumstructs in which this permission would be meaningless that is to say, circumstances in which the troops would either be forced to withdraw or would if they remained be rendered ineffective."

It is difficult to characterize this suggestion I take it that the secrecy suggested was to be secret even from the members of the Working Committee If not they would also become conspirators with me in the fraud to be perpetrated on the Allied powers Amazing consequences would flow from such a fraud. Assume that the British Government has shed all power in India, that by an agreement between the free India Government and the Allied powers, their troons are stationed in India This assumption carries with it the further assumption that the agreement has been arrived at without any pressure violent or non-violent and simply from the British recognition of the necessity of recognizing independence of India Assume further that the secret has all this time remained buried in my bosom, and that I suddenly divulge it to the free India Government and therefore to the world, and they carry out my plan to frustrate the terms of the agreement, what would be the result? The Allied powers, having all the overwhelming military strength at their disposal, would forfeit my head to themselves-which would be the least-and would further let their righteous rage descend upon the free India Government and put an end to independence, which was won, not by military strength, but simply by force of reason, and therefore make it impossible, so far as they can for India to regain such lost independence. I must not carry this train of thought much further The author's suggestion, if it were true, would also conclusively prove that all of us conspirators were thinking, not of the deliverance of India from bondage, or of the good of the masses, but only of our base little selves

28. The difficulty pointed out by Rajaji and on which writer has laid stress in order to infer 'secret motive' on my part was pointed out even more forcibly by another correspondent and I dealt with it in the issue of Harijan dated 19th July, 1942, pp 232 and 233 As the whole of the article consists of questions and answers which have a bearing on the author's insinuations, I reproduce them without anology

PERTINENT QUESTIONS

Q 1 If non violent activity is neutralized by and cannot go along with armed violence in the same area, will there remain any scope for non violent resistance to aggression in the event of India allowing foreign troops to remain on her soil and operate from here?

A The flaw pointed out in the first question cannot be denied I have admitted it before now The tolerance of Allied troops by Free India is an admission of the nation's limitations. The nation as a whole has never been and never been claimed to be non violent. What part is can not be said with any accuracy And what is decisive is that India has not wet demonstrated non violence of the strong such as would be required to withstand a nowerful army of invasion If we had developed that strength we would have acquired our freedom long ago and there would he no question of any troops being stationed in India. The novelty of the demand should not be missed. It is a demand not for a transference of nower from Great Britain to a Free India. For there is no party to which Britain would transfer such power We lack the unity that gives strength The demand therefore is not based on our demonstrable strength It is a demand made upon Britain to do the right irrespective of the capacity of the pary wronged to bear the consequences of Britain's right act Will Britain restore seized property to the victim merely because the seizure was wrong? It is none of her concern to weigh whether the victim will -be able to hold possession of the restored property. Hence it is that I have been oblised to make use of the word anarchy in this connection. This great moral act must give Britain moral status which could ensure victory Whether without India Britain would have reason to fight is a question I need not consider If India is the stake and not British honour we should know My demand then loses force but not justness

Such being the case my honesty and honour require me to provide for the flaw II to ask for the withdrawal of the Allied forces means their certain defeat, my demand must be ruled out as dishonest. Force of circumstances has given rise to the demand and also to its limitations for must be admitted therefore that there will be little scope for non vollent resistance of aggression, with the Allied troops operating in India as there is practically none now For the troops are there to day enjoying full mastery over us Under my demand they will operate under the nation's terms

Q 2 If the maintenance of India's freedom is allowed to be made dependent upon arms which in the existing circumstances will be led and controlled by Britain and America can there be a feeling of real freedom experienced by the people of India at any rate during the duration of the war?

- A If Britain's declaration is honest I see no reason why the presence of the troops should, in any shape or form, affect the feeling of real freedom Did the French feel differently when during the last war the English troops were operating in France? When my master of yesterday becomes my equal and lives in my house on my own terms, surely his presence cannot detract from my freedom Nay I may profit by his presence which I have permitted
- Q 3 Whatever be the terms of the 'treaty' if the Anglo-American military machine is allowed to operate for the 'defence' of India, can Indians play anything but a minor and subordinate role in the defence of this country?

A The conception in my scheme is that we do notawant these troops for our defence or protection If they left these shores we expect to manage somehow. We may put up non-volent defence If luck favours us, the Japanese may see no reason to hold the country after the Allies have withdrawn if they discover that they are not wanted It is all speculation as to what can happen after withdrawal voluntary and orderly or forced

Q 4 Supposing the British, not from any moral motive but only to gain a political and strategical advantage for the time being, agree to a 'treaty' under which they are allowed to maintain and increase their military forces in India, how can they be disloded afterwards if they prefer to remain in possession?

A We assume their or rather British honesty. It would be not a matter of dislodging them it is one of their fulfilling their plighted word. If they commit breach of faith, we must have strength enough non-violent or violent to enforce fulfilment.

- Q 5 Is not the position postulated in the preceding question comparable to the position that would arise if, for instance, Subhas Babu made a treaty with Germany and Japan under which India would be declared independent and the Axis forces would enter India to drive the British out?
- A Surely there is as much difference between the South, Pole and the North as there is between the imagined conditions. My demand deals with the possessor; Subhas Babu will bring German troops to oust the possessor Germany is under no obligation to deliver India from bondage. Therefore Subhas Babu's performance can only fing India from the frying pan into the fire I hope the distinction is clear.
- Q 6 If the Congress, as Maulana Saheb has just stated, 'considers defence as armed defence only.' is there any prospect of real independence for India in view of the fact that India simply has not got the resources 'independently' to offer effective armed resistance to a formulable aggressor? If we are to think in terms of armed defence only, can India to mention only one thing expect to remain independent with her 4000 miles of coast line and no navy and shobulding industry?

to sacrifice countless numbers of Indians in non violent resistance to the Japanese. The property must be saved it is perhaps. legitimate to ask — for whom 7.

'No concidence is a gratuitous suggestion for which there is no proof. The suggestion behind the parenthetical gloss is evidently that I was more solicitous about the property of moneyed men than of the lives and property of the masses. This appears to me to be a wilful discretion of truth. I give the following quotations which show the contrary

As a war resister my answer can only be one I see neither bravery or nor sacrifice in destroying life or property for offence or defence I would far rather leave if I must my crops and homestead for the enemy to use than destroy them for the sake of preventing their use by him There is reason, sacrifice and even bravery in so leaving my, homestead and crops if I do so not out of fear but because I refuse to regard anyone as my enemy that is out of a humanitarian motive

But in India's case there is too a practical consideration. Unlike Russia's India's masses have no national instinct developed in the sense that Russia's have India is not fighting. Her conquerors are

(Hanjan March 22 1942 p 88)

"There is no bravery in my possoning my well or filling it in so that my brother who is at war with me may not use the water Let us areume that I am fighting him in the orthodox manner. Nor is there sacrifice in it, for it does not purify me and sacrifice as it root meaning implies presupposes purity. Such destruction may be likened to cutting one s nose to spite one s face Warriors of old had wholesome laws of war. Among the excluded things were poisoning wells and destroying food crops. But I do claim that there are bravery and sacrifice in my leaving my wells crops and homestead intact, bravery in that I deliberately run the risk of the enemy feeding himself at my expense and pursuing me and sacrifice in that the sentiment of leaving something for the enemy purifies and ennobles me

My questioner has missed the conditional expression if I must I have magned a state of things in which I am not prepared just now to due and therefore I want to retreat in an orderly manner in the hope of resisting under other and better suspices. The thing to consider here is not resistance but non-destruction of food crops and the like Resistance violent or non violent has to be well thought out. Thoughthese veustance, will be regarded as bravado in military parlance and violence or folly in the language of non violence. Retreat itself is often a plan of resystance.

A Maulana Saheb it is well known does not hold my view that any country can defend itself without force of arms. My demand is based on the view that it is possible to defend one's country non violently

Q 7 What material aid could India send to China today even if

she were declared independent by the British?

A India at present gives such indifferent and illconceived aid as the Allies think desirable Free India can send men and material that China may need India has affinities with China being part of Asia which the Allies cannot possibly possess and exploit Who knows that Free India may not even succeed in persuading Japan to do the right by China?

Why has the author ignored the explanation, for instance in answers 2 and 4 which was before him? Boiled down, my explanation means that I would trust the Allies to carry out faithfully the conditions of the contract to be fulfilled by them, just as I would expect them to trust the Government of Free India to carry out their part of the contract British withdrawal whenever it comes will carry with it so much honour that everything to be done thereafter by either party will be done with the greatest good-will and utmost sincerity I hold that this solution of the difficulty presented is perfectly comprehensible and satisfactory

29 As to secrecy, this is what I said on the 8th August in my Hindustani speech before the A I C C meeting -

Nothing however should be done secretly This is an open rebellion In this struggle secrecy is a sin A free man would not engage in a secret movement It is likely that when you gain freedom you will have a C I D of your own in spite of my advice to the contrary But in the present struggle we have to work openly and to receive bullets in our chests without running away In a struggle of this character all secrecy is sin and must be punctiliously avoided [See also Appendix I.(C)]

It is somewhat hard for a man who has avoided secrecy as a sin to be accused of it, especially when there is no evidence whatsoever for the charge

30 The author proceeds -

and it is no coincidence that at the same time as Mr Gandhi was developing his Quit India theme in Hanian he was also inveighing against any form of scorched earth policy (Mr Gandhi's solicitude for the property largely industrial property be it noted which it might have been necessary to deny to the enemy contrasts strangely with his readiness to sacrifice countless numbers of Indians in non violent resistance to the Japanese The property must be saved it is perhaps legitimate to ask—for whom 7

No coincidence is a gratuitous suggestion for which there is no proof The suggestion behind the parenthetical gloss is evidently that I was more solicitous about the property of moneyed men than of the lives and property of the masses This appears to me to be a wilful distortion of truth I give the following quotations which show the contrary

As a war resister my answer can only be one I see neither bravery one sacrifice in destroying life or property for offence or defence I would far rather leave if I must my crops and homestead for the enemy to use than destroy them for the sake of preventing their use by him There is reason sacrifice and even bravery in so leaving my, homestead and crops if I do so not out of fear but because I refuse to regard anyone as my enemy that is out of a humanitarian motive

But in India's case there is too a practical consideration Unlike Russia's India's masses have no national instinct developed in the sense that Russia's have India is not fighting. Her conquered are

(Hanjan March 22 1942 p 88)

There is no bravery in my poisoning my well or filling it in so that my brother who is at war with me may not use the water Let us assume that I am lighting him in the orthodox misinner. Not is there sacrifice in it for it does not purify me and sacrifice as its root meaning implies presupposes purity. Such destruction may be likened to cutting one s nose to spite one s face. Warriors of old had wholesome laws of war Atmong the excluded things were poisoning wells and destroying food crops. But I do claim that there are bravery and sacrifice in my leaving my wells crops and homestead intact bravery in that I deliberately run the risk of the enemy feeding himself at my expense and pursuing me and sacrifice in that the sentiment folleaving something for the enemy princips and enobles me

"My questioner has missed the conditional expression if I must I have imagined a state of things in which I am not prepared just now to die and therefore I want to retreat in an orderly manner in the hope of resisting under other and better suspices. The thing to consider here is not resistance but non destruction of food crops and the like Resistance violent on non-violent, bas to be well throught out. Throughtless resistance will be regarded as bravado in military parlance and violence or folly in the language of non violence. Retreat stell is often a plan of resistance

and may be a precursor of great bravery and sent of Every retreat is not cowardize which implies fear to die Of course a brave man would more often de in violently or non violently resisting the aggressor in the latter a attempt to out him from his property But he will be no less brave if workom directes present retreat (Hanjan Anpl 12 1942 p 109)

So far there is solicitude only for the poor man's property There is no mention of industrial property I have also given my reasons which I still hold to be perfectly sound for non destruction of such property I have found only one note in the issues of Harian in my possession which refers to industrial property It is as follows

Suppose there are factories for grinding wheat or pressing oil seed I should not destroy them But munitions factories yes Textile factories

I would not destroy and I would resist all such destruction

(Harjam May 24 1942 p 167)

The reason is obvious Here too the solicitude is not for the owners but for the masses who use food products and cloth produced in factories. It should also be remembered that I have all along written and even acted against both kinds of factories in normal times in the interests of village industries my creed being to prefer the products of hand labour in which millions can be engaged to those of factories in which only a few thousands or at best a few lacs can be employed.

31 Mark too the last sentence in the penultimate paragraph of the draft resolution sent to Allahabad "But it can never be the Congress policy to destroy what belongs to or is of use to the masses. It is incomprehensible how the author could in the face of the foregoing distort truth as he has done.

32 In the same paragraph from which I have quoted the parenthetical remark of the author I find the following

We have however his own admission that he could not guarantee that non violent action would keep the Japanese at bay he refers indeed to any such hope as an unwarranted supposition

And this is cited to support the conclusion that in order to prevent India from becoming a battle-field between the Allied Nations and Japan I was prepared "to concede to their (Japanese) demands" Let me quote where the phrase is taken from In an article entitled "A Fallacy", in Hanjan dated 5th July, 1942, I have dealt with the following question addressed to me by a correspondent

Q You consider it a vital necessity in terms of non-violence to allow the Allied troops to remain in India. You also say that, as you can not present a fool-proof non-violent method to prevent Japanese occupation of India you cannot throw the Allies over-board But don't you consider that the non-violent force created by your action which will be sufficient to force the English to withdraw will be sufficiently strong to prevent Japanese occupation also? And is it not the duty of a non-violent resister to equally consider it a vital necessity to see that his country, his home and his all are not destroyed by allowing two foreign mad bulls to fight a deadly war on his soil?

My reply to this runs as follows

A There is an obvious fallacy in the question. I cannot all of a sudden produce in the minds of Britishers who have been for centuries trained to rely upon their muscle for their protection a belief which has not made a very visible impression even on the Indian mind. Non violent force must not act in the same way as violence. The refusal to allow the Allied troops to operate on the Indian soil can only add to the irritation already caused by my proposal. The first is inevitable, the second would be wanton.

Again, if the withdrawal is to take place it wont be due merely to the non violent pressure And in any case what may be enough to affect the old occupant would be wholly different from what would be required to keep off the invader. Thus we can dissown the authority of the British rules by relising taxes and in a variety of ways. These would be inapplicable to withstand the Japanese onslaught. Therefore whilst we may be ready to face the Japanese we may not ask the Britishers to give up their postion of vanists merely on the unwarranted supposition that we would succeed by mere non-violent effort in keeping off the Japanese.

Lastly, whilst we must guard ourselves in our own way our non-violence must preclude us from imposing on the British a strain which must break them. That would be a denial of our whole history for the past twenty two years

(Hanjan July 5 1942 p 210)

The supposition referred to here is my correspondent's, namely, that the non-violent force created by my action, which will be sufficient to force the English to withdraw,

will be sufficiently strong to prevent Japanese occupation also and therefore I should not have resided from my original proposition that the British power should withdraw their troops from India I have shown the absurdity of such a supposition made for the sake of preventing the retention of British troops My behef in the power of non-violence is unchangeable but I cannot put it before the British in order to prevent their use of India as a base if they consider it necessary for dealing with the Japanese menace

33 The author has further sought to strengthen his inference by quoting the following from my appeal to the Japanese

And we are in the unique position of having to resist an imperialism that we detest no less than yours (the Japanese) and Nazism

The author has conveniently omitted the sentences which follow and which instead of strengthening his inference would negative it altogether. These are the sentences

Our reastance to it (British Imperialism) does not mean harm to the finish people. We seek to convert them Ours is an unarmed revolt against British rule. An important party in the country is engaged in a deadly but friendly quarrel with the foreign rulets.

But in this they need no aid from foreign powers. You have been gravely misinformed as I know you are that we have chosen this patticular moment to embarrass the Allies when your attack against India is imminent. If we wanted to turn Britain a difficulty into our opportunity we should have done it as soon as the war broke our nearly three years ago. Our movement demanding the withdrawal of the British power from India should in no way be misunderstood. In fact, if we are to believe your reported anxiety for the independence of India a recognition of that independence by Britain should leave you no excuse for any attack on India Moreover the reported profession sorts ill with your trulbles suffersion against China

I would ask you to make no mistake about the fact that you will be adly disillusioned if you believe that you will receive a willing welcome from India The end and aim of the movement for Birtish withdrawal is to prepare India by making her free for resisting all militarise and impensitis ambittom whether it is called Birtish Impensitism German Nazism or your pattern. If we do not we shall have been ignoble spectators of the militarisation of the world in spite of our belief that in non violence we have the only solvent of the militarisation and ambition Personally I set that

without declaring the independence of India the Allied powers will not be able to beat the Aus combination which has raised violence to the dignity of a religion. The Allies cannot beat you and your partners unless they beat you in your ruthless and skilled warfare If they copy it, their declaration that they will save the world for democracy and individual freedom must come to nought. I feel that they can only gain strength to avoid copying your ruthlessness by declaring and tecognizing now the freedom of India and turning sullen India's forced cooperation into freed India's voluntary cooperation

To Britain and the Allies we have appealed in the name of justice in proof of their professions and in their own self-interest. To you I appeal in the name of humanity It is a marvel to me that you do not see that ruthless warfare is nobody's monopoly. If not the Allies some other power will certainly improve upon your method and beat you with your own weapon. Even if you win you will leave no legacy to your people of which they would feel proud They cannot take pride in a recital of crual deeds however skifully achieved.

Even if you win, it will not prove that you were in the right it will only prove that your power of destruction was greater. This applies obviously to the Allies too, unless they perform now the just and righteous act of freeing India as an earnest and promise of similarly freeing all other subject peoples in Alsa and Africa.

"Our speal to Britain is coupled with the offer of free India's willingness to let the Allies retain their troops in India The offer is made in order to prove that we do not in any way mean to harm the Allied caive and in order to prevent you from being misled into feeling that you have but to step into the country that Britain has vacated. Needless to rejeat that if you cherish any such idea and will carry it out, we will not fail in resisting you with all the might that our country can muster I address this appeal to you in the hope that our movement may even influence you and your partners in the right direction and deflect you and them from the course which is bound to end in your moral ruin and the reduction of howen hearing to robots.

"The hope of your response to my appeal is much fainter than that of response from Britain I know that the British are not devoid of a sense of justice and they know me I do not know, you enough to be able to judge All I have rend tells me that you listen to no appeal but to the sword How I wish that you are cruelly misterpresented and that I shall touch the right chord in your heart! Anjway I have an undying laths in the responsiveness of human nature On the strength of that faith I have conceived the impending movement in India and it is that laith which has prompted this appeal to you."

(Harrion July 26 1042, p 243 et sq.)

I have given this long quotation because I see that it is a complete answer to the author's insinuations as it is also in open gate to the whole of my mind regarding the movement contemplated in the resolution of 8th August last But the author has many arrows in his quiver. For in defence of his inference that I was prepared to concede to their (Japanese) demands he proceeds

Only in the grip of some dominant emotion would be (1) have contemplated such a capitulation. This emotion was there seems little doubt his desire to preserve India from the horrors of war

In other words I would exchange Japanese rule for British My non-violence is made of sterner stuff Only a Jaundiced eye can read such an emotion in the face of the clearest possible writings of Harijan that I would face all the horrors of war in order to end the horror of horrors which British domination is I am impatient of it because I am impatient of all domination I am in the grip of only one dominant emotion and no other—that is Indias Freedom The author has admitted this in the same breath that he has charged me with an unworthy emotion He has thus condemned himself out of his own mouth

34 At page 14 of the indictment the author says

In conclusion there are the famous words uttered by Mr Gandhi at a press conference at Wardha after the Working Committee had passed the resolution of July 14th which show clearly how even at that early stage he was fully determined on a final struggle—

There is no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiation.

There is no question of one more chance. After all it is an open rebellion.

There also lies the answer to those who have since accused Govern ment of precipitating the crisis by the arrest of Mr Gandhi and the Congress leaders and have suggested that the period of grace referred to by Mr Gandhi in his Bombay speech should have been utilized for negotiation there is no room left for withdrawal or negotiation Mr Gandhi bad said a month eather Moreover the Wardha resolution metally threatened a mass movement if the demands of Congress were not accepted. The Bombay resolution went further It no longer threatened a movement with the delay that that might entail It sanctioned the movement and if any further delay was intended are there not at least good grounds any further delay was intended are there not at least good grounds

for believing in the light of all that had been said that it was to be used not for the purpose of negotiation but for putting the finishing touches to a plan to which its authors were already committed but which might not yet be completely ready to put into execution?

I shall presently show that the "famous words 'attribured to me are partly a distortion and partly an interpolation not to be found in the authentic report of the Wardha interview as published in Harrian of 19th July, 1942 Let me quote in full the portion of the Wardha interview in which that part of the quotation which I claim is distorted appears in its correct form

Do you hope that negotiations may be opened by the British Government?

They may but with whom they will do it I do not know For it is not a question of placating one party or other For it is the unconditional withdrawal of the British power without reference to the wishes of any party that is our demand The demand is therefore based on its justice Of course it is possible that the British may negotiate a withdrawal If they do it will be a feather in their can Then it will cease to be a case for withdrawal If the British see however late the wisdom of recognizing the independence of India, without reference to the various parties all things are possible But the point I want to stress is this viz that THERE IS NO ROOM LEFT FOR NEGOTIATIONS IN THE PROPOSAL FOR WITH-DRAWAL! Either they recognize independence or they don't After that recognition many things can follow For by that one single act the British representatives will have altered the face of the whole landscape and revived the hope of the people which has been frustrated times without number. Therefore whenever that great act is performed on behalf of the British people it will be a red letter day in the history of India and the world And as I have said it can materially affect the fortunes of war' (Capitals mine) (Harijan July 1942, p 233) The corresponding quotation in the indictment I reproduce

below in capital letters

"THERE IS NO ROOM LEFT IN THE PROPOSAL FOR

WITHDRAWAL OR NEGOTIATION'

I suggest that in the context from which this is torn and distorted, it is entirely out of place I was answering the question "Do you hope that negotiations may be opened by the British Government?" As an answer to the question the sentence as it appears in Harijan there is no room left for negotiations in the proposal for withdrawal is perfectly intelligible and harmonizes with the sentences preceding and succeeding

35 The distorted sentence in the indictment has two others tacked on to it. They are of one more chance. After all it is an open rebellion. The underlining is the authors. The two sentences are not to be found anywhere in the report of the interview as it appears in Harijan. There is no question of one more chance can have no place in the paragraph about negotiations with my approach to them as revealed in my answer. As to open rebellion. I have even at the Second Indian Round Table Conference used that expression coupled with the adjective non violent. But it has no place anywhere in

36 I have taxed myself to know how the two sentences could have crept into the author's quotation Fortunately on 26th June while this reply was being typed there came the Hindustan Times file for which Shri Pyarelal had asked In its issue of 15th July 1942 there appears the following message Wardhaan July 14

There is no room left in the proposal for withdrawal or negotiation either they recognize lind as independence or they don't said Mahatma Gandhi answering questions at a press interview at Sewagram on the Congress resolution. He emphasized that what he wanted was not the recognition of Indian independence on paper but in action.

Asked if his movement would not hamper war efforts of the United Nations Mahatma Gandhi said. The movement is intended not only to help China but also to make common cause with the Allies

On his attention being drawn to Mr Amery's latest statement in the House of Common Mahatma Gandhi said. I am very much sfraid that we shall have the misfortune to listen to a repetition of that language is stronger terms but that cannot poss bly delay the pace of the people or the group that is determined to so its way Mahatma Gandhi added

There is no question of one more chance After all it is an open rebellion
Asked what form is movement would take Mahatma Gandhi said
The conception is that of a mass movement on the widest possible scale

It will include what is possible to include in a mass movement or what people are capable of doing. This will be a mass movement of a purely non-violent character.

Asked if he would court imprisonment this time Mahatma Gandhi said. It is too soft a thing There is no such thing as courting imprisonment this time. My intention is to make it as short and swift as possible.

A P I

37 This message is an eye-opener for me I have often suffered from misreporting or coloured epitomes of my mittings and speeches even to the point of being lynched. This one though not quite as bad is bid enough. The above A P summary gives if it does, the clue to the author's source for the misquotation and the additional sentences. If he used that source, the question arises why he went out of his way to use that doubtful and unauthorized source when he hid before him the authentic text of the full interview in Harijan of 19th July last. He has made a most liberal though disjointed and biased use of the columns of Harijan for building up his case against me. At page 13 of the indictment he thus begins the charge culminating in the misquotation at page 14.

From this point onwards Mr Gandhi's conception of the strugglideveloped rapidly. His writings on the subject are too lengthy to quote in full but the following excepts from Hanjan illustrate the direction in which his mind was moving.

On the same page he has quoted passages from page 233 of Harijan from the report of the interview in question I am therefore entitled to conclude that the quotation under examination was taken from Harijan It is manifest now that it was not Why not I If he took the three sentences from the afore-mentioned A P report, why has he quoted them without asterisks between the sentences that appear apart in the A P report? I may not pursue the inquiry any further It has pained me deeply How the two sentences not found in the authentic text of the interview found place in the A P summary I do not know It is for the C ment to inquire if they will

38 The author's quotation having been found wanting the whole of his conclusions and inferences based upon it must fall to the ground In my opinion therefore the Government does stand accused not only of 'having precinitated but of having invited a crisis by their premeditated coup The elaborate preparations they made for all-India arrests were not made overnight. It is wrong to draw a distinction between the Wardha resolution and the Bombay one in the sense that the first only threatened and the second sanctioned the mass civil disobedience. The first only required ratification by the All-India Congress Committee but the effect of either was the same 1 e, both authorized me to lead and guide the movement if negotiations failed But the movement was not started by the resolution of 8th August last Before I could function they arrested not only me but principal Congressmen all over India- Thus it was not I but the Government who started the movement and gave it a shape which I could not have dreamt of giving and which it never would have taken while I was conducting it No doubt it would have been 'short and swift', not in the violent sense, as the author has insinuated, but in the nonviolent sense as I know it. The Government made it very swift by their very violent action. Had they given me breathing time. I would have sought an interview with the Viceroy and strained every nerve to show the reasonableness of the Congress demand Thus there were no "grounds" "good" or bad for believing as the author would have one believe, that the 'period of grace' was to be used for "putting the finishing touches to a plan to which its authors were already committed but which might not yet be completely ready to put into execution. In order to sustain such a belief it has become necessary for the author to dismiss from consideration the whole of the proceedings of the Bombay meeting of the All India Congress Committee and even vital parts of its resolution - save the clause referring to the mass

movement — and the very awkward word 'non-violence to which I shall come presently

39 I give below extricts from my speeches and writings to show how eager and earnest I was to avoid conflict and achieve the purpose by negotiation and to show that the Congress aim never was to thwart the Allies in any way.

It would be church on our part if we said we don't want to talk to anybody and we will by our own strong hearts ergel the British. Then the Congress Committee won't be meeting there would be no

resolutions and I should not be seeing press representatives "

(Harijan July 26 1942 p 243)

Q "Cannot there be any arbitration on the question of independence?

A "No not on the question of independence It is possible only on questions on which sides may be taken The outstanding question of independence should be tracted as common cause It is only then that I can conceive possibility of substration on the Indo British question. But if there is to be any arbitration—and I cannot logically say there should not for if I did it would be an stregation of complete justice on my side—it can be done only if India's independence is recognized."

(Hanjan May 24 1912 P 168)

An English correspondent " Would you advocate arbitration for

the Indo-British problem?

A "Any day I suggested long ago that this question could be decided

A "Any day I suggested long ago that this question could be decided by arbitration (Hanjan May 21 1942, p 168.)

The actual struggle does not commence this very moment. You have merely placed certain powers in my hands My first act will be to wait upon H E the Viceroy and placed with him for the acceptance of the Congress demand. This may take two or three weeks. What are you to do in the meanwhile? I will tell you. There is the synming wheel. I had to struggle with the Maulana Saheb before it dismed upon him that in a non-violent struggle is that an abiding place. The fourteen fold constructive programme is all there for you to carry out. But there is something more you have to do and it will give life to that programme. Every one of you should from this very moment consider yourself a free min or woman and even act as if you are free and no longer under the heel of this imperalism. Thus, we no make believe Vou have to calibrate the your, of freedom before it comes physically. The chains of the slave are broken the moment he considers humself a free man He will then tell his master. I have.

b.en your slave all th.se doss but I am no longer that now You may kill me but if you do not and if you release me from the bondage I will sak for nothing more from you For henceforth instead of depending you you I shall depend upon God for food and clothing God has given me the urge of freedom and therefore I deem myself a free man.

You may take it from me that I am not going to strike a bargain with the Viceroy for ministries and the like I am not going to be satisfied with anything short of complete freedom May be he will propose the abolition of the salt tax the drink evil etc but I will say Nothing less than freedom

Here is a Mantra -- a short one -- that I will give you You may imprint it on your hearts and let every breath of yours give expression to it The Mantra is this We shall do or die We shall either free India or die in the attempt. We shall not live to see the perpetuation of slavery Every true Congressman or woman will soin the struggle with an inflexible determination not to remain alive to see the country in bondage and slavery Let that be your badge Dismiss jails out of your consideration If the Government leaves me free I shall spare you the trouble of filling the sails I will not put on the Government the strain of maintaining a large number of prisoners at a time when it is in trouble. Let every man and woman live every moment of his or her life hereafter in the consci ourness that he or she eats or lives for achieving freedom and will die, if need be to attain that goal Take a pledge with God and your own conscience as witness that you will no longer rest till freedom is achieved and will be prepared to lay down your lives in the attempt to achieve it He who loses his life shall gain it he who will seek to save it shall lose it Freedom is not for the faint hearted (From the concluding speech in Hindustani on 8th August before the A I C C)

Let me tell you at the outset that the struggl, does not commence today I have yet to go through much ceremonial as I always do and this time more than ever before—the burden is so heavy I have yet to continue to reason with those with whom I seem to have lost all credit for the time being (From the concluding speech in English on 8th August before the A I C C)

In the same connection I give extracts from the utterances of Maulana Saheb and others in the appendix (vide Appendices V. VI. VII. and VIII)

40 At page 11 of the indictment the author says

To summarize briefly Mr Gandhi did not believe that non violence alone was capable of defending India against Japan Nor had he any faith in the ability of the Allies to do so Britain he stated in his draft

Allahabad resolution is incarable of defending India. His Onit India move was intended to result in the withdrawal of the British Government to be succeeded by a problematical provisional Government or as Mr. Gandhy admitted to be possible by anarchy the Indian army was to be disbanded, and Allied troops were to be allowed to operate only und t the terms imposed by this provisional Government assisted by India a nonviolent non-cooperation to Japan for which as Mr Gandhi had already admitted there would be little scope with Allied troops operating in India Finally even if in the face of the above arguments it could be supposed that Mr Gandhi and the Congress proposed to pin their faith on the ability of Allied troops to defend India, it should be noted that the former himself admitted that the ability of Allied troops to operate effect tively would depend upon the formation of a suitable provisional Government Now since this Government was to be representative of all sections of Indian opinion it is clear that neither Mr Gandhi nor the Congress could legisimately commit it in advance to any particular course of action, they could not that is to say undertake that it would support the Allies in defending India against Janan They could not in fact make any promise on behalf of this provisional Government unless they intended that it should be dominated by Congress the whole trend of Congress policy however coupled with the extravagant promises made in the Bombay A I C C resolution on behalf of this provisional Government leave little doubt that this was their intention a view held significantly by the Muslim League and Muslims in general! You have then a situation in which the Allied troops would be dependent for support on a Government dominated by a chone which has already been shown to be thoroughly defeatist in outlook and whose leader had already expressed the intention of negotiating with Japan

It is not the intention here to eximine the third aim the establishment of communal unity followed by the formation of a provisional Government at all closely. It has been suggested in the preceding paragraph that the Congress intended this Government to be under their domination and a note has been made of the strength idded to this view by the unity of Muslim opinion that the Congress move was aimed at establishing Congress-Hindu domination over India It will suffice here to show from Mr Gandhis own writings the doubts that he enterrained as to the feasibility of establishing any such Government.

This brief summary is a perfect cancature of all I have said or written and the Congress has stood for and expressed in the resolution of 8th August last I hope I have shown in the foregoing pages how cruelly I have been mis-represented. If my argument has failed to carry conviction

I should be quite content to be judged on the strength of the quotations interspersed in the argument and those in the appendices hereto attached As against the foregoing caricature, let me give a summary of my views based on the quotations referred to above

I I believe that non-violence alone is capable of defending India, not only against Japan but the whole world

2 I do hold that Britain is incapable of defending India She is not defending India today, she is defending herself and her interests in India and elsewhere These are often contrary to India's

3 'Quit India move was intended to result in the windrawal of British power if possible with simultaneous formation of a provisional Government, consisting of members representing all the principal parties, if the withdrawal took place by the willing consent of the British Government. If, however, the withdrawal took place willy-nilly there might be a period of anarchy

4 The Indian army would naturally be disbanded, being British creation — unless it forms part of Allied troops or it transfers its allegiance to the Free India Government.

5 The Allied troops would remain under terms agreed to between the Allied powers and the Free India Government

6 If India became free, the Free India Government would tender cooperation by rendering such military aid as it could But in the largest part of India where no military effort was possible, non-violent action will be taken by the masses of the people with the utmost enthusiasm

41 Then the summary comes to the provisional Government As to this, let the Congress resolution itself speak

I give the relevant parts below

The A I C C therefore repeats with all emphasis the demand for the withdrawal of the British power from India On the declaration of ladia's independence a provisional Government will be formed and free India will become an ally of the United Nations sharing with them in the trials and tribulations of the joint enterprise of the struggle for freedom The provisional government can only be formed by the cooperation of the principal parties and groups in the country. It will thus be a composite government, representative of all important sections of the people of India Its primary functions must be to defend India and resist aggression with all the armed as well as the non-violent forces at its command together with its Allied powers and to promote the well-being and progress of the workers in the fields and factories and elsewhere to whom essentially all power and authority must belong. The provisional government, will evolve a scheme for a Constituent Assembly which will prepare a constitution for the government of India acceptable to all sections of the people This constitution according to the Congress view should be a federal one with the largest measure of autonomy for the federating units and with the tesiduary powers vesting in these units. The future relations between India and the Allied Nations will be adjusted by representatives of all these free countries conferring together for their mutual advantage and for their cooperation in the common task of resisting aggression. Freedom will enable India to resist aggression effectively with the people's united will and strength behind it.

Lastly while the A L.C.C has stated ats own view of the future foremance under free India the A L C C wishes to make it quite clear to all concerned that by embarking on a mass struggle it has no intention of gaining power for the Congress The power when it comes will belong to the whole people of India.

I claim that there is nothing in this clause of the resolution that is 'extravagant' or impracticable. The concluding sentence proves in my opinion the sincertity and non-party character of the Congress. And as there is no party in the country which is not wholly anti-Fascist, anti-Nazi and anti-Japan, it follows that a government formed by these parties is bound to become an enthusiastic champion of the Allied cause which, by the recognition of India as a free state, will truly become the cause of democracy.

42. As to communal unity, it has been a fundamental plank with the Congress from its commencement. Its President is a Muslim divine of world wide repute, especially in the Muslim world. It has besides him three Muslims on the Working Committee It is surprising that the author has summoned to his assistance the Muslim League opinion. The League can afford to doubt the sincerity of Congress pro-

- fessions and accuse the Congress of the destre of establishing a Congress-Hindu domination. It ill becomes the all powerful Government of India to take shelter under the Muslim League wing This has a strong flavour of the old Imperial Mantra DIVIDE AND RULE. League-Congress differences are a purely domestic question. They are bound to be adjusted when foreign domination ends if they are not dissipled scoper.
- 43 The author winds up the second chapter as follows Whether the authors of the resolution senurally believed that the Congress demand would if accepted help rather than hinder the cause of the United Nations and intended that it should have that effect depends on the answer to two questions. In the first place could any body of men who honestly desired that result have deliberately called the country if their way of achieving it was not accepted to take part in a mass movement the declared object of which was to have precisely the opposite effect by paralysing the whole administration and the whole war effort? In the second place bearing in mind that less than a year previously it had been proclaimed under Mr Gandhi's orders to be a sin" to help the war with men or money can it be denied that these men saw their opportunity in Britain's danger and believed that the psychological moment for the enforcement of their political demands must be served while the fate of the United Nations hung in the balance and before the tide of war turned - if it was ever found to rurn - in their favour? The answ I to these two questions is left to the reader
 - I have to answer these two questions both as reader and accused As to the first question there is no necessary inconsistency between the genuine belief that an acceptance of the Congress demand would help the cause of the United Nations 1 c of democracy all the world over and a mass movement (which moreover was merely contemplated) to paralyse the administration on non-acceptance of the Congress demand It is submitted that the attempt 'to paralyse the administration' on non-acceptance proves the genuineness of the demand It sets the seal on its genuineness by Congressmen preparing to the in the attempt to paralyse an administration that thwarts their will to fight the combine against

democracy Thus it is the administration's dead-set against the Congress which proves the hollowness of its claim that it is engaged in a fight for democracy. My firm belief is that the administration is daily proving its inefficiency for handling the war in the right manner China is slowly pinnight away while the administration is playing at war-handling. In the attempt to suppress the Congress it has cut off the greatest source of help to the millions of China who are being ground down under the Japanese heel.

44 The second question hardly demands a separate answer Congressmen who proclaimed a year ago under my "orders" that it is a "sin" to help the war with "men or money" need not be considered here, if I give different "orders" For me, I am as much opposed to all war today as I was before a year or more I am but an individual All Congressmen are not of that mind The Congress will give up the policy of non-violence to-day, if it can achieve India's freedom by so doing And I would have no compunction about inviting those who seek my advice to throw themselves heart and soul into the effort to help themselves and thus deliver from bondage those nations that are wedded to democracy If the effort involves military training the people will be free to take it, leaving me and those who think with me to our own non-violence I did this very thing during the Boer War, and in the last war I was a "good boy" then, because my action harmonized with the British Government's wishes Today I am the arch enemy, not because I have changed but because the British Government which is being tried in the balance is being found wanting I helped before, because I believed in British good faith I appear to be hindering today because the British Government will not act up to the faith that was reposed in them My answer to the two questions propounded by the author may sound harsh, but it is the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth as God lets me see it

- 45 The gravamen, however, of the charges against me is that "every reference to non-violence in the forecasts of the forms the movement would take made by Mr Gandhi and his Congress disciples and in the post arrest programmes and instructions is nothing more than a pious hope or at best a mild warning which was known to have no practical value" It is also described as mere 'lip service'.
- 46 The author gives no proof to show that it (the warning) 'was known to have no practical value" If the references to non-violence are removed from my writings and my utterances in order to condemn me and my 'Congress disciples," the removal would be on a par with the omission of 'nots' from the Commandments and quoting them in support of killing, stealing etc. The author," in robbing me of the one thing I live by and live for, robs me of all I possess. The evidence given in support of dismissing references to non-violence as "valueless" mostly consists of innuendoes ' It was to be a struggle, a fight to the finish in which foreign domination was to be ended, cost what it may "In a non-violent struggle the cost has always to be paid by the fighters in their own blood ' It was to be an unarmed revolt, short and swift " The prefix 'un ' in 'unarmed,' unless it be regarded as "valueless", gives 'short and swift' an ennobling meaning For, to make the struggle 'short and swift' prisons have to be avoided as too soft a thing and death to be hugged as a true friend enabling the fighters to affect opponents' heart much quicker than mere jailgoing can Mention by me of 'conflagration' meant giving of lives in thousands or more, if need be The author has called it a "grimly accurate forecast" This has a post-facto meaning unintended by the author in that a heavy toll of lives was taken by way of reprisals by the authorities, and an orgy of unmentionable excesses let loose upon the people by the soldiery and the police, if the press reports and statements by responsible public men are to be

believed "Mr Gandhi was prepared to risk the occurrence of riots. It is true that I was prepared to take such a risk. Any big movement whether violent or non-violent involves certain risks. But non-violent running of risks means a special method, a special handling. I would have strained every nerve to avoid nots Moreover, my first act would have been to woo the Viceroy Till then no question of running any risks could arise. As it was, the Government would not let me run the risk. They put me in prison instead! What the mass movement was to include and how the risk was to be taken, if at all, the author could not know for the movement was never started. Nor had any instructions been issued by me

- 47 The author complains of my 'making full use of existing grievances." The use began even before the birth of the Congress It has never ceased. How could it, so long as the foreign domination, of which they were a part, lasted?
- 48 'Finally every man and woman was to consider himself free and act for himself "These last words or at least their sense finds a place in the resolution itself." This last sentence is a specimen of suppressio veri. Here is the relevant extract from the Congress resolution.

They must remember that non volence is the basis of this movement. A time may come when it may not be possible to issue instructions or for instructions to reach our people, and when no Congress Committees can function. When this happens every man and woman who is participating in this movement must function for himself or therestle within the four corners of the general instructions issued Every Indian who desires freedom and strices for it must be his own guide urging him on along the hard road where there is no resting place and which leads ultimately to the independence and deliverance of India

There is nothing new or startling in this It is practical wisdom Men and women must become their own leaders when their trusted guides are removed from them, or when their organization is declared illegal or otherwise ceases to function True there were formerly nominal 'dictators'

appointed This was more to court arrest than to guide followers by being in touch with them For, touch was not possible except secretly This time not prison but death was to be sought in the prosecution of the movement Therefore, every one was to become his own leader to act within the four corners of the square foundation—non-volence The omission of the two conditions for every one becoming his or her own guide was an unpardonable suppression of relevant truth

49 The author then proceeds to consider whether the movement contemplated by me could, by its very nature, be non-violent and further whether "Mr Gandhi (1) intended that it should be so or hoped that it would remain so I have already shown that the movement never having been started, nobody could say what I had contemplated or hoped unless my intention or my hope could be justly deduced from my writings Let me however observe how the author has arrived at this conclusion. His first proof is that I have employed military terms in connection with a movement claimed to be wholly non-violent I have employed such language from the commencement of my experiment in South Africa I could more easily show the contrast between my move and the ordinary ones by using identical phraseology. so far as possible, and coupling it with non-violence Throughout my experience of Satyagraha since 1908. I cannot recall an instance in which people were misled by my use of military phraseology And, indeed, Satyagraha being a 'moral equivalent of war ', the use of such terminology is but natural Probably all of us have used at some time or another, or, are at least familiar with, expressions such as 'sword of the spirit', 'dynamite of truth', 'shield and buckler of patience', 'assaulting the citadel of truth', or 'wrestling with God' Yet no one has ever seen anything strange or wrong in such use Who can be ignorant of the use of military phraseology by the Salvation Army? That body has taken it over in its

entirety, and yet I have not known anyone having mistaken the Salvation Army, with its colonels and captains, for a military organization trained to the use of deadly weapons of destruction

- 50 I must deny that "it has been shown that Mr Gandhi had little faith in the effectiveness of non-violence to resist Japanese aggression" What I have said is that maximum effectiveness cannot be shown when it has to work side by side with violence. It is true that Maulana Saheb and Pandit Nehru have doubts about the efficacy of non-violence to withstand aggression, but they have ample faith in non-violent action for fighting against British domination. I do believe that both British and Japanese imperialisms are equally to be avoided But I have already shown by quoting from Harijan that it is easier to cope with the evil that is than the one that may come. [Vide Appendix II (ID)]
- 51 I admit at once that there is "a doubtful proportion of full believers" in my "theory of non-violence". But it should not be forgotten that I have also said that for my movement I do not at all need believers in the theory of non-violence, full or imperfect It is enough if people carry out the rules of non-violent action [Vide Appendix IV (A)]
- 52 Now comes the author's most glaring lapse of memory or misrepresentation in the paragraph under discussion He says, 'remember too that he had before him the example of his previous movements, each professedly non-violent, yet each giving rise to the most hideous violence 'I have before me a list of 20 civil resistance movements beginning with the very first in South Africa I do recall instances in which popular frenzy had broken our resulting in regrettable murders These instances of mob-volence, though bad enough, were but a flea-bite in proportion to the vast size of this country—as bag as Europa lass Russan territorially and brigger numerically Had violence been the Congress policy, secretly

or openly, or had the Congress discipline been less strict, it is simple enough to realize that the violence, instead of being a flea-bite would have been more like a volcanic eruption But every time such outbreaks took place the most energetic measures were taken by the whole Congress organization to deal with them On several occasions I had myself resorted to fasting All this produced a salutary effect on the popular mind And there were also movements which were singularly free from violence. Thus the South African Satvagraha which was a mass movement and similar movements in Champaran Kheda Bardoli and Borsad - not to mention others in which collective civil disobedience on a wide scale was offered were wholly free from any outburst of violence. In all these the people had conformed to the rules laid down for their observance. The author has thus some against history in making the sweeping statement that I had before me the "example of previous movements each professedly nonviolent, yet each giving rise to the most hideous violence My own experience being quite to the contrary. I have not the shadow of a doubt that if the Government had not, by their summary action unnecessarily provoked the people beyond endurance, there never would have been any violence The members of the Working Committee were anxious that violence on the part of the people should be avoided not from any philanthropic motive, but from the conviction borne in upon them from the experience of hard facts, that violence by the people could not usher in independence. The education that the people had received through the Congress was wholly non-violent, before 1920 because of the leaders' belief in constitutional agitation and faith in British promises and declarations and since 1920 because of the belief, in the first instance induced by me and then enforced by experience, that mere constitutional agitation though it had served upto a point, could never bring in independence, and that regard being had to the condition of India non-violent

action was the only sanction through which independence could be attained in the quickest manner possible. The accumulated experience of the past thirty years, the first eight of which were in South Africa, fills me with the greatest hope that in the adoption of non-violence lies the future of India and the world It is the most harmless and yet equally effective way of dealing with the political and economic wrongs of the down-trodden portion of humanity I have known from early youth that non-violence is not a closstered virtue to be practised by the individual for his peace and final salvation but it is a rule of conduct for society if it is to live consistently with human dignity and make progress towards the attainment of peace for which it has been yearning for ages past. It is therefore sad to think that a government, the most powerful in the world. should have belittled the doctrine and put its votaries however imperfect they may be, out of action It is my firm opinion that thereby they have injured the cause of universal peace and the Allied Nations

53 For the author "the certainty was 'that his (my) more could not remain non-violent' For me "the certainty" was quite the contrary, if the movement had remained in the hands of those who could guide the people

54 It is also now "clear" what I meant when I said I was prepared to go to the extremest limit that is that I would continue the non-volent movement even though the government might succeed in provoking violence. Hitherto I have stayed my hand when people have been so provoked This time I ran the risk because the risk of remaining supine in the face of the greatest world conflagration known to history was infinitely greater. If non-violence be the greatest force in the world it must prove itself during this crisis.

55 The final proof given by the author of my non-violence being "mere lip service" consists of the following caricature of my writing in defence of Polish bravery

In other words in any fight the weaker of the two combatants may employ as violent measures as he likes or is able and may still be considered to be fighting non violently or to put it in another way violence when employed against superior odds automatically becomes non violence. Surely a very convenient theory for the rebels in an unamed revolt.

I claim the writing quoted by the author does not warrant the misleading deduction. How can I possibly lay down a proposition against every day experience? There is rarely a fight among absolute equals. One party is always weaker than the other The illustrations I have given taken together, can lead to one conclusion only namely, that the weaker party does not make any preparation for offering violence for the simple reason that the intention is absent, but when he is suddenly attacked he uses unconsciously, even without wishing to do so any weapon that comes his way. The first illustration chosen by me is that of a man who having a sword uses it single handed against a horde of dacoits. The second is that of a woman using her nails and teeth or even a dagger in defence of her honour. She acts spontaneously And the third is that of a mouse fighting a cat with its sharp teeth. These three illustrations were specially chosen by me in order to avoid any illegitimate deduction being drawn in defence of offering studied violence. One infallible test is that such a person is never successful in the sense of over-powering the aggressor. He or she dies and saves his or her honour rather than surrender to the demands of the aggressor I was so guarded in the use of my language that I described the defence of the Poles against overwhelming numbers as 'almost non-violence" In further elucidation of this see discussion with a Polish friend [Vide Appendix IV (M) 1

56 Here it will be apposite to give extracts from my speeches bearing on non-violence on the 7th and 8th August list before the A I C C at Bombay

"Let me however hasten to assure you that I am the same Gandhi as I was in 1920 I have not changed in any fundamental respect I

attach the same importance to non violence that I did then If at all my emphasis on it has grown stronger. There is no real contradiction between the present resolution and my previous writings and utterances.

Occasions like the present do not occur in everybody a and but rarely in anybody a life. I want you to know and feel that there is nothing but purest admast in all that I am saying and doing roday. The draft resolution of the Working Committee is based on admissa the contemplated struggle similarly has its toots in admissa. If therefore there is any among you who has lost faith in admissa or is wearied of it let him not vote for this resolution.

Let me explain my pointon clearly God has vouchasfed to me a priceless gift in the warpon of ahimsa I and my ahimsa are on our trail today II in the present crisis when the earth is bung scorched by the flames of himsa and crying for diliverance I failed to make use of the God given talent God will not forgive me and I shall be judged unworthy of the great gift. I must act now I may not heritate and merely look on when Russia and China are threatened

Ours is not a drive for power but purely a non violent fight for India's independence. In a violent struggle a successful general has been often known to effect a military coup and set up a dictatorship. But under the Congress scheme of things essentially non violent as it is there can be no room for dictatorship. A non violent soldier of freedom will cover nothing for himself he fights only for the freedom of his country. The Concress is unconcerned as to who will rule when freedom is attained The power when it comes will belong to the people of India and it will be for them to decide to whom it should be entrusted. May be that the reins will be placed in the hands of the Parsis for instance -- as I would love to see happen - or they may be handed to some others whose names are not heard in the Congress today It will not be for you then to object saving This community is microscopic That party did not play its due part in the freedom's struggle why should it have all the power? Ever since its inception the Congress has kept itself meticulously free of the communal taint It has thought always in terms of the whole nation and acted accordingly

I know how imparfect our alimsu is and how far away we are still from the ideal but in alimsu there is no final failure or defeat I have faith therefore that if in spite of our shortcomings the big thing does happen it will be because Gold wanted to help us by crowning with success our silent unremitting sadhana (striving) for the last tenty two vesting. I believe that in the history of the world there has not been a more genumely democratic struggle for freedom than ours I read Carlyles History of the French Revolution while I was in prison and Pandit Jawahar lal has told me something about the Russian Revolution. But it is my conviction that inasmuch as these struggles were fought with the weapon of violence they failed to realize the democratic ideal. In the democracy which I have envisaged a democracy established by non violence there will be equal freedom for all! Everybody will be his own master It is to pon a struggle for such democracy that I invite you today Once you realize this you will forget the differences between Hindus and Muslims and think of ourselves as Indians only enasted in the common struggle for independence

(From the Hindustani speech on 7th August before the A I C C)

After describing personal relations with the Viceroy the late Deenabandhu C F Andrews and the Metropolitan of Calcutta I proceeded

With the background of this consciousness I want to declare to the world that whatever may be said to the contrary and although I may have today forfietded the regard of many fineds in the West and even the trust of some—even for their love and friendship I must not suppress the voice within That something in me which has never deceived me tells me that I shall have to fight on even though the whole world be against me

I hold that there can be no real freedom without non violence This is not the language of a proud or an arrogant man but of an earnest seeker after Truth It is this fundamental truth with which the Congress has been experimenting for the last twenty two years. Unconsciously from its very inception the Congress has based its policy on non violence known in those early days as the constitutional method. Dadahhov and Pherozeshah Mehta carried Congress India with them They were lovers of Congress They were therefore also its masters. But above all they were true servants of the nation They became rebels. But they never countenanced murder secrecy and the like Subsequent generations have added to this heritage and expanded their political philosophy into the principle and policy of non violent non cooperat on which the Congress has adopted It is not my claim that every Congressman conforms to the highest tenet of non violence even as a policy I know that there are several black sheep but I am taking all on trust without subjecting them to cross examination I trust because I have faith in the innate goodness of human nature which enables people instinctively to perceive the truth and carries them through crisis It is this fundamental trust which rules my life and enables me to hope that Ind a as a whole will vindicate the principle of non-violence during the coming struggle But even if my trust is found to be misplaced I shall not flinch I shall not abandon my faith I shall only say The lesson is not yet fully learnt. I must try again (From the English speech on 8th August?)

The Congress has no sanction but the moral for enforcing its decisions. I believe that true democracy can only be an outcome of non-violence. The structure of a world federation can be raised only on a foundation of non violence and violence will have to be totally given up in world affair-solution of the Hindu Muslim question too cannot be achieved by resort to violence If Hindus tyrannize over Mussalmans with what face will they talk of a world federation? It is for the same reason that the Congress has agreed to submit all differences to an impartial tribunal and to abide by its decisions.

In Satyagraha there is no place for fraud or falsehood Fraud and falsehood are today stalking the world I cannot be a helpless witness of such a situation. I have travelled all over India as perhaps nobody in the present age has The voiceless millions of the land saw in me their friend and representative and I identified myself with them to the extent it was possible for a human being to do so I saw trust in their eyes which I now want to turn to good account in fighting this Empire which is built on and upheld by untruth and violence However tight the Empire's control of us, we must get out of it. I know how imperfect an instrument I am for this great task, and how imperfect is the material with which I have to work But how can I remain silent at this supreme hour and hide my light under a bushel? Shall I ask the Japanese to tarry a while? If today I sit quiet and inactive in the midst of this conflagration which is enveloping the whole world God will take me to task for not making use of the treasure. He has given me But for this conflagration I should have asked you to wait a little longer as I have done all these years. The situation has now become intolerable and the Congress has no other course left for it

(From the concluding speech in Hindustani on 8th August)

57 Having given proof against me to show that my professions about non-violence were "valueless", the author turns to my colleagues in the Congress High Command to observe how they interpreted my "views to their Congress followers and to their masses' The author sees objection in Pandit Nehru, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel and Shri Shankerrao Deo having singled out the student community for attention Attention paid to the student community and peasantry was

no new thing introduced for the first time, for the sake of the struggle in the history of the Congress. As early as 1920 students were specially invited to join the non-cooperation movement and several thousand had responded to the call by suspending their studies I do not know what hannened after the August arrests in the Benares Hindu University But assuming that some students belonging to it went astray that is no ground for associating Pandit Nehru with their acts Positive proof would be necessary to establish such connection. Overwhelming proof can be produced in support of the contention that his faith in non-violence for the purpose of achieving Swarai is inferior to nobody's The same thing can be said about his exhortation to the Kisans of the United Provinces There is too nothing in favour of violence, in the other leaders' speeches, so far as one can sudge from the extracts given in the indictment

58 Having dealt with the leaders' speeches, the author comes to "detailed instructions regarding the conduct of the movement in existence before the All India Congress Committee meeting in Bombay'. The "first example' has been "chosen" from Harjan of August 9th. The article is entitled "Ways of Non-violent Non-cooperation". As it happens, it is a discussion in connection with the threatened invasion from lanan. Thus the article opens.

Ever since 1920 we are familiat with some of the ways of offening non-violent non-cooperation. These included boycort of all government institutions and services and extended to the non payment of taxes. They were directed against a foreign government in occupation of the country for years. The ways of non cooperation to adopt against a new foreign avivader would naturally differ in details. It would as Gandhiji has said gatend to the refusal of food or water All non cooperation calculated to make the functioning of the enemy impossible has to be resorted to within the lights of non-violence.

Then the writer of the article (M D) has given samples of non-violent non-cooperation offered elsewhere than in india. They are not examples of non-violence consciously exercised. That the whole article was written to show what

could be non-violently done to repel the invader is clear from the final paragraph

What one has to remember is that in war repression would be ten times as severe as was resorted to in France but if there is the will to suffer the resourcefulness to deview ways and means on the lines indicated in these different instances of passive resistance and above all the determination to drive out the invader cost what it may victory is certain. The vastness of our country far from being a disadvantage may be an advantage as the invader would find it difficult to cope with resistance on a thousand and one fronts.

The theme of the article is not racial but anti-invader 59 The other example given by the author is an extract from an article by Shri K G Mashruwalla in Harrian of 23rd August 1942 Shri Mashruwalla is a valued co-worker He carries non-violence to an extreme which baffles those who know him intimately Nevertheless I do not propose to defend the paragraph quoted. He has guarded himself by saving that it represents his personal opinion only. He must have heard me debating the question whether interference with bridges rails and the like could be classified as nonviolent I had always questioned the practicability of the interference being non-violent. Even if such interference could conceivably be non-violent as I hold it can be it is dangerous to put it before the masses who cannot be expected to do such things non-violently Nor would I expect the classification of the British power in the same category as the Japanese for the purposes of the movement

60 Having allowed myself to criticize the opinion of a respected colleague I wish to say that Shri Mashriuvalla s opinion is no evidence of violent intention At best it is an error of judgement which is much more likely in a novel subject like the applicability of ahimsa practised in all walks of life by misses of mankind Great generals and statesmen have been known before now to have committed errors of judgement without losing caste or being accused of evil intentions

61 Then comes the Andhra circular I must regard it as forbidden ground for me inasmuch as I knew nothing about it before my arrest Therefore I can only comment on it with reserve Subject to that caution I consider the document to be harmless on the whole This is its governing clause

The whole movement is based on non-violence No act which contravenes these instructions should ever be undertaken All acts of disobedience committed should be overt never covert (open but not under cover).

The parenthesis is in the original The following warning is also embodied in the circular

Ninety nine chances out of hundred chances are for the inauguration of this movement by Mahatmaji at an early date possibly a few hour after the next All India Congress Committee meeting at Bombay The D C C s should be alert and begin to act immediately but please allo take note that no movement should be launched or any overt act done nill Mahatmaji decides After all he may decide otherwise and you will be responsible for a great unwarranted mistake Be ready organize at once be after but by no means act."

As to the body of the circular, I could not make myself responsible for some of the items But I must refuse to judge a thing which I cannot correct, especially in the absence of what the Committee has to say on them, assuming of course that the circular is an authentic document. I miss in the indictment the text of the alleged "written amendment" 'raising the ban on the removal of rails

62 Attention is then drawn to the fifth appendix showing him mind was working in the direction of violence under the 'valueless' cover of non-violence, as the author would say The appendix gives what purport to be All India Congress Committee instructions with extracts from my writings in parallel columns. I have tried to study that appendix. I have nothing to withdraw from my writings. And I contend that there is not a trace of violence in the instructions alleged to be from the All India Congress Committee.

63 Independently of the argument in the indictment, I must now say something about non-violence as I know it. Its spread in all walks of life has been my mission from early youth. This covers a period of very nearly sixty years. It was adopted at my instance as a policy by the Congress in 1920 In its very nature it was not meant to be paraded before the world, but it was accepted as a means indispensable for the attainment of Swarai Congressmen saw at an early date that its mere adoption on paper had no value It was of use only in so far as it was put into practice individually and collectively. It was of no more use as a badge than a rifle in the hands of a person who did not know how to use it effectively on due occasion Therefore if non-violence has raised the Congress prestige and popularity since its adoption it has done so in exact proportion to its use, even as the power which the rifle gives to its possessor is in exact proportion to its effective use. The comparison cannot be carried very far Thus while violence is directed towards the injury, including the destruction of the aggressor. and is successful only when it is stronger than that of the opponent, non-violent action can be taken in respect of an opponent, however powerfully organized for violence Violence per se of the weak has never been known to succeed against the stronger in violence Success of non-violent action of the very weak is a daily occurrence I make bold to say that I have applied to the present struggle the principles of non-violence as enunciated here. Nothing could be farther from my thought than injury to the person or property of those who are manning and regulating the machinery of British Imperialism as it operates in India My non-violence draws a fundamental distinction between the man and his machine I would destroy a harmful machine without compunction, never the man And this rule I have enforced in my dealings with my nearest relatives as also friends and associates not without considerable success

- 64 After disposing of non-violence the author has summarized what he calls the "ostensible aims' of the Wardha resolution of July 14th and the Bombay resolution of August 8th as follows
- "Three main ostensible aims are common to both the Wardha resolution of July 14th (Appendix III-1) and the Bombay resolution of August 8th (Appendix III-2) These are
 - 1 To remove foreign domination over India
- 2 To check the growing ill-will against Britain with its danger of passes acceptance by the masses of aggression against India to build up a spirit of resistance to aggression among Indians and by granting Indias millions immediate freedom to release that energy and enthusiasm which alone can enable India to play an effective part in her own defence and in her war as a whole
- 3 To achieve communal unity by the removal of the foreign power with its policy of divide and rule which will be followed by the formation of a Provisional Government representative of all sections of the Indian people

Three further aims appeared for the first time in the Bombay resolution

- 4 To bring all subject and oppressed humanity to the side of the J United Nations thus giving these nations the moral and spiritual leadership of the world
- 5 To assist Asiatic nations under foreign domination to regain their freedom and to ensure that they are not again placed under the rule of any colonial power
- 6 To bring about a world federation which would ensure the disbanding of national armies havies and air forces and the pooling of the world's resources for the common good of all.

He says that "the genuineness of the first of these aims is undeniable. The freedom of India in whatever terms it may have been expressed, has long been the main goal of the Congress and it has been shown above how this aim coincides with one of the main motives underlying the 'Quit India' move' Strange, as it appears to me, notwithstanding this admission of the genuineness of the first aim, he ridicules the others in some shape or form I contend that all the others follow from the first Thus if the foreign domination goes by agreement, illwill against Britain is automatically turned into goodwill, and the energy of millions is set free on behalf of the Allied cause. Similarly

communal unity must follow as day follows night when the night of foreign domination is gone. If nearly four hundred million people become free, other portions of oppressed humanity must also become free and naturally the Allied Nations being privy to this freedom, the moral and spiritual leadership of the world comes to them without seeking The fifth aim is included in the fourth, and the sixth is but a repetition of the aim of the whole of humanity which it must attain or perish without It is true that the three last aims were added in Bombay That surely is not a matter to cavil at Even if they were a result of criticism, what is there wrong about it? No democratic organization can afford to defy criticism, for it has to live upon the fresh air of criticism. As a matter of fact, however, world federation and rights of non-white people are no new ideas for Congressmen They have been mentioned in Congress resolutions on other occasions. The paragraph about world federation found place in the August resolution at the instance of a European friend and about non-white people at mine

65 As to the disturbances that took place after the arrests of 9th August I have carefully read chapters IV and V of the indictment detailing them as also the appendices purporting to be instructions from various bodies I must refuse to judge these one-sided statements or unverified documents As to the so-called instructions I can say that, so far as they are contrary to non-violence, they can never have my approval.

66 One searches in vain in the indictment for a detailed account of the measures taken by the Government by way of reprisals And if one is to believe what has been allowed to appear in the press about these measures, the so-called misdeeds of exasperated people, whether they can be described as Congressmen or not, pale into insignificance

67. Now for the responsibility for the happenings after the wholesale arrests of 9th August last. The most natural way to look at the disturbances is that they broke out after the arrests which were therefore the cause The indictment has been framed for the sole purpose, as the title shows, of fastening the responsibility on the Congress The argument seems to me to be this First I and then the Congress had been setting the stage for a mass movement since April 1942 when I first bruited the idea of British withdrawal popularly known as 'Quit India' Mass movement was bound to result in the outbreak of violence I and the Congressmen who had accepted my guidance had intended that violence should take place Leaders had been preaching it Hence the disturbances were to take place in any case The arrests therefore merely anticipated the violent movement and nipped it in the bud This sums up the reasoning in the indictment

68 I have endeavoured to show that no special stage for a mass movement was set or contemplated because of my proposal for British withdrawal, that violence was never contemplated by me or any Congress leader, that I had · declared that, if Congressmen indulged in any orgy of violence, they might not find me alive in their midst, that the mass movement was never started by me, the sole charge for starting it was vested in me, that I had contemplated negotiations with the Government, that I was to start the movement only on failure of negotiations, and that I had envisaged an interval of "two or three weeks" for the negotiations. It is therefore clear that but for the arrests no such disturbances would have taken place as happened on 9th August last and after I would have strained every nerve first to make negotiations successful and secondly, if I had failed, to avoid disturbances The Government would have been no less able to suppress them than they were in August last Only they would have had some case against me and the Congress It was the dury of the Government. before taking action, to study the speeches of the Congress leaders and myself at the All-India Congress Committee

69. The Congress leaders were desirous that the movement should remain non-violent, if only because they knew that no violent movement in the existing circumstances could possibly succeed when matched against a most powerfully equipped Government. Whatever violence was committed by people, whether Congressmen or others, was therefore committed in spite of the leaders' wishes. If it is held otherwise by the Government it should be proved beyond doubt before an impartial tribunal. But why seek to shift the responsibility when the cause is patent? The Government action in enforcing India-wide arrests was so violent that the populace which was in sympathy with the Congress lost control. The loss of self-control cannot imply Congress complicity, but it does imply that the power of endurance of human nature has limitations. If Government action was in excess of the endurance of human nature, it and therefore its authors were responsible for the explosions that followed. But the Government may assert that the arrests were necessary. . If so, why should the Government fight shy of taking the responsibility for the consequences of their action? The wonder to me is that the Government at all need to justify their action when they know that their will is law.

70. Let me analyze the system of Government in vogue here. A population numbering nearly four hundred millions of people, belonging to an ancient civilization, are being ruled by a British representative called Viceroy and Governor-General aided by 250 officials called Collectors and supported by a strong British garrison with a large number of Indian soldiers, trained by British officers, and carefully isolated from the populace. The Viceroy enjoys within his own sphere powers much larger than the King of England. Such powers, as far as I know, are not enjoyed by any other person in the world. The Collectors are miniature Viceroys

in their own spheres. They are first and foremost, as their name implies, collectors of revenue in their own districts and have magisterial powers. They can requisition the military to their aid when they think necessary. They are also political agents for the small chieftanis within their jurisdiction, and they are in the place of overlords to them.

71 Contrast this with the Congress, the most truly democratic organization in the world - not because of its numerical strength but because its only sanction deliberately adopted is non-violence From its inception the Congress has been a democratic body, seeking to represent all India However feeble and imperfect the attempt may have been, the Congress has never in its history of now nearly sixty years shifted its gaze from the Pole Star of India's freedom It has progressed from stage to stage in its march towards democracy in the truest term If it is said, as it has been that the Congress learnt the spirit of democracy from Great Britain, no Congressman would care to deny the statement, though it must be added that the roots were to be found in the old Panchavat system. It can never brook Nazi, Fascist or Japanese domination An organization whose very breath is freedom and which pits itself against the most powerfully organized imperialism, will perish to a man in the attempt to resist all domination. So long as it clings to nonviolence, it will be uncrushable and unconquerable

72. What can be the cause of the extraordinary resentment against the Congress into which the Government have betrayed themselves? I have never known them before to exhibit so much irritation Does the cause lie in the 'Quit India' formula' Disturbances cannot be the cause, because the resentment began to show itself soon after the publication of my proposal for British withdrawal It crystallized into the wholesale arrests of 9th August last which were prearranged and merely awaited the passing of the resolution of 8th August Yet there was nothing novel in the resolution

save the 'Quit India' formula Mass movements have been known to be on the Congress programme ever since 1920 But freedom seemed elusive Now the Hindu-Muslim disunity. now the pledges to the Princes, now the interests of the scheduled classes now the vested interests of the Europeans barred the gateway to freedom Divide and rule was an inexhaustible well. The sands of time were running out. Rivers of blood were flowing fast among the warring nations and politically minded India was looking on helplessly — the masses were mert Hence the cry of Quit India' It cave body to the freedom movement The cry was unanswerable Those who were anxious to play their part in the world crisis found vent in that cry of anguish. Its root is in the will to save democracy from Nazism as well as Imperialism For, satisfaction of the Congress demand meant assurance of victory of democracy over any combination of reactionary forces and deliverance of China and Russia from the menace of Japan and Germany respectively. But the demand irritated the Government They distrusted those who were associated with the demand and thereby they themselves became the greatest impediment to the war effort. It is wrong therefore to accuse the Congress of hindering war effort Congress activity up to the night of 8th August was confined to resolutions only The dawn of the 9th saw the Congress imprisoned What followed was a direct result of the Government action.

73 The resentment over what I hold to be a just and honourable desire confirms the popular suspicion about the bona fides of the Government's professions about democracy and freedom after the war If the Government were sincere they would have welcomed the offer of help made by the Congress Congressmen who have been fighting for India's liberty for over half a century would have flocked to the Allied banner as one man for the defence of India's freedom newly won But the Government did not wish to treat India as an equal partner and ally They put out of action

those who made this demand Some of them are even being hounded as if they were dangerous criminals. I have in mind Shri Jaiprakash Narayan and others like him. A reward of Rs 5000, now doubled, has been promised to the informant who would show his hiding place. I have taken Shri Jaiprakash Narayan purposely as my illustration because, as he very rightly says, he differs from me on several fundamentals. But my differences, great as they are, do not blind me to his indomitable courage and his sacrifice of all that a man, holds dear for the love of his country. I have read his manifesto which is given as an appendix to the indictivent. Though I cannot subscribe to some of the views expressed therein, it breathes nothing but burning patriotism and his impatience of foreign dominating. It is a virtue of which any country would be proud.

74 So much for politically minded Congressmen. In the constructive department of the Congress also the Government have deprived themselves of the best talent in the country for the organization of hand industries which are so vital a need in war time The All-India Spinners Association, which is responsible for having distributed without fuss over 3 crores of rupees as wages among the poor villagers whom no one had reached and whose labour was being wasted, has come in for a heavy hand. Its president Shri Jajuji and many of his co-workers have been imprisoned without trial and without any known reason centres which are trust property have been confiscated to the Government I do not know the law under which such property can be confiscated And the tragedy is that the confiscators are themselves unable to run these centres which were producing and distributing cloth Khadi and charkhas have been reported to have been burnt by the authorities The All-India Village Industries Association worked by Kumarappa brothers has also received much the same treatment Shri Vinoba Bhave is an institution by himself Many workers were incessantly doing creative labour under his guidance Most men and women of constructive organizations are not political workers. They are devoted to constructive work of the highest merit. And if some of them have found it necessary to appear at all on the political field it is a matter for the Government to reflect upon To put such organizations and their supervisors under duress is in my opinion an unpardonable interference with The self-satisfaction with which the highest officials proclaim that limitless men and material are being had from this unhappy land is truly amazing while the inhabitants of India are suffering from shortage of food clothing and many other necessaries of life I make bold to say that this scarcity would have been largely minimized if not altogether obviated if instead of imprisoning Congress workers throughout India the Government had utilized their services. The Government had two striking illustrations of the efficient working by the Congress agency - I mean the handling of the disastrous Bihar earthquake by Congress men under Dr Rajendra Prasad and of the equally disastrous flood in Guiarat under Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel 75 This brings me to the end of my reply to the indict-

This brings me to the end of my reply to the indictment It has become much longer than I had wanted to
make it It has cost me and my coworkers in the camp no
end of labour Although I must ask in fairness to myself and
the cause I represent for the publication of this reply my
chief purpose is to carry conviction to the Government that
the indictment contains no proof of the allegation's against
the Congress and me The Government know that the
public in India seem to have distrusted the indictment and
regarded it as designed for foreign propaganda Men like
Sir Tej Bahadur Sapru and the Rt Hon ble Shri M R
Jayakar have given their opinion that the evidence produced
in the indictment is of no judicial value Therefore the
Government should withdraw the indictment I see from the

preface to the indictment that the Government have in their possession "valuable evidence", presumably incriminating the detenus I submit that if the Government cannot safely divulge the evidence they should discharge the detenus and bring to book those who, after discharge, may be caught in the act of committing or promoting crimes With limitless power at their back they need not resort to unsustainable accusations

76 It will be noticed that although the indictment is a Government publication I have only criticized its unknown author in the fond hope that the individual members composing the Government of India have not read the originals on which it is based For, I am of opinion that no one having a knowledge of the originals could possibly endorse the inferences and innuendoes with which it is replete

77 Lastly, I wish to state that if I have anywhere erred in analyzing the indictment and if my error is pointed out to me. I shall gladly correct myself I have simply written as I have felt

I am. etc. M K Gandbi

APPENDIX I

BRITISH WITHDRAWAI.

In its earlier stage Mr Gandhi's Quit India move was meant and was widely interpreted as a proposal for the physical withdrawal from India of the British and of all British and Allied troops

(Indictment P 2)

(A) CONFUSION

There is evidently confusion in some minds about my invitation to the British to withdraw For a Britisher writes to say that he likes India and her people and would not like willingly to leave India He likes too my method of nonviolence Evidently the writer has confused the individual as such with the individual as the holder of power India has no quarrel with the British people I have hundreds of British friends Andrews' friendship was enough to tie me to the British people But both he and I were fixed in our determination that the British rule in India in any shape or form must end Hitherto the rulers have said, 'We would gladly rettre if we know to whom we should hand over the reins' My answer now is, "Leave India to God If that is too much, then leave her to anarchy" I invite every Britisher who loves Britain, India and the world to join me in the appeal to the British power and, if it is rejected, to adopt such non-violent measures as would compel the power to comply with the appeal (Hanjan, May 24, 1942 P 161)

(B) OUT OF TOUCH

I am showing the futility of hatred I am showing that hatred miures the hater never the hated An imperial power cannot act otherwise than it has been doing If we are strong the British becomes powerless I am therefore trying to wean the people from their hatred by asking them to develop the strength of mind to invite the British to withdraw and at the same time to resist the Japanese With the British withdrawal the incentive to welcome the Japanese goes and the strength felt in securing British withdrawal will be used for stemming the Japanese inroad I endorse C R s proposition that the millions of India can resist the Japanese even without the possession of arms, modern and ancient, if they are properly organized I differ from him when he says that this can be done even when the British arms are operating without coordination when you force yourself on the British power Experience teaches us that hearty coordination and cooperation is impossible where mutual trust and respect are wanting British presence invites the Japanese, it promotes communal disunion and other discords, and what is perhaps the worst of all, deepens the hatred born of impotence Orderly British withdrawal will turn the hatred into affection and will automatically remove communal distemper So far as I can see two communities are unable to think or see things in their proper perspective as long as they are under the influence of the third power

(Harijan, May 31, 1942, P, 175)

(C) FREE INDIA CAN HEIP BEST

Answering to the question of a press correspondent whether his present policy as revealed by his writings did not vitiate his own declaration that he was a friend of China, Gandhiji said "My answer is an emphatic no"

I remain the passionate friend of China that I have always claimed to be I know what the loss of freedom means Therefore, I could not but be in sympathy with China which is my next-door neighbour in distress. And, if I believed in violence and if I could influence India, I would put in motion every force at my command on behalf of China to save her liberty In making, therefore, the suggestion which I have made about withdrawal of British power. I have not lost sight of China But because I have China in mind, I feel that the only effective way for India to help China is to persuade Great Britain to free India and let a free India make her full contribution to the war effort Instead of being sullen and discontented. India free will be a mighty force for the good of mankind in general. It is true that the solution I have presented is a heroic solution beyond the ken of Englishmen But being a true friend of Britain and China and Russia I must not suppress the solution which I believe to be eminently practical and probably the only one in order to save the situation and in order to convert the war into a power for good instead of being what it 15 a peril to humanity

I AM NOT PRO-JAPANESE

'Pandit Nehru told me yesterday that he heard people in Lahore and Delhi saying that I have turned pro-Japanese I could only laugh at the suggestion, for, if I am sincere in my passion for freedom. I could not consciously or unconsciously take a step which will involve India in the position of merely changing masters. If, in spite of my resistance to the Japanese menace with my whole soul the mishap occurs, of which I have never denied the possibility, then the blame would rest wholly on British shoulders I have no shadow of doubt about it. Thave made no suggestion which, even from the military standpoint, is fraught with the slightest danger to British power or to Chinese It is obvious that India is not allowed to pull her weight in favour of China If British power is withdrawn from India in an orderly manner, Britain will be relieved of the burden of keeping the peace in India and at the same time gain in a free India an ally not in the cause of Empire - because she would have renounced in toto all her imperial designs, not pretended but wholly real, of human freedom That I assert and that only is the burden of my recent writings and I shall continue to do so so long as I am allowed by the British power

NO SECRECY

'Now what about your plan, you are reported to have matured plans for launching some big offensive?' was the mext question Gandhij replied "Well, I have never believed in sectecy nor do I do so now There are certainly many plans floating in my brain But just now I merely allow them to float in my brain But just now I merely allow them in India and world opinion, in so far as I am allowed to do so And when I have finished that process to my satisfaction I may have to do something That something may be very big, if the Congress is with me and the people are with me But British authority will have a full knowledge of anything I may wish to do before I enforce it Remember I have jet to see the Mausana Sahib My talks with Pandit Nefitu are yet infinished I may say that they were wholly of a

friendly nature and we have come nearer to each other even with the unfinished talk of yesterday Naturally I want to carry the whole of the Congress with me if I can as I want to carry the whole of India with me For my conception of freedom is no narrow conception It is co extensive with the freedom of man in all his majesty I shall therefore take no step without the fullest deliberation

TO RESIST SLAVE DRIVERS

How are we to help in driving away the British from here? was the first question that was asked

We don t want to drive away the British people from here It is the British rulers whom we are asking quietly owithdraw. It is the British domination that we want to vanish from our land. We have no quarrel with the English men many of whom are my friends but we want the rule to end altogether for that is the poison that corrupts all it touches that is the obstacle that stops all progress.

And what is needed for this are two things—the knowledge that the domination is a greater evil than any other evil we can think of and that we have to get rid of it no matter what it may cost. The knowledge is so necessary because the British exercise their power and domination in all kinds of subtle and insidious ways that it is sometimes difficult to know that we are bound hand and foot. Next is the will to throw off the chains. We have simply to cultivate the will not to do the rulers bidding. Is it very difficult? How can one be compelled to accept slavery? I simply refuse to do the master's bidding. He may torture me break my bones to atoms and even kill me. He will then have my dead body not my obedience. Ultimately there fore it is I who am the victor and not he for he has failed in getting me to do what he wanted done.

That is what I am trying to impress both on those whom I want to retire and those who are bound in their

chains I am going to use all my powers to do so, but not violence - simply because I have no faith in it

"But I am going to be patient I am not going to hurry or hustle you I am busy preparing the atmosphere, and whatever I will do I shall do having in view the limitations of our people I know that neither the rulers nor public opinion understand the implications of my proposal"

"But", asks a friend, "have we not to see that the remedy may not be worse than the disease? There will be, in the course of resistance, in spite of all our will to prevent them, clashes and resultant anarchy May not that anarchy be worse than the present anarchy which you have called ordered anarchy?"

"That is a very proper question That is the consideration that has weighed with me all these 22 years I waited and waited until the country should develop the non-violent strength necessary to throw off the foreign voke But my attitude has now undergone a change I feel that I cannot afford to wait If I continue to wait I might have to wait till doomsday For the preparation that I have prayed for and worked for may never come, and in the mean time I may be enveloped and overwhelmed by the flames that threaten all of us That is why I have decided that even at certain risks which are obviously involved I must ask the people to resist the slavery But even that readiness, let me assure you, depends on the non-violent man's unflinching faith All I am conscious of is that there is not a trace of violence in the remotest corner of my being, and my conscious pursuit of ahimsa for the last 50 years cannot possibly fail me at this crisis The people have not my ahimsa, but mine should help them There is ordered anarchy around and about us I am sure that the anarchy that may result because of the British withdrawal or their refusal to listen to us and our decision to defy their authority will in no violence or anarchy, and I have a faith that out of that anarchy may arise pure non-violence But to be passive witness of the terrible violence that is going on in the name of resisting a possible foreign aggression, is a thing I cannot stand It is a thing that would make me ashamed of my ahimsa It is made of sterner stuff."

way be worse than the present anarchy. After all, thou who are unarmed cannot produce a frightful amount of

(Harrjan, June 7, 1942, p. 183 184) (D) WHY NON-VIOLENT NON-CO-OPERATION? "Supposing England retires from India for strategic

purposes, and apart from my proposal,-as they had to do in Burma - what would happen? What would India do?" "That is exactly what we have come to learn from

you. We would certainly like to know that." "Well, therein comes my non-violence. For we have no weapons. Mind you, we have assumed that the Commanderin-Chief of the United American and British armies has decided that India is no good as a base, and that they should withdraw to some other base and concentrate the Allied forces there. We can't help it. We have then to depend

India during the past fifty or more years of her struggle for freedom has learnt the lesson of patriotism and of not bowing to any foreign power But when the British are offering violent battle, our non-violent battle -our nonviolent activity -- would be neutralized. Those who believe in armed resistance and in helping the British military are and will be helping them Mr Amery says he is getting all the men and money they need, and he is right For the Congress a poor organization representing the millions of the poor of India - has not been able to collect in years what they have collected in a day by way of what I would say 'socalled voluntary subscription This Congress can only render non-violent assistance But let me tell you, if you do not know it, that the British do not want it, they don't set any store by it But whether they do it or not, violent and non-violent resistance cannot go together. So India's non-violence can at best take the form of silence - not obstructing the British forces, certainly not helping the Japanese '

"But not helping the British?"

"Don't you see non-violence cannot give any other aid?"
"But the railways, I hope, you won't stop, the services,

too will be, I hope, allowed to function "

"They will be allowed to function, as they are being allowed today "

"Aren't you then helping the British by leaving the services and the railways alone?" asked Mr Belldon

"We are indeed That is our non-embarrassment policy"

A BAD JOB

"Don't you think Indian people and leaders have some duty to help accelerate the process (process of withdrawal)?"

"You mean by dotting India with rebellions everywhere? No. my invitation to the British to withdraw is not an idle one. It has to be made good by the sacrifice of the invitors

way be worse than the present anarchy After all those who are unarmed cannot produce a frightful amount of violence or anarchy, and I have a faith that out of that anarchy may arise pure non-violence But to be passive witness of the terrible violence that is going on in the name of resisting a possible foreign aggression, is a thing I cannot stand It is a thing that would make me ashamed of my ahimsa. It is made of sterner stuff '

(Harnan, June 7, 1942 p 183/184)

(D) WHY NON-VIOLENT NON-CO-OPERATION?

Supposing England retires from India for strategic purposes, and apart from my proposal,- as they had to do in Burma-what would happen? What would India do?

'That is exactly what we have come to learn from

you We would certainly like to know that

Well, therein comes my non-violence For we have no weapons Mind you, we have assumed that the Commanderin-Chief of the United American and British armies has decided that India is no good as a base, and that they should withdraw to some other base and concentrate the Allied forces there We can't help it We have then to depend on what strength we have We have no army, no military resources, no military skill either, worth the name, and non-violence is the only thing we can fall back upon Now in theory I can prove to you that our non-violent resistance can be wholly successful We need not kill a single Japanese, we simply give them no quarter'

'Supposing Britain decides to fight to the last man in India, would not your non-violent non-cooperation help the Japanese?" asked Mr Chaplin reverting to the first question

he had asked

'If you mean non-cooperation with the British you would be right We have not come to that stage I do not want to help the Japanese - not even for freeing India India during the past fifty or more years of her struggle for freedom has learnt the lesson of patriotism and of not bowing to any foreign power But when the British are offering violent battle, our non-violent battle - our nonviolent activity—would be neutralized Those who believe in armed resistance and in helping the British military are and will be helping them Mr Amery says he is getting all the men and money they need, and he is right. For the Congress a poor organization representing the millions of the poor of India - has not been able to collect in years what they have collected in a day by way of what I would say 'socalled 'voluntary subscription This Congress can only render non-violent assistance But let me tell you, if you do not know it, that the British do not want it, they don't set any store by it But whether they do it or not, violent and non-violent resistance cannot go together So India's non-violence can at best take the form of silence - not obstructing the British forces, certainly not helping the Japanese "

"But not helping the British?"

"Don't you see non-violence cannot give any other aid ?" "But the railways, I hope, you won't stop, the services,

too will be, I hope, allowed to function "

"They will be allowed to function, as they are being

allowed today "Aren't you then helping the British by leaving the services and the railways alone?" asked Mr Belldon

We are indeed That is our non-embarrassment policy'

A BAD IOR

"Don't you think Indian people and leaders have some duty to help accelerate the process (process of withdrawal)?"

"You mean by dotting India with rebellions everywhere? Mr, my invitation to the British to withdraw is not an idle one It has to be made good by the sacrifice of the invitors

Public opinion has got to act, and it can act only non-violently "

"Is the possibility of strikes precluded? wondered Mr

"No," said Gandhiji, "strikes can be and have been non-violent If railways are worked only to strengthen the British hold on India they need not be assisted But before I decide to take any energetic measure I must endeavour to show the reasonableness of my demand The moment it is complied with, India instead of being sullen becomes an ally Remember I am more interested than the British in keeping the Japanese out For Britain's defeat in Indian waters may mean only the loss of India but if Japan wins India losses everything."

THE CRUCIAL TEST

"If you regard the American troops as an imposition you regard the American Technical Mission also in the same light?" was the next question

"A tree is judged by its fruit," said Gandhiji succinctly "I have met Dr Grady, we have had cordial talks, I have no prejudice against Americans I have hundreds if not thousands of friends, in America The Technical Mission may have nothing but goodwill for India. But my point is that all the things that are happening are not happening at the invitation or wish of India Therefore they are all suspect-We cannot look upon them with philosophic calmness, for the simple reason that we cannot close our eyes, as I have said, to the things that are daily happening in front of our eyes Areas are being vacated and turned into military camps people being thrown on their own resources. Hundreds if not thousands on their way from Burma perished without food and drink, and the wretched discrimination stared even these miserable people in the face. One route for the whites, another for the blacks Provision of food and shelter for the whites none for the blacks! And discrimination even on their arrival in India! India is being ground down to dust and humiliated, even before the Japanese advent, not for India's defence—and no one knows for whose defence And so one fine morning I came to the decision to make this honest demand 'For Heaven's sake leave India alone Let us breathe the air of freedom It may choke us, suffocate us, as it did the slaves on their emancipation But I want the present sham to end '

- 'But it is the British troops you have in mind, not the American ?"
- "It does not make for me the slightest difference, the whole policy is one and indivisible"
 - "Is there any hope of Britain listening?"
- "I will not die without that hope And if there is a long lease of life for me, I may even see it fulfilled For there is nothing unpractical in the proposal, no insuperable difficulties about it. Let me add that if Britain is not willing to do so wholeheartedly Britain does not deserve to win."

(Harijan, June 14, 1942, pp 185-186-187)

(E) IMPLICATIONS OF WITHDRAWAL

The following are the questions put by a representative of The News Chronicle (London) to Gandhiji (Bombay, 14-5-42) and the latter's replies to them

- 1 Q You have recently asked the British to withdraw from India Do you think it possible in the present circumstances for them to withdraw all at once? To whom are they to entrust the administration?
- A It has cost me much to come to the conclusion that the British should withdraw from India, and it is costing me still more to work out that conclusion. It is like asking loved ones to part but it has become a paramount duty. And the beauty and the necessity for withdrawal lie in its being immediate. They and we are both in the midst of fire. It they go, there is a likelihood of both of us being safe. If they do not, Heaven only knows what will hanner. I

have said in the plainest terms that in my proposal there is no question of entrusting the administration to any person or party That would be a necessary consideration, if the withdrawal was parte of a settlement Under my proposal, they have to leave India in God's hands—but in modern parlance to anarchy, and that anarchy may lead to internecine warfare for a time or to unrestrained dacotites From these a true India will rise in the place of the false one we see

2 Q How is your policy of non-embarrassment reconci-

lable with this advice?

A My policy of non-embarrassment remains intact in terms in which I have described it If the British withdraw, surely there is no embarrassment, not only so, they become eased of a tremendous burden, if they would calmly consider the meaning of the enslavement of a whole people But if they persist, well knowing that 'ethey are surrounded by hatred, they invite embarrassment. I do not produce it by stating the truth, however unpalatable it may appear for the moment

3 Q Already there are signs of civil insecurity, and would not life be even more insecure, were the present administration suddenly to withdraw?

A Of course, there is civil insecurity, and I have already confessed that insecurity is likely to increase very much only to give place to real security. The present insecurity is chronic and therefore not so much felt. But a disease that is not felt is worse than one that is felt.

4 Q Were the Japanese to invade India, what would your advice be to the Indian people?

A. I have already said in my articles that it is just likely that the Japanese will not want to invade India, their prey having gone But it is equally likely that they will want to invade India in order to use her ports for strategic purposes Then, I would advise the people to do the same thing that I have advised them to do now, viz., offer stub-

born non-violent non-cooperation, and I make bold to say that, if the British withdraw and people here follow my advice then non-cooperation will be infinitely more effective than it can be today, when it cannot be appreciated for the violent British action going on side by side

(Harijan May 24, 1942 p 166)

(F) ITS MEANING

Q What is the meaning of your appeal to the British power to withdraw from India? You have written much recently on the subject But there seems to be confusion in the public mind about your meaning

A So far as my own opinion is concerned British authority should end completely irrespective of the wishes or demand of various parties. But I would recognize their own military necessity They may need to remain in India for preventing Japanese occupation That prevention is common cause between them and us It may be necessary for the sake also of China Therefore I would tolerate their presence in India not in any sense as rulers but as allies of free India This of course assumes that after the British declaration of withdrawal there will be a stable government established in India Immediately the hindrance in the shape of a foreign power is altogether removed the union of parties should be an easy matter. The terms on which the Allied powers may operate will be purely for the Government of the free State to determine The existing parties will have dissolved into the National Government If they survive they will do so for party purposes and not for dealings with the external world (Harijan June 21, 1942 p 197)

(G) ONLY IF THEY WITHDRAW

Till the list day you said there can be no Swaraj without Hindu Muslim unity. Now why is it that you say that there will be no unity until ladia has achieved independence the Nagpur correspondent of the Hindu asked Gandhiji the other day.

· Gandhiji replied, "Time is a merciless enemy, if it is also a merciful friend and healer. I claim to be amonest the oldest lovers of Hindu Muslim unity and I remain one even today I have been asking myself why every whole-hearted attempt made by all including myself to reach unity has failed, and failed so completely that I have entirely fallen from grace and am described by some Muslim papers as the · greatest enemy of Islam in India It is a phenomenon I can only account for by the fact that the third power, even without deliberately wishing it, will not allow real unity to take place Therefore I have come to the reluctant conclusion that the two communities will come together almost immediately after the British power comes to a final end in India If independence is the immediate goal of the Congress and the League then, without needing to come to any terms all will fight together to be free from bondage. When the bondage is done with, not merely the two organizations but all parties will find it to their interest to come together and make the fullest use of the liberty in order to evolve a national government suited to the genius of India I do not care what it is called Whatever it is, in order to be stable it has to represent the masses in the fullest sense of the term And, if it is to be broad-based upon the will of the people, it must be predominantly non-violent. Anyway, upto my last breath, I hope I shall be found working to that end, for I see no hope for humanity without the acceptance of non-violence We are witnessing the bankruptcy of violence from day to day There is no hope for humanity if the senseless fierce mutual slaughter is to continue

(Haryan, June 21, 1942 p 198) (H) DELIBERATE DISTORTION

I regard my proposal as fool-proof The operations of the Allied forces against Japanese aggression have been lef intact under my proposal which amounts to this that Britan should become true to her declaration, withdraw from Indi

as conqueror and therefore controller of her destiny, and leave India to shape her own destiny without the slightest interference This, as I can see, puts her case on a moral basis and gives her in India a great ally not in the cause of imperialism but in the cause of human freedom If there is anarchy in India, Britain alone will be responsible, not I What I have said is that I would prefer anarchy to the present slavery and consequent impotence of India

(Harijan, June 28 1942 p 203)

There was obviously a gap (about Allied troops) in my first writing I filled it in as soon as it was discovered by one of my numerous interviewers Non-violence demands the strictest honesty, cost what it may The public have therefore to suffer my weakness if weakness it may be called I could not be guilty of asking the Allies to take a step which would involve certain defeat. I could not guarantee fool-proof non-violent action to keep the Japanese at bay Abrupt withdrawal of the Allied troops might result in Japan's occupation of India and China's sure fall I had not the remotest idea of any such catastrophe resulting from my action Therefore I feel that if, in spite of the acceptance of my proposal, it is deemed necessary by the Allies to remain in India to prevent Japanese occupation, they should do so. subject to such conditions as may be prescribed by the national government that may be set up after the British withdrawal (Harrian June 28 1942, pp 204 205)

(L) A FALLACY

Q You consider it a vital necessity in terms of non-volence to allow the Allied troops to remain in India You also say that, as you cannot present a fool-proof non-violent method to prevent Japanese occupation of India, you cannot throw the Allies over-board But, don't you consider that the non-violent force created by your action, which will be sufficient to force the English to withdraw will be sufficiently

strong to prevent Japanese occupation also? And is it not the duty of a non-violent resister to equally consider it a vital necessity to see that his country, his home and his all are not destroyed by allowing two foreign mad buils to fight a deadly war on his soil?

A There is an obvious fallacy in the question I cannot all of a sudden produce in the minds of Britishers, who have been for centuries trained to rely upon their muscle for their protection, a belief which has not made a very visible impression even on the Indian mind Non-violent force must not act in the same way as violence. The refusal to allow the Allied troops to operate on the Indian soil can only add to the irritation already caused by my proposal. The first is inevitable, the second would be wanton.

Again if the withdrawal is to take place, it won to be due merely to the non-violent pressure. And in any case what may be enough to affect the old occupant would be wholly different from what would be required to keep off the invader. Thus we can disown the authority of the British rulers by refusing taxes and in a variety of ways. These would be inapplicable to withstand the Japanese onslaught. Therefore, whilst we may be ready to face the Japanese we may not ask the Britishers to give up their position of vantage merely on the unwarranted supposition that we would succeed by mere non-violent effort in keeping off the Japanese

Lastly, whilst we must guard ourselves in our own way, our non-violence must preclude us from imposing on the British a strain which must break them That would be a denial of our whole history for the past twentyroo years

(Harnan, July 5 1942, p 210)

(M) OH! THE TROOPS

I have to pay a heavy price for having drawn up an entrancing picture of a free India without a single British soldier Friends are confounded now to discover that ms

proposal admits of the presence of British and even American

It has been pointed out that not to consent to the Allied troops remaining in India during the period of the war is to hand over India and China to Japan, and to ensure the defeat of the Allied powers This could never have been contemplated by me The only answer, therefore, to give was to suffer the presence of the troops but under circumstances the reverse of the existing

My proposal presupposes shedding of all fear and distrust If we have confidence in ourselves, we need neither fear

nor suspect the presence of Alhed troops

It will be most assuredly an event of the century and may be a turning point in the war if Britain can honestly perform the act of renouncing India with all that the renunciation would mean

As I have said already in the previous issue of Harijan, the British acceptance of my proposal may itself lead to a most honourable peace and hence automatic withdrawal of the troops

It (non-violence) will express itself in her ambassadors gong to the Axis powers not to beg for peace but to show them the futility of war for achieving an honourable end This can only be done if and when Britain sheds the gains of perhaps the most organized and successful violence the world nas seen

All this may not come to pass I do not mind It is worth fighting for, it is worth staking all that the nation has (Harrian July 5, 1942, P 212)

(N) FRIENDS' AMBULANCE UNIT IN INDIA

We were wondering if it was auspicious for an English party to arrive in India, when you were asking the British to withdraw, said Prof. Alexander with a kindly smile "Agatha suggested that we might have a party from India to work with us, and make of our party a mixed party"

"My first writing", said Gandhin, "did, I am afraid, give rise to that kind of fear That was because I had not given expression to the whole idea in my mind It is not my nature to work out and produce a finished thing all at once The moment a question was asked me. I made it clear that no physical withdrawal of every Englishman was meant, I meant the withdrawal of the British domination And so every Englishman in India can convert himself into a friend and remain here. The condition is that every Englishman has to dismount from the horse he is riding and cease to be monarch of all he surveys and identify himself with the humblest of us. The moment he does it, he will be recognized as a member of the family His role as a member of the ruling caste must end for ever And so when I said 'withdraw', I meant "withdraw as masters" The demand for withdrawal has another implication. You have to withdraw, irrespective of the wishes of anybody here. You do not need the consent of a slave to give him freedom The slave often hugs the chains of slavery They become part of his flesh You have to tear them asunder and throw them away You must withdraw because it is your duty to do so, and not wait for the unanimous consent of all the sections or groups in India

"There is thus no question of the moment being inauspicious for you On the contrary, if you can assimilate my proposal, it is the most auspicious moment for you to arrive in India You will meet many Englishmen here. They may have entirely misunderstood what I have said, and you have to explain to them what exactly I want them to do

"And it is well perhaps that your mission begins with me Begin it with finding out what exactly is at the back of my mind by putting to me all the questions that may be agreating you."

That put both the friends at ease and prompted them to try to understand the whole of the back-ground of Gandhiji's

mind And in this connection I may mention a curious but very significant fact. When Sir Stafford Cripps' mission was announced, Prof Horace Alexander and Miss Agatha Harrison had sent Gandhiji a cable reminding him of the phrase Gandhiji himself had used, viz., "Andrews' legacy" meaning thereby that in memory of Andrews the best Englishmen and the best Indians should come together to bring about a permanent understanding between England and India. 'Here," their cable seemed to say in effect, "is one of the best Englishmen coming to India You had better settle with him, as there is a great opportunity"

It was in reply to this cable that Gandhin wrote a long letter to Prof Horace Alexander soon after the failure of the Cripps' mission,—a letter in which he gave expression for the first time to the demand for British withdrawal. He had not discussed it with any soul on earth, but as he was writing the letter the thing that was, so to say, cooking in his mind ever since his return from Delhi came to his pen "Sir Stafford," he said in that letter, "has come and gone How nice it would have been if he had not come with that dismal mission. How could the British Government at this critical hour have behaved as they did? Why should they have sent proposals without discussing them with the principal parties? Not one single party was satisfied In trying to please all, the proposals pleased none

"I talked to him frankly but as a friend, if for nothing clse for Andrews sake I told him that I was speaking to him with Andrews' spirit as my witness I made suggestions, but all to no avail As usual, they were not practical I had not wanted to go I had nothing to say being 'anti-all-wars' I went because he was anxious to see me All this I mention in order to give you the background I was not present throughout the negotiations with the Working Committee I came away You know the result It was inevitable. The whole thing has left a bad taste in the mouth."

And now comes the key paragraph. "My firm opinion is that the British should leave India now in an orderly manner and not run the risk that they did in Singapore, Malay and Burma That act would mean courage of a high order, confession of human limitations, and right doing by India."

Gandhui's talk was almost a commentary on the parts of the letter I have quoted 'You will see that I have used the words 'orderly withdrawal' I had, when I used the phrase. Burma and Singapore in mind It was a disorderly withdrawal from there For they left Burma and Malay neither to God, nor to anarchy, but to the Japanese Here I say 'Don't repeat that story here Don't leave India to Japan but leave India to Indians in an orderly manner, " said he, concluding the talk. The whole talk, even as the letter I have reproduced, was inspired by the spirit of C F A and the idea of asking the British to withdraw was conceived in the friendliest spirit, as it was done with a remembrance of C F A and all his noble work As Gandhitt said, "So you have now to do what Andrews did - understand me, pitilessly cross-examine me, and then if you are convinced be my messenger". Prof Alexander felt overwhelmed and said "We dare not assume his mantle We can but try" (Harnan July, 1942, p. 215)

(O) IF HARIJAN IS SUPPRESSED

Anxious inquiries are being made as to what I would do if Harijan was suppressed Rumours are affoat that orders are on their way I would ask inquirers not to be agitated if Harijan is suppressed. The paper may be suppressed. The manager has been instructed to stop the paper immediately orders are served on him. It is no part of the movement to publish Harijan in defiance of orders. But Harijan may be suppressed, its message cannot be, so long as I live Indeed, the spirit will survive the dissolution of the body and somehow speak through the millions. For, with due

apologies to Veer Savarkar and Quaid-e-Azam Jinnah, I claim to represent the joint spirit of millions of Hindus and Mussalmans and other non-Hindus who call themselves children of Hindustan I am living, and hope to have the strength to die, for the freedom of every inhabitant of this land

Let us see what Harijan is today It is being published in English, Hindi, Urdu (2 places), Tamil, Telugu (2 places) Ooniya, Marathi Gujatati Kanarese (2 places) It is ready to be published in Bengali only awaiting legal permission Applications have come from Assam, Kerala and Sindh All but one edition have a large circulation compared to the other weeklies I suggest that it is no small matter to suppress such paper The loss will be more Government's than people's They will incur much illwill by suppressing a popular paper

Let it be known too that Hanjan is a views-paper as distinguished from a newspaper. People buy and read it not for amusement but for instruction and regulating their daily conduct. They literally take their weekly lessons in non-violence. It cannot pay the authorities to deprive the people of their weekly food.

And Hanjan is not an anti-British paper. It is pro-British from head to foot. It wishes well to the British people. It tells them in the friendliest manner where in its opinion they err.

The Anglo-Indian papers I know are Government favourites They represent a dying imperialism Whether Britain wins or loses imperialism has to due It is certainly of no use now to the British people whatever it may have been in the past. In that sense therefore Anglo-Indian papers are really anti-British as Hanjain is pro-British The former are disseminating hatred day by day by hiding the reality and bolstering imperialism, which is training British & & w. w. order to arrest the profess of that ruin that, frail as I am

I have put my whole soul into a movement which if it is designed to free India from the imperial yoke, is equally intended to contribute the mightiest war-effort in their behalf If they suppress Harran let them know what they will seek to suppress

Let me add too that without heeding any pressure from outside I am using the greatest restraint in the choice of printing matter Nothing is being consciously published that would give any clue to the 'enemy' as to military objectives or dispositions Care is being exercised to avoid all exaggeration or sensational matter Adjectives and adverbs are well weighed before being used And they know that I am ever ready to acknowledge errors and mend them

(Haryan July 19, 1942 p 229) (P) THE WARDHA INTERVIEW

A MASS MOVEMENT

"Is it possible," asked the A P (America) representative, "for you to tell us the things you might do after the All-India Congress Committee meets and adopts the W C resolution?"

"Is not that question a little premature? Supposing the A I C C vetoes the resolution, the whole thing wears a different aspect But you may know that it will be a mass movement of a strictly non-violent character and then you can fill in the details It will include all that a mass movement can include "

"Will you include closing of liquor shops and foreign cloth shops?"

"It will depend on the circumstances I don't want rioting as a direct result. If inspite of all precautions rioting does take place, it cannot be helped "

IF IMPRISONED?

"Will you court imprisonment?"

"I am not going to court imprisonment. The struggle does not involve courting imprisonment. It is too soft a thing We had, no doubt, made it a business to court imprisonment up to now, but there will be no such thing this time. My intention is to make the thing as short and swift as possible."

Quick came another question "Will you resort to

fasting if sent to jail?"

"It is not my desire this time, as I have said, to court imprisonment. But if I am dragged into jail, it is difficult to say what I may do But I can fast, as I have fasted before now, though I should try to avoid such an extreme step so far as possible '

NEGOTIATIONS

' After the recognition of Free India it starts to function at once $\ref{eq:starts}$

"Yes, from the very next moment For, independence will not be on paper but in action But your next legitimate question would be—'How will Free India function?' And because there was that knot, I said 'Leave India to God or anarchy' But in practice what will happen is this—If withdrawal takes place in perfect goodwill, the change will be effected without the slightest disturbance People would have to come to their own without disturbance Wise people from among the responsible sections will come together and will evolve a Provisional Government Then there will be no anarchy, no interruption, and a crowning glory'

"Can you visualize the composition of the Provisional Government?"

'I do not need to do so But I am clear that it won't be a party government All parties—including the Congress—will automatically dissolve. They may function later and when they do they may function complementary to one another, each looking to the other in order to grow Then, as I have said, all unreality disappears like mist before the morning sun—we don't know how though we witness the phenomenon every day."

"But" asked two of the Indian correspondents rather impatiently, "looking to all their past record will the British have sense to come to terms?"

"Why not? They are human beings and I have never discounted the possibility of human nature's upward growth, and no other nation had ever had to face a freedom movement based not principally but wholly on non-violence"

May not your movement hamper the efforts of the Allies in China?

"No, since the movement is intended to make common cause with the Allies, it should not hamper the Allied effort."

"But if there is no withdrawal, then disturbances are

bound to happen?

"You see iliwill is already there It will grow apace Immediately the movement is started, the iliwill may be changed into goodwill if the British people respond But even if they don't respond when people make an effort to free themselves from a foreign yoke illwill needs no other opening It takes a healthy turn instead of the bad turn that it has today

FREE INDIA'S CONTRIBUTION

'You desire to have India's freedom in order to help the Allies,' was Mr Edgar Snow's question, and the last question "Will Free India carry out total mobilization and adopt the methods of total war?'

"That question is legitimate," said Gandhiji, "but it is beyond me I can only say Free India will make common cause with the Allies I cannot say that Free India will take part in militarism or choose to go the non-violent way But I can say without hesitation that if I can turn India to non-violence I will cettainly do so If I succeed in converting 40 crores of people to non-violence, it will be a tremendous

thing, a wonderful transformation "But you won't oppose a militarist effort by civil disobedience?" Mr Snow pertinently asked "I have no such desire I cannot oppose Free India's will with civil disobedience, it would be wrong "

(Harijan July 19, 1942, pp. 233, 234)

(Q) AMERICAN OPINION MAY BE ANTAGONIZED

"Speaking as an American," said Mr Steele, "I can say that the reaction of many Americans would be that a movement for freedom may be unwise at this moment, for it would lead to complications in India which may be prejudicial to the efficient prosecution of the war."

"This belief is born of ignorance," replied Gandhiji "Mat possible internal complication can take place if the British Government declare to-day that India is absolutely independent? It would be, in my opinion the least risk the Allies could take on behalf of the war effort I am open to conviction If anybody could convince me that in the midst of war, the British Government carmot declare India free without jeopardizing the war effort, I should like to hear the argument I have not as yet heard any cogent one"

OPEN TO CONVICTION

"If you were convinced, would you call off the campaign?"
"Of course My complaint is that all these good critics

talk at me, swear at me, but never condescend to talk to me "
"If India were made of four hundred million

Gandlus -- " interrupted Mr Steele

"Here," said Gandhiji, "we come to brass tacks That means India is not sufficiently non-violent. If we had been, there would have been no parties, and there would be no Japanese attack I know non-violence is limited in both numbers and quality, but deficient as it is in both these respects, it has made a great impression and infused life into the people which was absent before. The awakening that showed itself on April 6 1919, was a matter of surprise to every Indian

I cannot today account for the response we then had from every nool and corner of the country where no public worker had ever been We had not then gone among the masses we did not know we could go and speak to them

PROVISIONAL GOVERNMENT

'Can you give me an idea who would take the lead in forming a Provisional Government — you Congress or the Muslim League?"

"The Muslim League certainly can the Congress can.

If everything went right it would be a combined leadership

No one party would take the lead"

"Would it be within the present constitutional structure?

"The constitution will be dead' said Gandhiji The Government of India Act of 1935 is dead The I C S would have to go and it might be anarchy, but there need be no anarchy if the British withdraw with goodwill Free India Government would set up a constitution suited to Indian genius, evolved without dictation from outside "The dictating factor will not be an outside one, but wisdom And I believe there will be abundant wisdom among us"

"Would the Viceroy cease to exist as such?"

"We shall be friends even then, but on a par, and I have no doubt that Lord Linlithgow will welcome the day when he will be one of the people"

WHY NOT TODAY

"Why can't all this be done today, without the British withdrawal,' said Mr Emeny returning to the charge

"The answer is simple Why can't a prisoner do a thing which a free man can do? You may not have been behind prison bars, but I have been and I know Imprisonment means civil death, and I suggest to you that the whole of India is civilly dead The very breath is controlled by Brutsh power Then there is another experience that you lack. You have not been a member of a nation that has been under subjection for several centuries Our habit his been that we

can never be free You know the case of Shri Subhas Bose, a man of great self-sacrifice who might have had a distinguished career in the Indian Civil Service, but who is now an exile because he cannot possibly tolerate this helpless condition and feels that he must seek the help of Germany and Japan.

(Harijan July 26, 1942 pp 242-3) (R) TO AMERICAN FRIENDS

I claim to be a votary of truth from my childhood It was the most natural thing to me My prayerful search gave me the revealing maxim 'Truth is God' instead of the usual one 'God is Truth' That maxim enables me to see God face to face as it were I feel him pervade every fibre of my being With this Truth as witness between you and me. I assert 'that I would not have asked my country to invite Great Britain to withdraw her rule over India, irrespective of any demand to the contrary, if I had not seen at once that for the sake of Great Britain and the Allied cause it was necessary for Britain boldly to perform the duty of freeing India from bondage Without this essential act of tardy justice. Britain could not justify her position before the unmurmuring world conscience, which is there nevertheless Singapore, Malaya and Burma taught me that the disaster must not be repeated in India I make bold to say that it cannot be averted unless Britain trusts the people of India to use their liberty in favour of the Allied cause By that supreme act of justice Britain would have taken away all cause for the seething discontent of India She will turn the growing illwill into active goodwill I submit that it is worth all the battleships and airships that your wonder working engineers and financial resources can produce

We say, 'This is the psychological moment for that recognition For then and then only can there he it exists the opposition to Japanese aggression It is of immense value to the Allied cause if it is also of equal value to India

The Congress has anticipated and provided for every possible difficulty in the way of recognition I want you to look upon the immediate recognition of India's independence as a war messure of first class magnitude

(Haryan August 9, 1942 p 264)

(S) A PLEA FOR REASON

The suppression of which perhaps the hysterical outburst in America and Great Britain is a precurser, may cow down the people for the moment, but it will never put out the light of revolt once it has been-lighted

JUSTICE OF CONGRESS DEMAND

The justice of the demand for the ending of British power has never been questioned, the moment chosen for moforcing it is the target of attack. It is clear as crystal in the Working Committee resolution, why this moment is chosen. Let me paraphrase it India is not playing any effective part in the war Some of us feel ashamed that it is so and, what is more we feel that if we were free from the foreign yoke, we should play 1 worthy, nay, a decisive part in the World War which has yet to reach its climax. We know that if India does not become free now, the indden discontent will burst forth into a welcome to the Indaneses, should they effect a landing. We feel that such an event would be a calamity of the first magnitude. We can avoid it if India gains her freedom. To distrust his simple natural and honest declaration is to court disaster.

AZAD'S STATEMENT CITED

But the critics say "To whom are the British rulers to hand the keys on their withdrawal? It is a good question. Here is what Maulana Abul Kalam Azad, the Congress President has said "The Congress always, stands, firstly for sympathy towards democtatic countries, secondly, never desires to embarrass Britain and war efforts, and thirdly, it stands for opposition to the Japanese aggression The Congress does not desire to take power for itself but for all If real power is handed over to the Congress, surely it will approach other parties and will persuade them to join." The Congress President added that he "had no objection to Britain handing over power to the Muslim League or any other party provided it was real independence. That party will have to approach other parties as no single party can function properly without the co-operation of other parties."

The only thing needful is to hand over complete control without reservation save that during the war period the Allied troops will operate to stem the Japanese or Axis attack But they will have no power of interference with the affairs of India which will be as free as Great Britain herself

NOTHING TO CAVIL AT

Surely, there is nothing here to cavil at for anyone That party or a combination which takes over control of India will have to look to the remaining parties for its retention of power. There is no hope of the parties coming together so long as they have to look not to one another but to an outsider for support and sustenance Not one of the Viceroy's numerous Indian councillors is dependent upon anybody but the Viceroy for the positions they hold How can the great or small representative parties operate without mutual support?

In a free India even the Congress could not function efficiently for a day without the support of the smallest party For in a free India, at least for some time to come, even the strongest party will have no military backing There will be no military to back. There will only be a raw police in the first stage unless the existing police will service the national government on its terms. But the support, such as it may be, free India will be able to render to the Allied cause, will be if. a seeling thanacen in possibilities will be from there will be no motive left for welcoming Japanese arms.

On the contrary they will then look to the Allied arms to repel any Japanese or other attack, unless all Indians by then become non-violent In any case, the Allied arms are there to-day and to-morrow and till the end of the war, whether they are needed for India's protection or not

If this presentation of the implications of the Congress deam is not appreciated by the Allies press or the Allies themselves Indian public men should be forgiven if they doubt the sincerity of the fierce opposition which is being organized with ominous unanimity. The latter can only stiffen India's suspicion and resistance

(Haryan, August 2, 1942, p 252)*

(T) WHAT ABOUT MUSLIMS?

"But whom are the British to say-'India is free'?' asked the friends with a certain degree of exasperation

"To the world," said Gandhiji without a moment's hesitation Automatically the Indian army is disbanded from that moment, and they decide to pack up as soon as they can Or they may declare, they would pack up only after the war is over, but then they would expect no help from India, impose no taxes, raise no recruits—beyond what help India chooses to give voluntarily British rule will case from that moment, no matter what happens to India afterwards Today it is all a hypocricy, unreality I want that to end The new order will come only when that falsity ends"

"It is an unwarranted claim Britain and America are making" said Gandhiji concluding the talk, "the claim of saving democracy and freedom. It is a wrong thing to make that claim, when there is this terrible tragedy of holding a whole nation in bondage."

Q What can America do to have your demand implemented?

A If my demand is admitted to be just beyond cavil, America can insist on the implementing of the Indian demand as a condition of her financing Britain and supplying her with her matchless skill in making war machines. He who pays the piper has the right to call the tune Since America has become the predominant partner in the Allied cause, she is partner also in Britain's guilt. The Allies have no right to call their cause to be morally superior to the Nazi cause so long as they hold in custody the fairest part and one of the most ancient nations of the earth.

(Harıjan, June 14, 1942, p 187)

(U) FOREIGN SOLDIERS IN INDIA

Among the multitude of questions contained in my correspondence is the one referring to the advent of foreign soldiers in India We have foreign prisoners enough Now we have promise of a never ending stream of soldiers from America and possibly China I must confess that I do not look upon this event with equanimity Cannot a limitless number of soldiers be trained out of India's millions? Would they not make as good fighting material as any in the world? Then why foreigners? We know what American aid means It amounts in the end to American influence, if not American rule added to British It is a tremendous price to pay for the possible success of Allied arms. I see no Indian freedom peeping through all this preparation for the so-called defence of India It is preparation pure and simple for the defence of the British Empire, whatever may be asserted to the contrary If the British left India to her fate as they had to leave Singapore, non-violent India would not lose anything Probably the Japanese would leave India alone Perhaps India, if the main parties composed their differences as they probably would, would be able effectively to help China in the way of peace and in the long run may even play a decisive part in the promotion of world peace. But all these happy things may not happen, if the British will leave India only when they must . How much more creditable, how much braver it would be for Britain to offer battle in the West and leave the East to adjust her own position! There is no guarantee that she will be able to protect, during this war all her vast possessions. They have become a dead weight round her If she wisely loosens herself from this weight, and the Nazis, the Fascists of the Japanese instead of leaving India alone choose to subjugate her, they will find that they have to hold more than they can in their iron hoop. They will find it much more difficult than Britain has Their very rigidity will strangle them The British system had an elasticity which served so long as it had no powerful rivals British elasticity is of no help today I have said more than once in these columns that the Nazi power had risen as a nemesis to punish Britain for her sins of exploitation and enslavement of the Asiatic and African races

Whatever the consequences, therefore, to India, her real safety and Britain's too he in orderly and timely British withdrawal from India All talk of treaties with the Princes and obligations towards minorities are a creation designed for the preservation of British rule and British interests It must melt before the stern reality that faces all of us Princes in so far as they rely upon their armed strength, are more than able to defend themselves against unarmed India The big fiction of majority and minority will vanish like the mist before the morning sun of liberty Truth to tell there will be neither majority nor minority in the absence of the paralysing British arms The millions of India would then be an undefined but one mass of humanity I have no doubt that at that time the natural leaders will have wisdom enough to evolve an honourable solution of their difficulties. This presupposes Japan and other Powers leaving India alone If they do not, I should hope even then for wisdom to guide the principal parties to devise a scheme whereby they can act with one mind to face the new menace

Holding the views I do, it is clear why I look upon the introduction of foreign soldiers as a positive danger thoroughly to be deplored and distrusted. The present state of things and the attempt to uphold it are a distinct sign of corroding consumption of the body politic in India

(Harijan, April 26, 1942, p 128)

APPENDIX II

NOT PRO-JAPANESE

We can only infer that in the admittedly possible event of Japanese aggression on India after the departure of the British he (I) was prepared to concede to their (Japanese) demands

(Indictment p 8) (A) IF THEY REALLY MEAN?

Q If the Japanese really mean what they say and are willing to help to free India from the British yoke, why should we not willingly accept their help?

A It is folly to suppose that aggressors can ever be benefactors. The Japanese may free India from the British yoke, but only to put in their own instead I have always maintained that we should not seek any other Power's help to free India from the British yoke. That would not be a non-violent approach. We should have to pay a heavy price, if we ever consented to take foreign and as against the British By our non-violent action we were within an ace of reaching our goal I cling to my faith in non-violence I have no enmity against the Japanese, but I cannot contemplate with equanimity their designs upon India Why do they not realize that we as free men have no quarrel with them? Let them leave India alone And if they are well-intentioned, what has China done to deserve the devastation they have wrought there?

(Harijan, April 26, 1942, p 136)

(B) FRIENDLY ADVICE

You say you are willing to take all risks Every brave man is At the same time is it not your duty to prepare the ground up to a point so as to minimize the risks as far as possible? The people must, for instance, be made to shed cowardice and feel that it is possible for us to stand on our own legs. They must not desire, as so many do Japanese help

As these columns show, with the overwhelming sense of the truth as it appears to me, I am taking every care humanly possible to prepare the ground I know that the novelty of the idea and that too at this juncture has caused a shock to many people But I could not help myself. Even at the risk of being called mad, I had to tell the truth if I was to be true to myself. I regard it as my solid contribution to the war and to India's deliverance from the peril that is and the peril that is threatening It is too my real contribution to communal unity. No one can visualize what it will be like Only it will not be the sham we have had up to now It has touched only the few politically minded people. The masses have remained unaffected by it.

Whilst therefore I will take every imaginable care consistent with the urgency, I cannot guarantee freedom from cowardice, before taking any forward step The cowardice will probably not be shed without much travail Nor 19 waiting possible, till hatred abates Withdrawal of the hated power is the only way to rid the land of the debasing hatred.

The cause gone, hatred must cease

Of course the people must not, on any account, lean on the Japanese to get rid of the British power That were a remedy worse than the disease But as I have already said, in this struggle every risk has to be run in order to cure ourselves of the biggest disease—a disease which has sapped our manhood and almost made us feed as if we must for ever be slaves It is an insufferable thms. The cost of

the cure I know, will be heavy No price is too heavy to pay for the deliverance (Harijan May 31, 1942 p 172)

(C) IF THEY COME

Q (1) If the Japs come, Jow are we to resist them non-violently?

(2) What are we to do if we fall into their hands?

A (1) These questions come from Andhradesh where
the people rightly or wrongly feel that the attack is imminent. My answer has already been given in these columns.
Neither food not shelter is to be given not are any dealings
to be established with them. They should be made to feel
that they are not wanted. But of course things are not
going to happen quite so smoothly as the question implies.
It is a superstition to think that they will come as friendlies. No attacking party has ever done so. It spreads fire
and brimstone among the populace. It forces things from
people. If the people cannot resist fierce attack and are
afraid of death, they should evacuate the infested place in
order to deny compulsory service to the enemy.

(2) If unfortunately some people are captured or fall into the enemy s hands they are likely to be shot if they do not obey orders e.g., render forced labour. If the captives face death cheerfully their task is done. They have saved their own and their country's honour. They could have done nothing more if they had offered violent resistance save perhaps taking a few. Jipanese lives and inviting terrible reprisals.

The thing becomes complicated when you are captured alive and subjected to unthinkable tortures to compel submission. You will neither submit to torture nor to the orders of the enemy. In the act of resistance you will probably die and escape humiliation. But it is said that death is prevented to let the victim go through the agony of tortures.

and to serve as an example to others I however think that a person who would die rather than go through inhuman tortures would find honourable means of dying

(Harijan June 14, 1942, p 189)

(D) WHAT ABOUT RADIO MESSAGES?

Q You do not hear the radio messages I do most assiduously They interpret your writings as if your leanings were in favour of the Axis powers and you had now veered round to Subhas Babu's views about receiving outside help to overthrow the British rule I would like you to clear your position in this matter Misinterpretation of your honey views has reached a dangerous point

A I am glad you have asked the question I have no desire whatsoever to woo any Power to help India in her endeavour to free herself from the foreign voke I have no desire to exchange the British for any other rule Better the enemy I know than the one I do not I have never attached the slightest importance or weight to the friendly professions of the Axis powers. If they come to India they will come not as deliverers but as sharers in the spoil There can therefore be no question of my approval of Subhas Babu's policy The old difference of opinion between us persists. This does not mean that I doubt his sacrifice of his patriotism. But my appreciation of his patriotism and sacrifice cannot blind me to the fact that he is misguided and that his way can never lead to India's deliverance If I am impatient of the British yoke I am so because India's sullenness and suppressed delight of the man in the street over British reverses are dangerous symptoms which may lead to the success of Japanese designs upon India, if they are not dealt with in the proper manner, whereas India finding herself in possession of complete freedom will never want the Japanese to enter India India's sullenness and discontent will be changed as if by magic into joyful and hearty cooperation with the Allies in consolidating and preserving her liberty from any and every evil design (Hartian, June 21, 1942, p. 197)

(11a11jan, june 21, 1942 h 191

(E) IF IAPANESE COME?

The British United Press has cabled the following questions for Gandhip's reply They are couched in evidently angry language But Gandhip had no hesitation in sending straight replies to them

Q 1 Whether Gandhiji is willing to see British go

while Japanese on the Frontier

A This question should not occur to anybody who has read my writings for they contemplate Allied arms operating in India during war

Q 2 Whether he would urge non-cooperation with

Japanese after Japanese occupation

A Japanese occupation is inconcertable while Allied arrange are operating on the Indian soil If Japanese inflict defeat on Allied arms and succeed in occupying India I would most decidedly advise full non-cooperation

Q 3 Whether he would persist in urging (non-cooperation) if Japs shot non-cooperators,

Q 4 Whether he would rather be shot than cooperate

himself

A to 3 & 4 Non-cooperation worth the name must
invite shooting In any case I would rather be shot than

submit to Japanese or any other power
(Harvan, July 26, 1942, p. 248)

(F) QUESTION BOX

Q "Is it a fact that your present attitude towards England and Japan is influenced by the belief that you think the British and the Allies are going to be defeated in this war? It is necessary that you clear the position in this respect A very important leader in the Congress thinks

like that and he says that he is sure because he has this knowledge from his personal talks with you "

A I wish you could have given the name of the leader Whoever he is. I have no hesitation in saying that it is not true On the contrary I said only the other day in Harnan that the Britisher was hard to beat. He has not known what it is to be defeated Of the Americans in this very-issue you will see my answer to The Sunday Despatch It contradicts the 'leader's statements He has therefore either misunderstood me or you have misunderstood him But I have said in my talk for the past twelve months and more that this war is not likely to end in a decisive victory for any party There will be peace when the exhaustion point is reached This is mere speculation Britain may be favoured by nature. She has nothing to lose by waiting And with America as her ally she has inexhaustible material resources and scientific skill. This advantage is not available to any of the Axis powers Thus I have no decisive opinion about the result of the war But what is decisive with me is that I am made by nature to side with weak parties My policy of non-embarrassment is based upon that nature and it persists My proposal for British withdrawal is as much in Britain's interest as India's Your difficulty arises from your disinclination to believe that Britain can ever do justice voluntarily. My belief in the capacity of non-violence rejects the theory of permanent inelasticity of human nature

(Harijan, June 7, 1942, p 177)

(G) UNFAIR TO AMERICA?

Proceeding evidently on Reuter's summary of Gandhijis statement about America during the interview he gave to the Bombay press, the Sunday Despatch of London sent Gandhiji the following cable

"You are reported as saying that America could have kept out of the war if she had wished How can you justify such a statement in view of the fact that while at peace America was attacked by the Japanese who simultaneously declared war on her"

To this Gandhiji sent the following reply

"Cable just received Evidently you have not my full

statement Part relating to America runs thus

I know that I have no right to criticize such a big nation I done know all the facts which have determined America to throw herself into the cauldron. But somehow or other opinion has forced itself on me that America could have remained out and even now she can do so if she diversit herself of intoncation that her immense wealth has produced. And here I would like to repeat what I have said about the withdrawal of the British power from India Both America and Britain lack the moral basis for engaging in this war unless they put their own houses in order by making it their fixed determination to withdraw their influence and power both from Africa and Asia and removed the colour-bar They have no right to talk of protecting democracy and protecting civilization and human freedom with the canker of white superiously is destroyed in its entirety

I adhere to that statement How America could have avoided war I cannot answer except by recommending non-violent method My American friendships had led me to build high hope on American contribution to peace America is too big financially, intellectually, and in scientific skill, to be subdued by any nation or even combination Hence my tears over her throwing herself in cauldron.

(Harijan, June 7, 1942, p 181)

(H)

[Here see letters No 104 105, 106] (K) 'FIRE RAGING IN ME'

A journalist was on a visit here the other day . He was full of the happenings in his province

He talked of the public feeling in his province "It is more anti-British than pro-Japanese," he said 'There is a vague notion that we have had enough of this rule, and almost anything would be better than the existing state of things People are happy when Subhas Babu says on the

radio that there are no differences between him and you and when he says you are now out to fight for liberty at any cost

But I suppose you know that there he is wrong said Gandhiji and I cannot possibly appropriate the compliments he is paying me Liberty at any coet' has a vastly different connotation for me from what it has for him At any cost does not exist in my dictionary It does not for instance include bringing in foreigners to help us win our liberty. I have no doubt it means exchanging one form of slavery for another possibly much worse But of course we have to fight for our liberty and make whatever sacrifice it demands. In spite of all the hypocrisy that you find in all the inspired press of Britain and America I do not relent I deliberately use the word hypocrisy for they are now proving that when they were talking of the freedom of India they did not mean it So far as I am concerned I have no doubt about the righteousness of my step. It seems to me to be axiomatic that the Allies are in for a defeat this time if they will not do this initial act of justice and thus put their own case on an unassailable basis. If they don't they must face the opposition of those who cannot tolerate their rule and are prepared to die in order to get rid of it Convert the deepening ill-will into good will is a sound proposition It is not open to them to say that we must smother our consciences and say or do nothing because there is war That is why I have made up my mind that it would be a good thing if a million people were shot in a brave and non-violent rebellion against British rule It may be that it may take us years before we can evolve order out of chaos But we can then face the world we cannot face the world today Avowedly the different nations are fighting for their liberty Germany Japan Russia China are pouring their blood and money like water What is our record? You talk of the newspapers doing good business out of the

war It is a shame to be thus bought and to refrain from speaking out at Governments dictation There is many a way of earning an honest crust of bread If British money which is our money—can buy us Heaven help our country

I do not feel flattered when Subhas Babu says I am night I am not right in the sense he means For there he is attributing pro Japanese feeling to me If I were to discover that by some strange miscalculation I had not realized the fact that I was helping the entry of the Japanese in this country I should not hesitate to retrace my steps. As regards the Japanese I am certain that we should lay down our lives in order to resist them as we would resist the British

But it won t be the work of human hands. It will be the work of a Force—incalculable and invisible—which works often upsetting all our calculations. I rely implicitly on it Otherwise I should go mad in face of all this torrent of what I must call irritating criticism. They do not know my agony I cannot express it except perhaps by dying.

Was there the slightest suspicion that he wished victory to the Axis arms in order that the British may be humbled and their power in India may be destroyed? Gandhiji asked the friend to disabuse himself of any such notion Destruction of the British power is not dependent on Japanese or German arms If it depended on them there would be nothing to be proud of apart from the blight that would settle upon the world But what matters to me is that I cannot be happy or proud if some one comes in and drives away my enemy Where do I come in there? I cannot possibly enthuse over such a thing I want to have the pleasure of having offered up my sacrifice for fighting the enemy in my own house If I have not that strength I cannot prevent the other from coming in Only I must find a middle path to prevent the new enemy coming in I am sure God will help me to find the way

"I do not mind honest, strong, healthy criticism All the manufactured criticism that I find being made today is sheer tomfoolery, meant to overawe me and demoralize the Congress ranks. It is a foul game. They do not know the fire that is raging in my breast. I have no false notions of prestige, no personal considerations would make me take a step that I know is sure to plunge the country into a conflagration.

(Harijan, August 2, 1942, pp. 257-258)

(L) LETTER TO CHIANG KAI SHEK

DEAR GENERALISSIMO,

I can never forget the five hours' close contact I had with you and your noble wife in Calcutta. I had always feld farwn towards you in your fight for freedom, and that contact and our conversation brought China and her problems still nearer to me Long ago, between 1905 and 1913, when I was in South Africa, I was in constant touch with the small Chinese colony in Johannesburg I knew them first as clients and then as comrades in the Indian passive resistance struggle in South Africa. I came in touch with them in Mauritius also I learnt then to admire their thirft, industry resourcefulness and internal unity. Later in India I had a very fine Chinese friend living with me for a few years and we all learnt to like him

I have thus felt greatly attracted towards your great country and, in common with my countrymen, our sympathy has gone out to you in your terrible struggle Our mutual friend Jawaharlal Nehru, whose love of China is only excelled, if at all, by his love of his own country, has kept us in numate touch with the developments of the Chinese struggle

Because of this feeling I have towards China and my earnest desire that our two great countries should come closer to one another and cooperate to their mutual advantage. I am anxious to explain to you that my appeal to the British power to withdraw from India is not meant in any shape

or form to weaken India's defence against the Japanese or embarrass you in your struggle. India must not submit to any aggressor or invader and must resist him I would not be guilty of purchasing the freedom of my country at the cost of your country's freedom. That problem does not arise before me as I am clear that India cannot gain her freedom in this way, and a Japanese domination of either India or China would be equally injurious to the other country and to world peace That domination must, therefore, be prevented, and I should like India to play her natural and rightful part in this

I feel India cannot do so while she is in bondage India has been a helpless witness of the withdrawals from Malaya, Singapore, and Burma We must learn the lesson from these tragic events and prevent by all means at our disposal a repetition of what befell these unfortunate countries But unless we are free, we can do nothing to prevent it, and the same process might well occur again crippling India and China disastrously I do not want a repetition of this tragic tale of woe

Our proffered help has repeatedly been rejected by the British Government, and the recent failure of the Cripps mission has left a deep wound which is still running Out of that anguish has come the cry for immediate withdrawal of British power so that India can look after herself and help China to the best of her ability

I have told you of my faith in non-violence and of my belief in the effectiveness of this method if the whole nation could turn to it. That faith in it is as firm as ever But I realize that India today as a whole has not that faith and belief, and the government in free India would be formed from the various elements composing the nation

Today the whole of India is impotent and feels frustrated The Indian army consists largely of people who have joined up because of economic pressure. They have no feeling of a cause to fight for, and in no sense are they a national army Those of us who would fight for a cause, for India and China, with armed forces or with non-violence, cannot under the foreign heel function as they want to And yet our people know for certain that India free can play even a decisive part not only on her own behalf but also on behalf of China and world peace Many like me, feel that it is not proper or manly to remain in this helpless state and allow events to overwhelm us when a way to effective action can be open to us. They feel therefore, that every possible effort should be made to ensure independence and that freedom of action which is so urgently needed. This is the origin of my appeal to the British power to end immediately the unnatural connection between Britain and India.

Unless we make that effort, there is grave danger of public feeling in India going into wrong and barmful channels. There is every likelihood of subterranean sympathy for Japan growing simply in order to weaken and oust British authority in India. This feeling may take the place of robust confidence in our ability never to look to outsiders for help in winning our freedom. We have to learn self-reliance and develop the strength to work our own salvation. This is only possible if we make a determined effort to free ourselves from bondage. That freedom has become a present necessity to enable us to take our due place among the free nations of the world.

To make it perfectly clear that we want to prevent in every way Japanese aggression I would personally agree and I am sure the government of free India would agree that the Allied powers might under treaty with us, keep their armed forces in India and use the country as a base for operations against the threatened Japanese attack

I need hardly give you my assurance that, as the author of the new move in India I shall take no hasty action And whatever action I may recommend will be governed by the consideration that it should not injure China, or encourage Japanese aggression in India or China I am trying to enlist world opinion in favour of a proposition which to me appears self-proved and which must lead to the strengthening of India's and China's defence I am also educating public opinion in India and conferring with my colleagues Needless to say any movement against the British Government with which I may be connected will be essentially non-violent I am straining every nerve to avoid a conflict with British authority But if in the yindication of the freedom which has become an immediate desideratum this becomes inevitable, I shall not hesitate to run any risk, however great

Very soon you shall have completed five years of war against Japanese aggression and invasion and all the sorrow and misety that these have brought to China My heart goes out to the people of China in deep sympathy and in admiration for their heroic struggle and endless sacrifices in the cause of their country's freedom and integrity against tremendous odds. I am convinced that this heroism and sacrifice cannot be in vain, they must bear fruit. To you, to Madame Chiang and to the great people of China, I send my earnest and sincere wishes of your success. I look forward to the day when a free India and a free China will cooperate together in friendship and brotherhood for their own good and for the good of Asia and the world.

In anticipation of your permission, I am taking the liberty of publishing this letter in Harnan

Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

(Hindustan Times August 15th, 1942)

Further reference to the same subject will be found in .

B OUT OF TOUCH p 171

C 'I AM NOT PRO-JAPANESE' p 172

- E. IMPLICATIONS OF THE WITHDRAWAL P 179
- K A POSER P 183
- L A FALLACY P 183
- Q AMERICAN OPINION MAY BE ANTAGONIZED P 193
- R TO AMERICAN FRIENDS P 195 .
- S JUSTICE OF CONGRESS DEMAND P 196 AZAD'S STATEMENT CITED P 196 NOTHING TO CAVIL AT P 197

APPENDIX III

CONGRESS NOT FOR POWER

It has been suggested in the preceding paragraph that the Congress intended this government to be under their domination and note has been milde of the strength added to this view by the unity of Muslim opinion that the Congress move was aimed at establishing Congress Hindu domination over India.

(Indictment p 12)

(A) NOT RIGHT

Q Are we right in believing that you wish the Congress and the people to become capable as soon as possible of taking over the administration and to do so on the first opportunity?

A You are not right I cannot speak for the Congress But I want no organization or individual to become capable of taking over the administration In non-violent technique it is unthinkable. You do not take over power It may descend to you being given by the people. In an anarchical state all turbulent elements will make a bid for power Those who will serve the people and will evolve order out of chaos will spend themselves in removing chaos. If they survive the popular will may put them in as administrators. This is wholly different from what you have imagined People who make a bid for power generally fail to achieve it.

(Harijan May 31 1942 p 173)

(B) WHAT ABOUT MUSLIMS?

But what does a free India mean, if, as Mr Jinnah said, Muslims will not accept Hindu rule?

A "I have not asked the British to hand over India to the Congress or to the Hindus Let them entrust India to God or in modern parlance to anarchy Then all the parties will fight one another like dogs or will, when real responsibility faces them, come to a reasonable agreement I shall expect non-violence to arise out of that chaos

(Harijan, June 14 1942 p 187)

(C) TO MUSLIM CORRESPONDENTS

I think that even a large number, if not all of us, prepared to undergo any sacrifice that may fall to our lot, would impress the British rulers that they can no longer hold India as a British possession I believe too that such a number is available. Needless to say, their action must be non-violent, irrespective of their belief, as even a military man's has often to be, on behalf of his cause. The fight has been conceived in the interest of the whole of India. The fighters will gain no more than the poorest Indian They will fight not to seize power but to end the foreign domination, cost what it may

The Congress and the League being best organized parties in the country may come to terms and set up a provisional government acceptable to all And this may be followed by a duly elected Constituent Assembly

(Harijan, July 12 1942, p 220)

(D) AN APPROPRIATE OUESTION

How is Britain to know what sort of resistance the proposed Indian Government would organize concludes the Manchester Guardian.

This is a good question But who can speak for the proposed Indian Government? It must be clear that it won't be Congress Government: nor will it be Hindu Maha Sabha Government, nor Muslim League Government. It will be All India Government. It will be a government not backed by any military power unless the so-called military classes seize the opportunity and overawe the populace and declare themselves the Government as Franco has done. If they play the game then the proposed Government would be a government, though provisional in the first instance, broad-based upon the will of the people. Let us assume that the militaryminded persons being without the backing of the powerful British arms will think wise not to seize power. The popular government to be must represent Parsis, Jews. Indian Christians, Muslims and Hindus not as separate religious groups but as Indians. The vast majority won't be believers in non--violence. The Congress does not believe in non-violence as a creed. Very few so to the extreme length I do as the Manchester Guardian properly puts it. The Maulana and Pandit Nehru 'believe in offering armed resistance'. And I may add so do many Congressmen. Therefore, whether in the country as a whole or in the Congress, I shall be in a hopeless minority. But for me even if I find myself in a minority of one my course is clear. My non-violence is on its trial. I hope I shall come out unscathed through the ordeal. My faith in its efficacy is unflinching. If I could turn India, Great Britain, America and the rest of the world including the Axis powers in the direction of non-violence I should do so. But that feat mere human effort cannot accomplish. That is in God's hands. For me 'I can but do or die.' Surely the Manchester Guardian does not fear the real article, genuine non-violence. Nobody does nor need.

(Harijan, August 9, 1942, pp. 261-2)

(E) UNSEEMLY IF TRUE

. . . Hindustan belongs to all those who are born and bred here and who have no other country to look to. There-

fore, it belongs to Parsis Beni Israels, to Indian Christians Muslims and other non-Hindus as much as to Hindus Free India will be no Hindu raj, it will be Indian raj based not on the majority of any religious sect or community but on the representatives of the whole people without distinction of religion I can conceive a mixed majority putting the Hindus in a minority. They would be elected for their record of service and merits. Religion is a personal matter which should have no place in politics. It is in the unnatural condition of foreign domination that we have pinnatural divisions according to religion. Foreign domination going, we shall laugh at our folly in having clung to false ideals and slogans.

The discourse referred to is surely vulgar There is no question of 'driving out' the English They cannot be driven out except by violence superior to theirs. The idea of killing the Muslims if they do not remain in subjection may have been all right in by-gone days, it has no meaning today. There is no force in the cry of driving out the English if the substitute is to be Hindu or any other domination. That will be no Swaraj Self-government necessarily means government by the free and intelligent will of the people I add the word 'intelligent' because I hope that India will be predominantly non-violent.

(Harijan August 9, 1942 p 261)

Further reference to the same subject will be found in APPENDIX $\,\mathbf{I}\,$

- F ITS MEANING P 181
- G ONLY IF THEY WITHDRAW P 181
- P NEGOTIATIONS P 191
 - , Shape of things to come p 191
- S AZAD'S STATEMENT CITED P 196 NOTHING TO CAVIL AT P 197

APPENDIX IV

ABOUT NON-VIOLENCE

Mr Gandhi knew that any mass movement started in India would be a violent movement .

(Indictment p 39)

(A) EXPEDIENCE

Yes I adhere to my opinion that I did well to present to the Congress non-violence as an expedient I could not have done otherwise, if I was to introduce it into politics In South Africa too I introduced it as an expedient. It was successful there because resisters were a small number in a compact area and therefore easily controlled Here we had numberless persons scattered over a huge country The result was that they could not be easily controlled or trained And yet it is a marvel the way they have responded. They might have responded much better and shown far better results. But I have no sense of disappointment in me over the results obtained If I had started with men who accepted upn-violence as a creed. I might have ended with myself Imperfect as I am I started with imperfect men and women and sailed on an unchartered ocean. Thank God that, though the boat has not reached its haven it has proved fairly storm-proof (Harrian April 12, 1942, p. 116)

(B) NON-VIOLENT NON-COOPERATION

Q 'There is a report about some new scheme that you want to propound in one of your Hanjan articles about non-violent non-cooperation if any invader came to India Could you give us an idea? Was the next question

A 'It is wrong I have no plan in mind If 1 had, I should give it to you But I think nothing more need be added when I have said that there should be unadulterated non-violent non-coopeation and if the whole of India responded and unanimously offered it I should show that

without shedding a single drop of blood Japanese arms - or any combination of arms - can be sterilized. That involves the determination of India not to give quarter on any point whatsoever and to be ready to risk loss of several million lives But I would consider that cost very cheap and victory won at that cost glorious That India may not be ready to pay that price may be true I hope it is not true, but some such price must be paid by any country that wants to retain its independence After all, the sacrifice made by the Russians and the Chinese is enormous and they are ready to risk all The same could be said of the other countries also. whether aggressors or defenders. The cost is enormous Therefore, in the non-violent technique I am asking India to risk no more than other countries are risking and which India would have to risk even if she offered armed resistance "

"But," promptly came the question, "unadulterated nonviolent non-cooperation has not been successful against Great Britain How will it succeed against a new aggressor?"

"I combat the statement altogether Nobody has yet told me that non-violent non-cooperation, unadulterated. has not succeeded. It has not been offered, it is true Therefore, you can say that what has not been offered hitherto is not likely to be offered suddenly when India faces the Japanese arms I can only hope that, in the face of danger, India would be readier to offer non-violent noncooperation Perhaps India is accustomed to British rule for so many years that the Indian mind or India's masses do not feel the pinch so much as the advent of a new power would be felt But your question is well put It is possible that India may not be able to offer non-violent non-cooperation But a similar question may be put regarding armed resistance Several attempts have been made and they have not succeeded, therefore it will not succeed against the Japanese That leads us to the absurd conclusion that India will never

be ready for gaining independence, and seeing that I cannot subscribe to any such proposition, I must try again and again till India is ready to respond to the call of non-violent non-cooperation. But if India does not respond to that call then India must respond to the call of some leader or some organization wedded to violence. For instance, the Hindu Mahasabha is trying to rouse the Hindu mind for an atmed conflict. It remains to be seen whether that attempt succeeds I for one do not believe it will succeed.

(Harrian, May 24, 1942 p 167)

(C) SCORCHED EARTH POLICY

Q Would you advise non-violent non-cooperation against scorched earth policy? Would you resist the attempt to destroy sources of food and water?

- A Yes A time may come when I would certainly advise it for I think it is ruinous, suicidal, and unnecessary—whether India believes in non-violent non-cooperation or in violence And the Russian and Chinese examples make no appeal to me If some other country resorts to methods which I consider to be inhuman, I may not follow them If the enemy comes and helps himself to crops I may be obliged to leave, because I cannot or care not to defend them I must resign myself to it And there is a good example for us A passage was quoted to me from the Islamic literature. The Khaliphis issued definite instructions to the armies of Islam that they should not destroy the utility services, they should not hatass the aged and women and children, and I do not know that the arms of Islam suffered any disaster because the armies obeyed these instructions.
 - Q But what about factories—especially factories for the manufacture of munitions?
- A 'Suppose there are factories for grinding wheat or pressing oilseeds, I should not destroy them But munitions factories, yes for I would not tolerate munitions factories

in a free India if I had my way Textile factories I would not destroy and I would resist all such destruction However it is a question of prudence Gandhiji continued I have not suggested immediate enforcement of the whole programme in pursuance of the demand for British withdrawal It is there of course But I am trying if I am allowed to continue to cultivate and educate public opinion to show that behind this demand of mine there is no ill will no malice. It is the most logical thing that I have suggested. It is in the interests of all and since it is an entirely friendly act. I am moving cautiously watching myself at every step I will do nothing in haste but there is the fixed determination behind every act of mine that the British must withdraw.

I have mentioned anarchy I am convinced that we arliving today in a state of ordered anarchy It is a misnomer
to call such rule as is established in India a rule which
promotes the welfare of India Therefore this ordered dis
ciplined anarchy should go and if there is complete lawless
mess in India as a result I would risk it though I believe
and should like to believe that 22 years of continuous effort
at educating India along the lines of non-violence will not
have gone in vain and people will evolve real popular order
out of chaos Therefore if I find that all the best effort
fails I would certainly invite people to resist destruction
of their property (Hanjan May 24 1942 p 167)

(D) WHAT WOULD FREE INDIA DO?

Gandhiji had over and over again said that an orderly withdrawal would result in a sullen India becoming a friend and ally These American friends now explored the implications of that possible friendship Would a free India declare war against Japan?

Free India need not do so It simply becomes the ally of the Allied powers simply out of gratefulness for

the payment of a debt, however overdue. Human nature thanks the debtor when he discharges the debt ".

"How then would this alliance fit in with India's non-violence?"

"It is a good question. The whole of India is not non-violent. If the whole of India had been non-violent, there would have been no need for my appeal to Britain, nor would there be any fear of a Japanese invasion. But my non-violence is represented possibly by a hopeless minority, or perhaps by India's dumb millions who are temperamentally non-violent. But there too the question may be asked: 'What have they done?' They have done nothing, I agree; but they may act when the supreme test comes, or they, may not. I have no non-violence of millions to present to Britain, and what we have has been discounted by the British as non-violence of the weak. And so all I have done is to make this appeal on the strength of bare inherent justice, so that it might find an echo in the British heart. It is made from a moral plane, and even as they do not hesitate to act desperately in the physical field and take grave risks, let them for once act desperately on the moral field and declare that India is independent today, irrespective of India's demand."

(*Harijan*; June 14, 1942, p. 187)

(E) A CHALLENGE

The fact is that non-violence does not work in the same way as violence. It works in the opposite way. An armed man naturally relies upon his arms. A man who is intentionally unarmed relies upon the unseen force called God by poets, but called the unknown by scientists. But that which is unknown is not necessarily non-existent. God is the Force among all forces known and unknown. Non-violence without reliance upon that Force is poor stuff to be thrown in the dust.

I hope now my critic realizes the error underlying his question and that he sees also that the doctrine that has guided my life is not one of inaction but of the highest action. His question should really have been put thus

'How is it that, in spite of your work in India for over 22 years, there are not sufficient Satyagrahis who can cope with external and internal menners? My answer then would be that twentytwo years are nothing in the truning of a nation for the development of non-violent strength. That is not to say that a large number of persons will not show that strength on due occasion. That occasion seems to have come now. This war puts the civilian on his mettle no less than the military min, non-violent no less than violent.

(Harijan, June 28, 1942, p 201)

Therefore the golden rule is to dare to do the right at any cost But there should be no camouflage, no secrecy, no make-believe . (Harijan, July 12, 1942, p 217)

(G) GURU GOVIND SINGH

. But for me as a believer in non-violence out and out they (Guru Govind Singh, Lenin, Kamal Pasha, etc.) cannot be my guides in life so far as their faith in war is concerned, I believe in Krishna perhaps more than the writer. But my Krishna is the Lord of the Universe, the creator, preserver and destroyer of us all He may destroy because He creates But I must not be drawn into a philosophical or religious argument with my friends. I have not the qualifications for teaching my philosophy of life I have barely qualifications for practising the philosophy I believe. I am but a poor struggling soul yearning to be wholly good—wholly truthful and wholly non-violent in thought, word and deed, but ever failing to reach the ideal which I know to be true. I admit, and assure my revolutionary friends, it is a painful climb, but the pain of it is a positive pleasure for me. Each step upward makes

me feel stronger and fit for the next But all that pain and the pleasure are for me The revolutionaries are at liberty to reject the whole of my philosophy To them I merely present my own experiences as a co-worker in the same cause even as I have successfully presented them to the Ali Brothers and many other friends They can and do applaud whole-heartedly the action of Mustafa Kamal Pasha and possibly De Valera and Lenin But they realize with me that India is not like Turkey or Ireland or Russia, and that revolutionary activity is suicidal at this stage of the country's life at any rate, if not for all time in a country so vast, so hopelessly divided and with the masses so deeply sunk in pauperism and so featfully tertor-struck

(Harijan July 12, 1942, p 219)

(H) THE CONFLAGRATION

Q -- What is the difference between Nero and yourself? Nero was fiddling when Rome was burning Will you be also fiddling in Sevagram after you have ignited the fire which you will not, be able to quench?

A—The difference will be known if match, if I have ever to light it, does not prove a 'damp squib' Instead of fiddling in Sevagram you may expect to find me perishing in the flames of my own starting if I cannot regulate or restrain them But I have a grouse against you Why should you shove all the blame on to me for all that may happen by reason of my taking action for the discharge of an overdue debt and that, too, just when the discharge has become the necessary condition of my life?

In their schools the rulers teach us to sing 'Britons never shall be slaves' 'How can the refrain enthuse their slaves'? The British are pouring blood like water and squandering gold like dust in order to preserve their liberty. Or, is it their right to enslave India and Africa'? Why should Indians do less to free themselves from bondage?

It is misuse of language to liken to the action of Nero that of a man who, in order to escape living death, lights his own funeral pyre to end the agony (Harijan July 12 1942, p 228)

(K) IN CASE OF ILLNESS

But the relevant fact is that so long as the reason is unimpaired, physical illness is no bar to the conduct of a non-violent struggle. The peremptory belief in non-violent conduct is that all urge comes from God—the Unseen even Unfelt save through unconquerable faith Nevettheless as a seeker and experimenter I know that even physical illness, even farigue is counted as a defect in a non-violent person. Mens same in corpore same is literally accepted by votaties of truth and non-violence. But that is said of perfect men Alas I am far from the perfection I am aiming at (Harijan July 19, 1942 p 229)

(L) FASTING IN NON-VIOLENT ACTION

If the struggle which we are seeking to avoid with all our might has to come, and if it is to remain non-volent as it must in order to succeed fasting is likely to play an important part in it. It has its place in the tussle with authority and with our own people in the event of wanton acts of violence and obstinate riots for instance.

There is a natural prejudice against it as part of a political struggle. It has a recognized place in religious practice. But it is considered a vulgar interpolation in politics by the ordinary politician though it has always been resorted to by prisoners in a haphazard way with more or less success. By fasting, however, they have always succeeded in drawing public attention and disturbing the peace of juil authorities.

My own fasts have always as I hold, been strictly according to the law of Satyagraha Fellow Satyagrahis too in South Aftica fasted partially or wholly My fasts have been varied There was the Hindu-Muslim Unity fast of 21

days in 1924 started under the late Maulana Mahomed Ali's roof in Delhi. The indeterminate fast against the MacDonald Award was taken in the Yerawada Prison in 1932. The 21 days' purificatory fast was begun in the Yerawada Prison and was finished at Lady Thackersey's, as the Government would not take the burden of my being in the Prison in that condition. Then followed another fast in the Yerawada Prison in 1933 against the government refusal, to let me carry on anti-untouchability work through Harijan (issued from prison) on the same basis as facilities had been allowed me four months before. They would not yield, but they discharged me when their medical advisers thought I could not live many days if the fast was not given up. Then followed the ill-fated Rajkot fast in 1939. A false step taken by me thoughtlessly during that fast thwarted the brilliant result that would otherwise certainly have been achieved. In spite of all these fasts, fasting has not been accepted as a recognized part of Satyagraha. It has only been tolerated by the politicians. I have however been driven to the conclusion that fasting unto death is an integral part of Satyagraha programme, and it is the greatest and most effective weapon in its armoury under given circumstances. Not every one is qualified or undertaking it without a proper course of training.

I may not burden this note with an examination of the circumstances under which fasting may be resorted to at the training required for it. Non-violence in its positive aspect as benevolence (I do not use the word love as has fallen into disrepute) is the greatest force because the limitless scope it affords for self-suffering without causiful training any physical or material injury to the wrond doer. The object always is to evoke the best in him. So suffering is an appeal to his better nature, as retaliation to his baser. Fasting under proper circumstances is such appeal par excellence. If the politician does not perceive

propriety in political matters, it is because it is a novel use of this very fine weapon.

To practise non-violence in mundane matters is to know its true value. It is to bring heaven upon earth. There is no such thing as the other world. All worlds are one. There is no 'here' and no 'there'. As Jeans has demonstrated, the whole universe including the most distant stars, invisible even through the most powerful telescope in the world, is compressed in an atom. I hold it therefore to be wrong to limit the use of non-violence to cave-dwellers and for acquiring merit for a favoured position in the other world. All virtue ceases to have use if it serves no purpose in every walk of life. I would therefore plead with the purely political-minded people to study non-violence and fasting as its extreme manifestation with sympathy and understanding.

(Hariam, July 26, 1942, p. 248)

(M) WHAT ABOUT NON-VIOLENCE

Q.—But what about your non-violence? To what extent will you carry out your policy after freedom is gained?

A.— The question hardly arises. I am using the first personal pronoun for brevity, but I am-trying to represent the spirit of India as I conceive it. It is and will be a mixture. What policy the national government will adopt I cannot say. I may not even survive it much as I would love to. If I do, I would advise the adoption of non-violence to the utmost extent possible and that will be-India's great contribution to the peace of the world and the establishment of a new world order. I expect that with the existence of so many martial races in India, all of whom will have a voice in the government of the day, the national policy will incline towards militarism of a modified character. I shall certainly hope that all the effort for the last twentytwo years to show the efficacy of non-violence as a political force will not have gone in vain and a strong party representing

true non-violence will exist in the country. In every case a free India in alliance with the allied powers must be of great help to their cause, whereas India held in bondage as she is today must be a drag upon the war-chariot and may prove a source of real danger at the most critical moment

(Harijan June 21, 1942 p 197)

(N) ANOTHER DISCOURSE

Bharatanandys, whose acquintance the reader will make in another column, demurred to the compliment given to his countrymen, the Poles, by Gandhiji "You say that the Poles were 'almost non-violent' I do not think so There was black hatred in the breast of Poland, and I do not think the compliment is deserved."

"You must not take what I say, so terribly literally If ten soldiers resust a force of a thousand soldiers armed cap-ne, the former are almost non-volent, because there is no capacity for anything like proportionate violence in them But the instance I have taken of the girl is more appropriate A girl who attacks her assailant with her nails, if she has grown them, or with her teeth, if she has them, is almost non-violent, because there is no premeditated violence in her. Her violence is the violence of the mouse against the cat."

"Well then, Bapuji, I will give you an instance A young Russian girl was attacked by a soldier She used her nails and teeth against him and tore him, so to say, to pieces Was she almost non-violen?"

How can it cease to be non-violence, if offered on the spur of the moment, simply because it was successful? I interposed

"No," said Gandhiji almost inadvertently

'Then I am really puzzled,' said Bharatanandii 'You say there should be no premeditated violence and no capacity to offer proportionate violence. Here in this case she by her success proved that she had the capacity."

"I am sorry,' said Gandhiji "that I inadvertently said 'no' to Mabadev There was violence there It was equally matched"

"But then, is not intention ultimately the test? A surgeon uses his knife non-violently Or a keeper of the peace uses force against miscreants in order to protect society That too he does non-violently,' said Bharatanandii

'Who is to judge the intention? Not we And for us the deed in most cases is the test. We normally look at the action and not at the intention. God alone knows the intention."

'Then God alone knows what is himsa and what is ahimsa"

"Yes God alone is the final judge It is likely that what we believe to be an act of ahimsa is an act of himsa in the eyes of God But for us the path is chalked out And then you must know that a true practice of ahimsa means also in one who practises it the keenest intelligence and wide-awake conscience It is difficult for him to err When I used those words for Poland and when I suggested to a girl believing herself to be helpless that she might use her nails and teeth without being guilty of violence, you must understand the meaning at the back of my mind There is that refusal to beind before overwhelming might in the full knowledge that it means certain death The Poles knew that they would be crushed to a toms and yet they resisted the German hordes That was why I called it almost non-violence."

(Hanjan September 8, 1940, p 274)

Further reference to the same subject will be found in APPENDIX I

C No secrecy p 173
TO RESIST SLAVE DRIVERS P 174

D WHY NON-VIOLENT NON-COOPERATION P 176

K A POSER P 183

M OH THE TROOPS P 184

Q OPEN TO CONVICTION P 193

APPENDIX V

(A)

[Extracts from Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru's address to the Journalists' Association at Allahabad.]

"We do not wish to take advantage of the peril to Britain, Russia or China, nor do we want the Axis powers to win. We mean to stop the Japanese and to help China and the wider cause of democracy and freedom, but the nature of the peril is such now not only to us but through us to China also that we want to meet it by converting the war into a peoples' war as China has done. The preparation of the Government of India is entirely inadequate. We want to build up the national will to resistance.

PSYCHOLOGICAL REACTION

"We want to take up the present situation, even if we have to take risk in doing so. We want to save ourselves from immediate peril and not to take advantage of any situation, in order to gain independence. If we remain passive, we allow the popular will against the British Government to be broken gradually, and that will break the popular will to resistance. We want to gamble with fate if one chooses to call it so—and we will do it bravely."

Pandit Nehru said that it was not going to be a longdrawn-out sffait, but it would be short and swift. How short and swift he-did not know, because that depended on psychological factors. "Ours is not armed force. Our struggle depends upon the psychological reaction of a few million."

In reply to a question by an American journalist, Pandit 'Nehru said: "The movement can gain by what we do and can be accelerated by what the Government does." Gandhij in his Harijan has indicated the steps, and the first step may be within a fortnight after the A. I. C. C. meetins.

That might be a preparatory step, unless the Government takes such action as might accelerate it.

The present decision, the Pandit said, was not taken in a huff, but they came to the conclusion, following a close analysis of the cutrent world politics and the method of the British Government in fighting the war. He emphasized that when the Congress talked of independence, it was thought that it was in the nature of bargaining. Therefore the demand for the withdrawal of British power from India had irritated the British He explained that this demand was inherent in the nationalist movement. They were told that the 'Quit India' demand was in the nature of blackmail, and India should wait till the situation was clear after the war.

Continuing, Pandit Nehru said that they waited these years and the Congress was on the point of starting Satyaraha in 1940, but at the fall of France they desisted from starting the movement, because they did not want to embarrass England during her moment of great-peril They wanted to face peril as far as possible They wanted to prevent the Japanese aggression upon India and help China He said that he could not have thrown in his weight with the British Government because the British policy was so deep-rooted that they could do nothing There was no loophole to function effectively The Congress wanted India not to be a passive onlooker

In conclusion, Pandit Nehru said that the average man in India looked to the Congress for a lead, and if the Congress failed, the result would be so much spiritual disillusionment that it might break their spirit So the alternative left to them was to take the risk to hake this spirit and change the whole of Europe and America into the conception of the war of freedom — United Press (Bombay Chronicle, August 1, 1942)

[Extracts from Pandit Jawaharlal Nehrus speech on Tilak Day celebrations Allahabad]

My mind is quite clear that our decision is correct, I can say this with all the authority and dignity of a member of the Working Committee My mind is at rest I can clearly see the path before us We can tread it fearlessly and bravely

NO TRUCK WITH AXIS

Pandit Nehru said that he wanted to make it clear that there was no intention to help Japan or to injure China He said "If we succeed, that will release tremendous spiritual forces for the cause of freedom and democracy and will greatly increase the resistance against Japan and Germany If on the other hand we fail, Britain would be left to fight gainst Japan as best she can"

"CORRECT SLOGAN

Gandhiji's 'Quit India' slogan correctly represents our thoughts and sentiments Passivity on our part at this moment and hour of peril would be suicidal It will break down all our will to resistance It would destroy and emasculate us Our step is not merely for the love of independence We want to take it to protect ourselves, to strengthen our will to resistance, to give a fresh orientation to the war, to fight and to help China and Russia it is an immediate and pressing necessity with us

PEOPLE'S WAR

Answering the question "How would you fight against Japan?" Pandit Nehru said "We would fight in every way possible with non-violence and with arms — by making it a people's war, by raising people's army, by increasing production and industrialization, by making it our production and industrialization, by making it our programming passion, by fighting like Russia and China and

no price would be too big to pay to achieve our success against the aggressor "

"Struggle — eternal struggle! That is my reply to Mr Amery and Sir Stafford Cripps," said Pandit Nehru spiritedly criticizing the latest statements of Mr Amery and Sir Stafford Cripps

"India's national self-respect cannot be a matter of bargaining 'he added' 'I am galled with sorrow and anger to note that I for years wanted some settlement because I felt that Britain was in trouble They have had their suffering and sorrow I wanted my country to move forward step in step with them as a free country But what is one to make of such statements! (Bombay Chronicle August 3, 1942)

(C)

PANDIT JAWAHARLAL NEHRU'S STATEMENT ON THE SEIZED DOCUMENTS

Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru has issued the following statement -

I have just seen for the first rime the Government's communique' issuing certain documents obtained during the police raid from the A. I C C office. It is astonishing to what a pass the Government of India has been reduced when it has to adopt these discreditable and dishonourable tactics. Normally such tactics require no answer But as there is likely to be misapprehension, I wish to clear up some matters.

It is not our custom to keep detailed minutes of the Working Committee's meetings Only final decisions are recorded On this occasion the Assistant Secretary took busin notes unofficially apparently for his own record These notes are very brief and dis-jointed and represent several days' prolonged debate during which I must have spoken on various occasions for two or three hours Only a few sentences were taken down and torn from their contexts.

They often give a wrong impression None of us had a chance of seeing these notes or of revising them. The record is very unsatisfactory and incomplete and hence often incorrect

In our discussions Mahatma Gandhi was not present. We had to consider every aspect of the question fully and to weigh the implications of words and phrases in the draft resolutions. If Gandhiji had been there, much of this discussion might have been avoided as he could have explained to us his attitude more fully

IMPORTANT OMISSION

Thus when the question of British withdrawal from India was considered, I pointed out that if the armed forces were suddenly withdrawn, the Japanese might well advance and invade the country without hindrance This obvious difficulty was removed when Gandbiji later explained that British and other armed forces might remain to prevent aggression.

In regard to the statement that Gandhiji expected an Axis victory, an important qualification has been omitted. What he has repeatedly said and what I have referred to 18 his belief that unless Britain changes her whole policy in regard to India and her colonial possessions, she is heading for disaster He has further stated that if a suitable change in this policy was made and the war really became one for freedom for all peoples, then victory would assuredly come to the United Nations

MAHATMA'S WAY

The references to negotiations with Japan are also incorrect and entirely torn from their context. Gandhin always sends notice to his adversary before coming into conflict. He would thus have called upon Japan not only to keep away from India, but to withdraw from China, etc. In any event he was determined to resist every aggressor in India and he advised our people to do so even to the point of death. They were never to submit It is absurd to say that any of us envisaged any arrangements with Japan giving her right of passage, etc. What I said was that Japan would want this, but we could never agree. Our whole policy has all along been based on uttermost resistance to aggression.

A. P.

(Bombay Chronicle, August 5, 1942)

[Extracts from Pandit Jawaharlai Nehru's speech at the A. I. C. C. Meeting, August 7, 1942.]

If the British Government were to accept the proposal it would lead to an improvement of the position both internal and international from every point of view. The position of China would be improved. He was convinced that whatever change might come about in India would be for the better. The A. I. C. C. knew that Mahatma Gandhi had agreed to retain and allow the British and armed forces (to be?) stationed in India. This he agreed to so as not to facilitate Japanese action on the Indian frontier. Those who wanted to bring about a change should agree to this.

Referring to criticisms from America that Congress was blackmailing, Pandit Nehru said that ir was a curious and amazing charge. It was curious that people who talked in terms of their own freedom should level this charge against those who were fighting for their freedom. It was a curious charge to be made against a people who had been suffering for the last 200 years. If that was blackmail, then "our understanding of the English language has been wrong".

Concluding he said he could not take any more risks and that they should go forward although such step might involve perils and risks.

The attitude of the Government was one of defeatism. He could not tolerate it. His only object was to remove the defeatists and put in their place valuant fighters.

(Bombay Chronicle, August 8, 1942)

APPENDIX VI

[Extracts from Maulana Abul Kalam Azad's Speech at the A 1 C C Meeting August 7 1942.]

The extraordinary danger which India was facing was such that they could not face it, unless they had in their hands the reins of power Danger was knocking at India's doors and it was necessary that they should make all preparations to check the enemy as soon as he jumped into our court-yard. That could be done only when they used every power in their possession. At Allahabad it had been decided that if Japan stepped into the land they would resist aggression with all their non-violent strength but during the last three months, the world had not stood still It had moved fast. The sound of war drums was coming nearer, while the world was flowing in blood and nations were fighting and pouring out their lifeblood to preserve their precious possession of freedom.

The Congress had made repeated proposals to Britain to give the people of India that freedom which would enable them to fight the aggressor They had not asked for the keys of power so that they could sir back and make metry. That was not the way of the world to-day. The whole world was straining at its leash, was rushing towards freedom. In these circumstances, if they felt that conditions in India required a change, if they felt that their only salvation lay in making and bringing about drastic changes, then they should take such steps as would bring about those changes. At the same time they had to consider the possible consequences of their step on the entire world. They had to weigh the consequences of their action and inaction carefully in the balance.

WHEN INDIANS WILL FIGHT

Therefore, the Working Committee had passed a resolution three weeks ago after fully considering their responsibilities. their duties the consequences of their action and how best they could achieve their object. Their view was that unless some change was brought about at once, the same fate which overtook Burma, Malaya and Singapore would overtake this country also If they wanted to fight for the safety, freedom and honour of India. it was necessary that they should cast off the shackles that were holding them down, to shake off that lethargy and go to work in an entirely new spirit was only when they felt that they were fighting for something which they held sacred that the people of this country could fight, pour out their energy and blood and lav down their lives They had made repeated appeals and entreaties to bring about this change and as they had failed, it had become their duty to take a positive step. That step was certainly fraught with hardships, but they could do nothing unless they were prepared to suffer hardships and make sacrifices. It was only by suffering and strife that they could achieve anything at all That was the meaning of the resolution of July 14 During these three weeks, the message had spread throughout the land. The resolution only reiterated the position which they had always taken As long as three years ago the Congress had made its position clear and had cast its lot in favour of democracy and against Fascism Nothing that they had done since then was inconsistent with this fundamental position They had always said that they would whole-heartedly aid the cause of freedom and democracy. if they were free For freedom itself they could wait. But the present question was not merely of freedom but of their very existence If they survived and lived, they could have freedom. But the position now was that they could not live and survive without freedom

TWICE-TESTED

Continuing, the Congress President said that the demand they were putting forward before Britain and the United Nations was to be judged by the one and only test and that test was whether for the sake of the defence of India, for her very survival, freedom was necessary India bad become a vital field of battle If India were free, she could have kindled a new light throughout the land and the cry of victory would ring from every corner. No army could wage, a relentless war unless it had behind it an administration which had the fullest popular support. If anybody could show them that what they were doing would contribute to the defeat of Freedom Powers, they would be prepared to change their course. But if the argument was merely a threat, holding out the prospect of civil war and chaos, he for one would tell them. "It is our right to wage a civil war, it is our responsibility to face chaos."

Proceeding the Congress President observed that having thus once tested the gold of their demand, they took the bright gold and yet applied to it another test and that test was "Are we contributing to others' defeat, to others'

misfortune?'

If their demand was such that it would not contribute to the strength of the Freedom Powers, would not promote the cause of those powers fighting with valour for their freedom, they would never have put it forward. They had considered this question for full nine days And, the Congress President said. "Our demand is twice-tested pure gold." Is the British Government prepared to allow its actions and policies to be subjected to these same tests?" he challenged.

Answering critics of the Congress, he said that there was no right thinking man who would not accept the tests he had propounded as valid It was the duty of the critics to understand their position correctly and not merely to give it a bad name

In this connection he referred to the statement of Sir Stafford Cripps that if the Congress demand was accepted the whole government from the Viceroy to the sepoy would

have to leave This was misrepresentation with a vengeance. Their resolution had said in clear terms that as soon as Britain or the Allied Nations declared India's independence, India would enter into a treaty with Britain for the carrying out of the administration and the conduct of the war to victory They had not asked that all the government officials should go home, bag and baggage, and after reaching England, return to India for negotiations Gandhiji had repeatedly made it clear that 'Quit India' demand meant only the removal of the British power and not the physical removal of British officers, administrators and army personal All of them, including the armies of British and the Allies, would continue to stay here — only under an agreement with us and not against our will as at present Not to see this clear point was suicidal blindness

SIMULTANEOUS DECISION ON BOTH ISSUES

The Maulana stated "There was a time for mere promises But the resolution of July 14 makes one thing clear, namely, the condition of India and of the world has reached a stage when it was absolutely necessary that everything should be done at once What we ask for from Britain and the "Allied powers should be done here and now We do not rely on mere promises about the future We have had bitter experiences of promises having been broken They also suspect our promise to fight with them against the Allies Let us come together to-day and simultaneously decide both the issues—the freedom of India and India's complete participation in the war efforts Let there be simultaneous declaration of India's independence and the signing of a treaty between India and the United Nations,

Concluding Maulana Azad observed that even in this grave hour when every minute counted, we had decided to make one last minute appeal to the United Nations, co-demonstrate to them that the object of India and the Allied

powers was the same that their interests were the same that the satisfaction of India's demand would promote the welfare of the Allies But if the Allies were obdurate and deaf to all appeals it was their clear duty to do what they could to achieve freedom

(Bombay Chronicle August 8 1942)

APPENDIX VII

[Extracts from Sardar Vallabhbha: Patel s public speeches]

The war was coming nearer India and the fall of Malaya, Singapore and Burma which were lost led India to consider all possible steps to prevent a similar fate

Gandhiji and the Congress thought that such a situation could be avoided, if only the British left the country Public sympathy and co-operation was necessary to keep the enemy away If the British left the country, the people could be galvanized and could be made to fight in the same manner as the Russians and the Chinese

It was also Gandhiji's belief that as long as an imperialist power remained it could also act as a temptation to another imperialist power to covet this land, and in this vottex of imperialist ambitions war would extend and continue The only way to stop this was to end the imperialist regime

The Congress did not desire anarchy or the defeat of the British power But they found themselves helpless The curtain had to be rung down before further harm could be done If the independence of the country was secured, then the Congress would have achieved ats goal It was prepared to give a pledge now that the Congress organization would be disbanded, if that purpose was fulfilled

Speech at Chowpatty Bombay August 2, 1942. (Bombay Chronicle, August 3 1942)

Let Britain only transfer power to Indian hands whether it is to the Muslim League or any other party and the Congress is prepared to dissolve itself, declared Sardar Patel. addressing a public meeting here (Surat) The Sardar added that the Congress was started with Independence of India as its main and only goal and once, that was achieved, the body would willingly cease to function A P
(Bombay Chronicle, August 3 1942)

Extracts from Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel's speech at the A I C C Meeting August 7 1942 1

NO SECRET PLANS

Referring to the charge levelled against the Congress Working Committee that it had secret plans, the speaker said that there was nothing secret about the Congress plans There were no differences of opinion among the members of the Working Committee regarding the means of achieving India's independence

Japan professed love for India and promised her freedom But India was not going to be fooled by the Axis broadcasts If Japan genuinely wished to secure freedom for India why did the Japanese Government still continue the war against China? It would be Japan's duty to set China free before talking of India's freedom

FOLLOW MAHATMA S LEAD

Referring to the struggle ahead, Sardar Vallabhbhai said that it would be strictly non-violent. Many people were anxious to know the details of the programme Gandhiji was going to place the details before the nation when the time came The nation would be called upon to follow him In case of arrest of the leaders it would be the duty of every Indian to be his own guide. It was necessary to bear in mind that no nation had won independence without sacrifices (Bombay Chronicle August 8, 1942)

APPENDIX VIII

[Extracts from Dr Rajendra Prasad's Speech at the Bihar P C C Meeting July 31 1942]

Explaining the implications of the present Wardha resolution Dr Rajendra Prasad emphasized that it was not going to be mere jail-going this time. It was going to be more drastic calling for the worst repression—shooting bombing, confiscation of property all were possible Congressionen therefore had to join the movement fully conscious that they might be exposed to all these. The new plan of action included all forms of Satyagraha based on pure non-violence and this was going to be the last struggle for the independence of India. They could face all the armed might of the world with non-violence, the greatest weapon in the armoury of Satyagraha he declared

But the Congress had now come to the conclusion that there could be no unity until British power disappeared. The foreign element in the body politic of the country created such new problems that they proved difficult of solution Mahatma Gandhi, therefore, was now of the definite opinion that there could be no unity in India without Swataj though formerly he held the opposite view. This opinion was the result of bitter experience and the outcome of the Ctipps' mission.

Concluding Dr Rajendra Prasad affirmed that the Congress had no quarrel with any one The Congress only hoped to convert its opposition by its suffering and sacrifice. He was confident that the opposition would also join them in the great cause of India's freedom.

(Bombay Chronicle Weekly, August 2, 1942)
APPENDIX IX

[See here letter No 17 page 13]

SIR.

On 15th July last I handed to the Superintendent of this Camp for dispatch to you my reply to the Government of India publication entitled "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances 1942-43" As yet I have no acknowledgement of the receipt of my reply, let alone answer to what I hold to be complete refutation of the charges set forth against me in that publication I am etc. The Additional Secretary. M K GANDHI Home Department, Government of India. New Delhi

75

From Government of India. Sir Richard Tottenham. Home Department, New Delhi CSI, CIE., ICS the 20th September, '43 Secretary to the Government of India

To

M K Gandhi Esquire SIR.

In reply to your letter of September 10th, 1943, I am directed to inform you that your letter of the 15th July, 1943. has been received and is still under consideration

I am. etc. R TOTTENHAM Secretary to the Government of India

76

From Government of India The Additional Secretary H D. New Delhi. to the Government of India, H D the 14th October, 1943 To

M K Gandhi, Esquire SIR.

I am directed to reply to your letter of the 15th July in which you have attempted to controvert certain passages appearing in the Government publication "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances 1942-43" At the outset I am to remind you that the document in question was published for the information of the public and not for the purpose of convincing you or eliciting your defence. It was supplied to you only at your request and in forwarding it Government either invited nor desired your comments upon it Since, however, you have thought fit to address Government on the subject I am to say that Government have given due consideration to your letter

2 Government regret to observe that, although your letter contains lengthy quotations from your own utterances and writings, it contains no fresh or categorical statement of your own attitude in regard to the material issues or any clear repudiation of the disastrous policy to which you and the Congress party committed yourselves in the series of events leading to the Congress resolution of the 8th August 1942 The purpose of your letter appears to be to suggest that you have been misrepresented in some way in "Congress Responsibility ', but in what substantial respect is not clear No attempt was made in the book, as you seem to think to charge you with pro-Japanese sympathies and the sentence at the end of the first chapter, to which you have taken exception in paragraph 18 of your letter, was merely an echo of Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru's own words quoted on the previous page. He has not, as you wrongly allege, repudiated those words in the published statements to which you refer It was, however, one of the purposes of the book to find an explanation of your actions in your own defeatist outlook towards the threat from Japan and your fear that, unless the Allied forces withdrew in time India would become a battle-field in which the Japanese would ultimately win This feeling was attributed to you by Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru himself in the course of his remarks to which reference has been made above and your own draft of the

Allahabad resolution makes it plain that, both in the "Quit India" campaign and the Congress resolution which was intended to enforce it, your object was to be left in a position in which you and the Congress would be free to make terms with Japan The Government of India note that your letter makes no attempt to meet this imputation, which they still regard as true It is the only explanation which is consistent with your own statement that 'the presence of the British in India is an invitation to the Japanese to invade India Their withdrawal removes the bait! Nor have you been able to explain on any other theory than that suggested in the book the contradiction between this statement and your subsequent avowal of your willingness to permit the retention of Allied troops on Indian soil

The Government of India are not disposed to follow you into the various verbal points that you have raised They do not deny that owing to your habit of reinterpreting your own statements to suit the purposes of the moment it is easy for you to quote passages from your utterances and writings which are in apparent contradiction to any view attributed to you But the fact that you admit the discovery of important gaps in them, or that you have found it necessary from time to time to put glosses on what you have said, is of itself evidence of the incredible levity with which in a moment of grave crisis, you made pronouncements in regard to matters of the most vital importance in India's defence and her internal peace. Government can only interpret your statements in the plain sense of the words as it would appear to any honest or unbiassed reader and they are satisfied that the book " Congress Responsibility contains no material misinterpretation of the general trend of your utterances during the relevant period

4 You have devoted considerable space in your letter to an apparent attempt to disown the phrase attributed to you in the A P I report of a press conference which you

held at Wardha on the 14th of July 1942, where you are reported to have said "There is no question of one more chance After all it is an open rebellion" This press message was reproduced at the time in newspapers throughout India You now wish the Government of India to believe that you first became aware of it on the 26th June 1943. They can only regard it as highly improbable that, if it did not correctly represent what you said, it should not have been brought to your notice at the time or that you should have left it uncontradicted during the following weeks while you were still at liberty

5 The Government of India also note that you still seek to cast on the Government the responsibility for the disturbances for reasons which they can only regard as trivial and which have already been answered in your published correspondence with His Excellency the Viceroy. The point which is clearly established by the book ' Congress Responsibility" is that those disturbances were the natural and predictable consequences of your declaration of an "open rebellion" and the propaganda which preceded it That you yourself could have foreseen those consequences is clear from the statement which you yourself made in court in 1922 when you admitted the impossibility of dissociating yourself from the 'diabolical crimes of Chauri Chaura and the mad outrages of Bombay" and went on to say that you knew that you were playing with fire but that you had taken the risk and would do so again If you now contend that the consequences were unintended and unforeseen this fact is itself an admission of your own inability to judge the reactions of your followers You now seek to excuse, if not to defend, the barbarities committed in your own name and that of the Congress rather than to condemn them It is clear where your sympathies he Your letter does not contain one word of explanation of your own message "Do or Die,' nor does it throw any light on your message,

quoted in Appendix X of the book, which, if you cannot disown it, is sufficient to refute your contention that no movement had been launched by you at the time when the disturbances took place

- 6 I am finally to refer to your request for the publication of your letter. In the first place, I am to remind you of your own position, which has already been explained to you, viz. that, so long as the grounds of your detention remain unchanged. Government are not prepared to afford you facilities for communication with the general public, nor are they prepared themselves to act as agents for your propaganda In the second place, I am to point out that you had ample opportunities during the months preceding the Congress resolution of the 8th August 1942 to make your meaning unequivocally clear before you were arrested The fact that your own followers interpreted your intentions in the same way as the Government leaves no scope for further explanations I am to inform you, therefore, that Government do not propose to publish your letter unless and until they think fit The decision is, however, without prejudice to the freedom of Government to use at any time and in any manner which they think fit the various admissions contained in the communication which you have voluntarily addressed to them
- 7 To the extent that your present letter may be designed to relieve you of responsibility for the Congress rebellion and the connected events that have taken place, Government regret that they cannot accept it as in any way relieving you of that responsibility, or indeed, to their regret, as a serious attempt to justify yourself. They observe again with regret that you have taken no steps in your letter to dissociate yourself personally from the Congress resolution of 8th August 1942, to condemn unequivocally the violent outrages which took place in your name after the passing of that resolution to declare yourself unequivocally in favour

of the use of all the resources of India for the prosecution of the war against the Aris powers and in particular Japan until victory is won and to give satisfactory assurances for good conduct in future. And in absence of any change of mind on your part and of any disclaimer of the policy as the result of which it has been necessary to restrain your movements and those of the Working Committee of the Congress, they are unable to take any futther action on your present communication.

R TOTTENHAM

Additional Secretary to the Government of India 77

> Detention Camp October 26, 1943

Sir,

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 14th inst received on 18th instant

- 2 Your letter makes it clear that my reply to the charges brought against me in the Government publication. "Congress Responsibility for the disturbances 1942-43" has failed in its purpose, namely, to convince the Government of my innocence of those charges Even my good faith is impugned.
- 3 I observe too that the Government did not desire "comments" upon the charges Previous pronouncements of the Government on such matters had led me to think otherwise Be that as it may, your current letter seems to invite an answer
- 4 In my opinion, I have, in my letter of 15th July last, unequivocally answered all charges referred to in your letter under reply I have no regret for what I have done or aid in the course of the struggle for India's freedom

5 As to the Congress resolution of 8th August 1942 apart from my belief that it is not only harmless but good all round. I have no legal power to alter it in any way

That can only be done by the body that passed that resolution, 1 e, the All India Congress Committee which is no doubt guided by its Working Committee As the Government are aware I offered to meet the members of the Working Committee in order to discuss the situation and to know their mind But my offer was rejected I had thought and still think that my talk with them might have some value from the Government stand-point Hence I repeat my offer But it may have no such value so long as the Government doubt my bona fides As a Satyagrahi however. in spite of the handicap I must reiterate what I hold to be good and of immediate importance in terms of war effort But if my offer has no chance of being accepted so long as I retain my present views and if the Government think that it is only my evil influence that corrupts people I submit that the members of the Working Committee and other detenus should be discharged. It is unthinkable that, when India's millions are suffering from preventable starvation and thousands are dying of it thousands of men and women should be kent in detention on mere suspicion when their energy and the expense incurred in keeping them under dutess could at this critical time be usefully employed in relieving distress As I have said in my letter of 15th July last Congressmen abundantly proved their administrative creative and humanitarian worth at the time of the last terrible flood in Gujarat and equally terrible earthquake in Bihar The huge place in which I am being detained with a large guard around me I hold to be waste of public funds I should be quite content to pass my days in any prison

6 As to satisfactory assurances about my good conduct' I can only say that I am unaware of any unworthy conduct at any time I presume that the impression Government have of my conduct is referable to the charges mentioned in the indictment as I have succinctly called 'Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances 1942-43 And since I

have not only denied the charges in toto but on the contrary have ventured to bring countercharges against the Government, I think that they should agree to refer both to an impartial tribunal Seeing that a big political organization and not a mere individual is involved in the charges. I hold that it should be a vital part of the war effort to have the issue decided by a tribunal, if mutual discussion and effort are considered by the Government to be undesirable and/or futile

7 Whilst your letter rejects my request that my letter of 15th July last should in fairness to me be published, you inform me that their decision in this matter however "is without prejudice to the freedom of Government to use at any time and in any manner which they think fit the various admissions contained in the communication which you have voluntarily addressed to them "I can only hope that this does not mean that, as in the case of the "Congress Responsibility for the Disturbances 1942-43", garbled extracts will be published My request is that my letter should be published in full, if and when the Government think fit to make public use of it

Additional Secretary, Government of India (H. D) I am, etc.
M K GANDHI

New Delhi

78

From

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India, (H D) New Delhi New Delhi, the 3rd November, 1943

M K Gandhi, Esquire

Sir,

I am directed to aknowledge the receipt of your letter dated October 26th, which is under consideration

R TOTTENHAM
Additional Secretary to the Government of India

79

From
The Additional Secretary
to the Government of India
Home Department

Government of India Home Department, New Delhi, the 18th November 1943

To

M K Gandhi, Esquire

In reply to your letter of October 26th I am directed to say that since there is no change in your attitude towards the Congress resolution of August 8th, 1942 and Government have received no indication that the views of any of the members of the Working Committee differ from your own a meeting between you would appear to serve no useful purpose Both you and they are well aware of the conditions on which such a proposal could be entertained

I am to add that the other points in your letter have been noted I am, etc.

R TOTTENHAM

Additional Secretary to the Government of India

VI CORRESPONDENCE ABOUT SMT KASTURBA GANDHI

. 80

Detention Camp Date 12-3-43

DEAR COL BHANDARI,

With reference to the talk this morning, we would like to bring the following facts to your notice

As regards Mrs Gandhi she has been suffering from chronic bronchitis with dilatation of the bronchi. She has also complained latterly of pain of an anginal character and has had attacks of tachycardia with heart rate of 180 per minute. As you must have noticed she often gets puffiness.

of the face and eyelids especially in the mornings. Her physical disabilities are telling upon her mental condition though Gandhiji's company mitigates that to a large extent. In view of all this we are of the opinion that she should have a whole time nurse companion with her. A person who can speak her language and is known to her personally is likely to succeed better.

As regards Gandhiji, we are of the opinion that he will require careful nursing and looking after for another month or so If Kanu Gandhi can be left for that period, it will be the best, as he is attached to Gandhiji and has been trained to anticipate his wants If the Government have no objection he is ready and willing to stay as long as he is required

Yours sincerely.

M D D GILDER S NAYYAR

81

[Extracts from a letter from Gandhiji to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay dated Nov 18, 43]

It seems to me that those who are lodged with me have to suffer extra hardship by reason of their being so lodged For, it is not only Dr Nayyar who has to suffer, others do likewise Thus Dr Gilder is debarred from receiting visits even from his ailing wife and daughter Little Manu Gandhi can receive neither her father nor her sisters, nor can my wife receive visits from her sons or grandchildren I discount the fact that the former could have gone out if she resented the restrictions I know too that my son Ramdas was permitted to visit his mother when she was very ill I do not understand this denial of ordinary rights of prisoners. I can understand the restrictions against me by reason of the Government's special displeasure against me But the restrictions against others it is difficult to understand unless it be that the Government do not trust those who are put in charge of us On any other basis it is difficult to understand why the Superintendent of the Camp or even the Inspector General cannot deal with the wires* of the nature I have referred to and with the visitors who may be permitted to visit the co-detenus

I request early relief

M K. GANDHI

82

Detention Camp January 27th 1944

Sir

Some days ago Shri Kasturba Gandhi told the Inspector General of Prisons and Col Shah that Dr Dinshah Mehta of Pona be invited to assist in her treatment Nothing seems to have come out of her request. She has become insistent now and asked me if I had written to the Government in the matter. I therefore ask for immediate permission, to bring in Dr. Mehta. She has also told me and my son that she would like to have some. Ayurvedic physician to see her. I suggest that the I. G. P. be authorized to permit such assistance when requested

I have no reply as yet to my request that Shri Kanu Gandhi who is being permitted to visit the patient every alternate day be allowed to remain in the camp as a whole-time nurse. The patient shows no signs of recovery and night nursing is becoming more and more exacting Kanu Gandhi is an ideal nurse having nursed the patient before And what is more he can soothe her by giving her instrumental music and by singing bhajans I request early relief to relieve the existing pressure. The matter may be treated as very urgent.

The Superintendent of the Camp informs me that when visitors come one nurse only can be present. Hitherto more than one nurse have attended when necessary. The Superintendent used his discretion as to the necessity. But when

^{*} Reference is to a wire to Dr Sushila Nayyar about the death of her sister in law which was delivered after a delay of one month

difficulty arose I made a reference to the I G P The result was that an order was issued that a doctor in addition may be present I submit that the order has been issued in ignorance or disregard of the condition of the patient She often requires to be helped by more persons than one Therefore I ask that there should be no restriction as to the number of the attendants

It would be arong on my part if I suppressed the fact that in the facilities being allowed to the patient grace has been sadly lacking The order about the attendants is the most glaring instance of pin-pricks, besides being in defeat of the purpose for which attendance during visits of relatives is allowed Again, my three sons are in Poona The eldest, Harrial, who is almost lost to us, was not allowed yesterday. the reason being that the I G P, had no instructions to allow him to come again. And set the patient was naturally anxious to meet him. To cite one more pin-prick, every time visitors who are on the permitted list come, they have to apply to Government Office, Bombay, for permission The consequence is that there is unnecessary delay and heartburning The difficulty I imagine arises because neither the Superintendent nor the I G P, has any function except that of passing on my requests to Bombay

I am aware that Shri Kasturba is a Government patient, and that even as her husband I should have no say about her But as the Government have been pleased to say that instead of being discharged she is being kept with me in her own interest, perhaps in interpreting her wishes and feelings I am doing what the Government would desire and appreciate Her recovery or at least mental peace when she is lingering is common cause between the Government and me Any jar tells on her

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India, (Home Department), New Delhi I am etc. M K GANDHI The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, (H D), Bombay Detention Camp, January 27, 1944

SIR,

I enclose herewith for dispatch a letter addressed to the Government of India, but it need not be dispatched if the Government of Bombay can suo moto deal with the matters referred to therein. As the object is to obtain relief as promptly as possible, instructions from the Central Government, if necessary, may be obtained on the 'phone

I am, etc.

84

Detention Camp January, 31, 1944

SIR.

I sent on 27th instant a very urgent letter addressed to the Government of India I am still without a reply The patient is no better The attendants are about to break down Four only can work two only at a time on alternate nights. All the four have to work during the day The patient herself is getting restive, and inquires, "When will Dr Dinshah come" May I know as early as may be—even tomorrow if possible —

- Whether Shri Kanu Gandhi can come as full time nurse.
- (2) Whether Dr Dinshah's services may be enlisted for the present.
 - for the present,
 (3) and whether the restriction on the number of

attendants during visits can be removed

I hope it may not have to be said that the relief came
too late.

Secretary to the I am, etc.,
Government of Bombay (H D') M K GANDHI
Bombay

(Communication from Government conveyed by the Superintendent of the Camp on 31 1-44 at 4 p m.)

Regarding request for services of Mr Dinshah Mehta

and Ayurvedic physician Government wants to know whether Mrs Gandhi has any particular physician in mind and whether she would want one in addition to Dr Dinshah Mehta".

(Scribbled out reply to the above handed immediately to the Superintendent of the Camp -it being Monday the day of silence)

"She has no particular Ayurvedic physician in mind but my son Devadas suggested the name of Vaidyara, Sharma of Lahore Any physician who is admitted will be in addition to Dr Dinshah and that too if and when the latter has failed to give satisfaction. She has often expressed a desire to be seen by an Ayurvedic physician If the permission is granted, it should be of a general character She is losing will power and I have to judge between a multiplicity of advice so long as I am permitted to have responsibility for her peace of mind, which is about all that is possible at this stage "

87

... Detention Camp, 31st January, 1944

DEAR COL BHANDARI.

As you know Smt Kasturba Gandhi has been gradually losing ground Last night she had very little sleep, and this morning she had a bad collapse. She became very short of breath (resp 48), the pulse was very feeble in volume and tension and 100 to the minute, and her colour was ashy grey She recovered after about twenty minutes' treatment Now - at mid-day - she is restless, complains of pain in the left chest and back is cyanotic and dyspnoeic The pulse rate 19 108 the B P 15 90/50, resp 40

Under these circumstances we would like to have the help in consultation of Dr Jivraj Mehta (Yeravada Central Prison) and Dr. B C Roy (Calcutta) who have seen her in her former illness and, in whom she has faith We might state that the patient's condition is such that if the help of these doctors is to be of any use it should not be delayed

We might also point out that as she has to be watched all night and day, nursing has become difficult and patient herself has been constantly asking for Kanu Gandhi and Dr

Dinshah Mehta

Yours sincerely, S NAYYAR M D D GILDER

P S Gandhiji's blood pressure this morning was 206/110.

Detention Camp. February 3, 1944

Shri Kasturba asked me yesterday when Dr Dinshah was coming, and whether a Vaidya (Ayurvedic physician) could see her and give her some drugs I told her I was trying for both but that we were prisoners and could not have things as we liked She has since been repeatedly asking me whether I could not do something to hasten matters She had a restless night again This is of course nothing new for her at present I request immediate orders about Dr Dinshah and Vaidyaraj Sharma of Lahore The latter will be sometime coming But Dr Dinshah can come even today, if authority is given for calling him in

I must confess that I do not understand this delay when a patient's life is hanging in the balance and may be saved by timely aid After all for a patient alleviation of pain is

as important as the highest matters of state Secretary to the

Government of Bombay, Bombay I am, etc.. M. K. GANDHI From No S D VI/-2035
The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, H D Bombay, 3rd February, 1944
To

To M K Gandhi Esquire Sir.

I am directed to refer to your letter of the 31st Jinuary and to reply as follows to the 3 points raised by you (1) Government have agreed to Kanu Gandhi staying

(1) Government have agreed to Kanu Gandhi staying in for the purpose of helping in nursing Mrs Gandhi on condition that he agrees to be bound by the same regulations as other security prisoners in the detention camp Government consider that with Kanu Gandhi staying in, the nursing assistance provided should be adequate and they cannot agree to any requests for further assistance

(2) Government have decided that no outside doctors should be allowed unless the Government medical officer considers that is absolutely necessary for medical reasons. The question whether Dr. Dinshah Mehta should be called in is accordingly for the Government medical officer to decide on medical grounds.

(3) Interviews with near relatives have been sanctioned for Mrs Gandhi While Government have no objection to your being present during those inferviews they consider that other inmates of the detention camp should not be present except to the extent demanded by the condition of Mrs Gandhi's health It is understood that the Inspector General of Prisons has agreed that one attendant may stay throughout the interviews and that a doctor may corre in if necessary Government considers that normally this should be adequate, but the matter is one to be decided solely on medical grounds by the 'Inspector General of Prisons.

Your obedient servant, H IVANGAR

Secretary to the Government of Bombay, H D

In pursuance of the request for an ayurvedic physician for Shrimati Kasturba Gandhi (letter No 88) Gandhiji had a talk with the Inspector General of prisons on the morning of 11 2 44. He then wrote on the following confurning what he had already told the jail authorities

Detention Camp, 11-2-44

The responsibility for bringing in a non-allopath assistant would be wholly mine and the Government shall stand absolved from responsibility for any untoward result following such treatment I am not sure that I shall accept the advice that such Vaidyas or Hakims may give But if I do and if the prescription is ineffective, I would like to reserve the right to revert to present treatment

M K GANDHI

91

IMMEDIATE SIR Detention Camp, February 14, 1944

I told you yesterday that Shri Kasturba was so bad during the night that Dr Nayvar got frightened and awakened Dr Gilder I felt that she was going The doctors were naturally helpless Dr Nayyar had therefore to wake up the Superintendent who kindly phoned the Vaidyaraj ,It was then about 1 a m Had he been on the premises he would certainly have given relief. I therefore asked you to let him stay at the camp during the night But you informed me the Government orders did not cover night stay. The Vaidva however, you said, could be called in during the night I pointed out the obvious danger of delay, but you were sorry the orders would not allow you to so further In vain I argued that the Government having given the authority to call in Vaidyaras on condition that I absolved them from responsibility for any untoward result of the raidic treatment they could not contemplate any restriction on the duration of the physician's stay at the camp so long as it was thought necessary in the interest of the patient In view of your

rejection of my request, I had to trouble the Vaidyara) to rest in his car in front of the gate so that in case of need he might be called in He very humanely consented He had to be called in and he was able to bring the desired relief. The crisis has not passed as yet I therefore repeat my request and ask for immediate relief I would like if I can, to avoid the last nights experience. I do wish that the vexations caused by the delay in granting my requests about the patient's treatment came to an end. Both Dr. Mehta and the Vaidyaraj were permitted to come in after protracted delay Precious time-was lost making recovery more uncertain than it was I hope you will be able to secure the necessary authority for the Vaid's stay in the camp during night, if the patient's condition required it. The patient needs constant and continuous attention.

The Inspector General of Prisons, Yours etc.,
Poona M. K. GANDHI

Detention Camp, February 16th 1944

Sır,

This is in continuation of my letter of the 14th inst When I asked for a Vaidyara; and took upon myself

When I asked for a Vaidyaray and took upon myself the responsibility of changing Sin Kasturbai's treatment and absolved the Government physician of all responsibility. I naturally took for granted that the Vaidyaray would be allowed such facilities as would in his opinion be necessary for carrying out his treatment. The patient's nights are much worse than her days and it is essentially as night that constant attendance is necessary. The Vaidyaray considers himself handicapped in his treatment of the case under the present arrangements.

In order to be within immediate call, he has been good enough to sleep in his car outside the gate of this camp for the last three nights and every night he has had to be called up at least office. This is an unnatural state of things and though he seems to have infinite capacity for suffering inconvenience for the sake of the patient, I may not take undue advantage of his generous nature Besides it means disturbing the Superintendent and his staff (in fact the whole camp) once or more often during the night For instance last night she suddenly developed fever with rigour. The Vaidyaraj who had left the premises at 10-30 p m, had to be called in at 12 midnight °I had to request him to leave her soon afterwards, although he would have liked to have stayed with her longer, because °sō long as he stayed in it would have meant keeping the Superintendent and his staff awake which might have been even for the whole night. I would not do this even for saving my lifelong partner especially when I know that a humane way is open

As I have said already the Vaidyaraj considers it necessary to be in constant attendance on the patient. He varies the drugs from moment to moment as the patient's condition requires. Drs Gilder's and Nayyar's assistance is at my disposal all the time—they are more than friends and would do everything in their power for the patient. But as I have said in my last letter, they cannot help while treatment of a wholly different nature from theirs is going on Besides being in its very nature impracticable such a course would be unjust to the patient, to the Vaidyaraj and to themselves

I therefore submit below the following three alternative proposals —

(1) Vardyaraj should be permitted to remain in the camp day and night so long as he considers it necessary in the interests of the patient

(2) If the Government cannot agree to this, they may release the patient on parole to enable her to receive the full benefit of the physician's treatment

(3) If neither of these two proposals are acceptable to the Government I request that L be relieved of the responsibility of looking after the patient If I as her hushand cannot procure for her the help that she wants or that I think necessary, I ask for my removal to any other place of detention that the Government may choose I must not be made a helpless witness of the agonies the patient-is passing through

The Government have kindly permitted Dr Mehta to visit the patient at her repeated requests. His help is valuable, but he does not prescribe drugs. She needs the physical therapy given by him which soothes her greatly but she cannot do without drug treatment either Drugs can only be prescribed by the doctors or the Vardyaraj. The doctor's treatment has already been suspended. In the absence of a satisfactory reply to this letter by this evening I shall be constrained to suspend Vardyaraj's treatment also. If she cannot have the drug treatment which she should in full, I would rather that she did without it altogether.

I am writing this by the patient's bedside at 2 a m She is oscillating between life and death. Needless to say she knows nothing of this letter. She is now hardly able to

judge for herself

The Inspector General of Prisons,

I am, etc.
M K GANDHI

93

Detention Camp, February 18th. '44

Sir,

Vardyaraj Shri Shiv Sharma regretfully informs me that having put forth all the resources at his disposal he has been unable to produce a condition in Shri Kastutha so as to give him hope of final recovery. As his was simply a trial to see whether Ayutvedic treatment could yield better tesult, I have now asked Drs Gilder and Nayyar to resume the suspended treatment. Dr Mehra's assistance was never suspended and will be continued till recovery or the end

I want to say that the Vaidyara; has been most assiduous and attentive in the handling of this most

difficult case, and I would have willingly allowed him to continue his treatment if he had wished to do so. But he would not do it, when his last prescription failed to bring about the result he had expected Drs Gilder and Navvar tell me that they would like to receive the benefit of the Vaidvarai's assistance in the matter of sedatives pureatives and the like These have proved effective both from the doctors' and the patient's point of view I hope that the Government will have no objection to the Vaidvarai continuing to come in for the purpose Needless to say, under the altered circumstances, he will not be required for night duty I cannot refrain from regretfully saying that had there not been the wholly avoidable delay in granting my request for allowing the services of the Vaidyarai and Dr Mehta, the patient's condition might not have been so near the danger point as it is today I am well aware that nothing happens outside the Divine Will but man has no other means of interpreting that will apart from the results he can see

The Inspector General of Prisons Poona I am, etc M K Gandhi

94

Re SHRI KASTURBA'S FUNERAL RITES

(Gandhiji s reply taken down by the Inspector General of Prisons in writing from dictation at 8-7 p m on 22-2-44 in answer to his inquiry on behalf of the Government as to what Gandhiji s wishes in the matter were)

(1) 'Body should be handed over to my sons and relatives which would mean a public funeral without interference from Government

(2) If that is not possible funeral should take place as in the case of Mahadev Desai and if the Government will allow relatives only to be present at the funeral, I shall not be able to accept the privilege unless all friends who are as good as relatives to me are also allowed to be present.

(3) ' If this also is not acceptable to the Government then those who have been allowed to visit her will be sent away by me and only those who are in the camp (detenus) will attend the funeral

It has been as you will be able to bear witness my great anxiety not to make any political capital out of this most trying illness of my life companion But I have always wanted whatever the Government did to be done with good grace, which I am afraid has been hitherto lacking It is not too much to expect that now that the patient is no more whatever the Government decide about the funeral will be done with good grace.

95

Detention Camp 4-3-44

Str

It is not without regret and hesitation that I write about my dead wife But truth demands this letter

According to the newspapers Mr Butler is reported to have said in the House of Commons on 2nd March 1944

She was receiving all possible medical care and attention not only from her regular attendants but from those desired by her family Whilst I gratefully acknowledge that the regular attendants did all they could the help that was asked for by the deceased or by me on her behalf when at all given was given after a long wait and the Avirvedic physician was permitted to attend only after I had to tell the prison authorities that if I could not procure for the patient the help that she wanted or I thought necessary I should be separated from her I ought not to be made a helpless witness of the agonies she was passing through And even then I could make full use of the Vaidyara s services only after I wrote a letter to the Inspector General of Prisons of which a conv is hereto attached My application for Dr Dinshah was made in writing on 27th January 1944. The deceased herself had repeatedly asked the Inspector General of Prisons for Dr. Dinshah Mehta's help during practically a month previous to that. He was allowed to come only from 5-2-44. Again, the regular physicians Drs. Nayyar and Gilder made a written application for consultation with Dr. B. C. Roy of Calculta on 31st January, 1944. The Government simply ignored their written request and subsequent oral reminders.

Mr. Butler is further reported to have said, "No request for her release was received and the Government of India believe it would be no act of kindness to her or her family to remove her from the Aga Khan's palace." Whilst it is true that no request for her release was made by her or by me (as Satyagrahi prisoners it would have been unbecoming) would it not have been in the fitness of things if the Government had at least offered to her, me and her sons to release her? The mere offer of release would have produced a favourable psychological effect on her mind. But unfortunately no such offer was ever made.

As to the funeral rites, Mr. Butler is reported to have said, "I have information that the funeral rites took place at the request of Mr. Gandhi in the grounds of the Aga Khan's palace at Poona and friends and relatives were present." The following however was my actual request which the Inspector General of Prisons took down in writing from dictation at 8-7 p. m. on 22-2-44.

"(I) Body should be handed over to my sons and relatives which would mean a public funeral without interference from Government.

(II) If that is not possible, funeral should take place as in the case of Mahadev Desas and if the Government will allow relatives only to be present at the funeral, I shall not be able to accept the privilege unless all friends who are as good as relatives to me are also allowed to be present.

(III) If this also is not acceptable to the Government, then those who have been allowed to visit her will be sent away by me and only those who are in the camp (detenus) will attend the funeral

"It has been, as you will perhaps be able to bear witness, my great anxiety not to make any political capital out of this most trying illness of my life companion Bur I have always wanted whatever the Government did to be done with good grace which I am afraid has been hitherto lacking It is not too much to expect that now that the patient is no more whatever the Government decide about the funeral will be done with good frace

Government will perhaps admit that I have scrupulously avoided making any political capital out of my wife's protracted illness and the difficulties I experienced from the Government Nordo I want to make any now But in justice to her memory to me and for the sake of truth I ask the Government to make such amends as they can If the newspaper report is inaccurate in essential particulars or the Government have a different interpretation of the whole episode, I should be supplied with the correct version and the Government interpretation of the whole episode If my complaint is held to be just, I trust that the amazing statement said to have been made in America by the Agent of the Government of flodia in U S A, will be duly corrected

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India (Home Department), New Delhi

96

From
The Additional Secretary
To the Government of India,
Home Department, New Delhi
To

No III/43-M S
Government of India,
New Delhi
the 21st March 1944

M K Gandhi, Esquire

SIR,

In reply to your letter of 4th March regarding Mr Butler's reply to a question in the House of Commons on the 2nd March, 1994 I am directed to say that the Government of India regret that you should feel that they were unreasonable or obstructive about the calling in of special medical attendants The Government of India were always.

ready to allow any extra medical aid or consultation which the Government doctors considered necessary, and they do not think that there was any delay in summoning outside aid when the Government doctors decided that it was needed. It was on January 28th that they were first informed that Mrs. Gandhi had asked for the services of Dr. Dinshah Mehta, and it was not until January 31st that they were told that Dr. Gilder had asked for consultation with certain other doctors On February 1st the Bombay Government were explicitly informed that any extra medical aid or consultation might be allowed which the Government doctors considered necessary or useful. If Dr. Dinshah Mehta was not called in earlier, it was due to the view originally expressed by both Col Bhandari and Dr Gilder that his services could not be of any use. but he was summoned as soon as the Government doctors revised that opinion letter of January 27th, which did not reach the Government of India until February 1st, made some reference to your wife's wish to see an Avurvedic physician, but no name was mentioned and it was not until February 9th that a definite request for the services of Vaidyaraj Sharma was received. The request was then granted within 24 hours and as soon as the Government of India were made aware of the difficulties resulting from his not being accommodated inside the Palace, the necessary permission was given for him to reside there. In the circumstances the Government of India feel that they did everything possible to ensure that your wife received all the treatment that you wished during her illness

2 As to the question of release, the Government of India still feel that the course they adopted was the best and kindest. It was reported to them on January 24th that your son, Devadas Gandin, had asked his mother whether she would like to be released on parole and she had replied that she would not like to leave the Palace without her husband Government have made no use of this report, since it was the record of a private conversation but it confirmed them in the view expressed above The misunderstanding about the statement in America quite wrongly attributed to Sir Girjashanker Bajpai has been cleared up by answers to questions in the Legislative Assembly which you have doubtless seen

3 The arrangements for the funeral were understood here to be in accordance with your wishes. The Government made enquities on the point and were informed that you had not special preference between the first two alternatives mentioned in your letter.

4 In these circumstances the Government of India do not think that Mr Butler's reply to the parliamentary question was incorrect in substance

I have the honour to be

Sir, Your most obedient servant

R. TOTTENHAM

Additional Secretary to the Government of India Received on 27-3-'44

97

Detention Camp April 1st 1944

Sir

I beg to acknowledge your letter of 21st March handed to me on the 27th

As to extra medical and I wish to state that the first request for the services of Dr Dinshah Mehta was made by the deceased verbally to Col Advani sometime in December last. When repeated verbal requests met with little or no response I had to make a written request addressed to the Government of India dated 27-1-44 On the 31st of January I sent a reminder to the Government of Bombay (App A), and so did Drs Nayrar and Gilder in

a letter addressed to the Inspector General of Prison (App B) I wrote again on the 3rd of February to the Government of Bombay (App C), who sent a reply (App D) which resulted in Dr Dinshah being brought in on the 5th of February last, i.e., after an interval of over six weeks from the date of the first request And even when permission was granted, restrictions were placed upon the number of his visits and the time he was to take in administering treatment. It was not without difficulty that these restrictions were later relaxed and then removed

As to the reference in the letter under reply to Dr. Gilder, I showed it to him The result was the attached letter addressed by him to the Government which he has asked me to forward (App E) While it shows that Dr Gilder never expressed the opinion attributed to him, it does not alter the tragic fact that Dr Dinshah's services were held up for over six weeks

The question of calling in a non-alloyath was definitely and formally raised before the Inspector General of Prisons by my son after his visit to this camp early in December last On Col Bhandari mentioning to me my son's request to him I told him that if my son thought that non-allopathic treatment should be tried the Government should permit it While the consideration of my son's request was on the anvil, the patient's condition began to worsen and she herself pressed for the services of an Ayurvedic physician She spoke to both the Inspector General of Prisons and Col Shah several times, again with no result. In despair I wrote to the Government of India on 27-1-'44 On the 31st of January the Superintendent of this camp enquired on behalf of the Government, among other things whether the deceased had any particular Ayurvedic physician in mind to which I replied in writing it being my silence day (App F) As no relief was forthcoming as a result and the patient's condition admitted of no delay, I sent an urgent letter to

the Government of Bombay on the 3rd of February (App G) It was on the 11th of February that a local Vaudya was sent and on the 12th that Vaudyaraj Sharma was brough in Thus there was an interval of more than eight weeks between the first request for non-allopathic aid and actual bringing in of that aid.

Before Vaidyaray Sharma came I had been asked to give a written undertaking (which I gladly did) that I absolved the Government of all responsibility about the result of his treatment (App H) The Vaidyaray was thus in sole charge of the case for the time being. One would have thought that a physician in sole charge of a patient would have all such facilities of visiting and watching the patient as he considered necessary. And yet there was no end to the difficulties in getting these facilities for him. These have been alluded to in the enclosure to my letter of 4-3-44 and in App G.

All this time the patient was passing through great suffering, and her condition was deteriorating so rapidly that every delay weighed against chances of her recovery

Whether the delays and difficulties experienced by the patient and me were caused by one department of the Government or another, or even by the Government doctors, the responsibility surely rests with the Central Government

I note that the Government have maintained complete silence over the written request (which was reinforced by subsequent verbal reminders) of Drs Nayyar and Gilder to call Dr B C Roy in consultation, and have not even condescended to give their reasons for not granting the request

Similarly the letter under reply is silent about the discrepancy, pointed out by me in my letter dated 20-3-44, in the Hon the Home Members statement in the Assembly that trained nurses were in attendance. The fact is that they

never were Let me add here that nurses of the deceased's choice who were permitted were brought after considerable delay, especially Shii Kanu Gandhi

I hope, after a calm perusal of this bare recital of facts and of the relevant copies of correspondence attached hereto, it will be conceded that the claim of the Government of India that "they did everything possible" to ensure that the deceased received all the treatment that I unshed during her illness is not justified Much less can Mr Butler's claim be justified For, he went further when he said, "She was receiving all possible medical care and attention, not only from her regular attendants, but from those desired by her family" Does not the statement of the Government of Bombay (App D), "Government have decided that no outside doctors should be allowed unless the Government medical officer considers that it is absolutely necessary for medical reasons" contradict the above claims?

On the question of release, and the report received by the Government of India of a "private conversation" my son had with his mother in this connection, a prisoner can have no "private" conversation with anybody from outside Therefore, so far as I am concerned the Government are free to make use of the conversation after verification (usual and obligatory in such cases) by my son In any case the Government would have been absolved from all blame if they had made an offer of release and laid on me the burden of deciding what was "best and kindest" for her

As to the arrangements for the funeral my letter to the Government dated 4-3-44 embodying my actual request, which the Inspector General of Prisons took down in writing from dictation, speaks for itself. It therefore astonishes me that on "enquiries" made by the Government they were "informed" that I had 'no special preference between the first two alternatives "mentioned in my letter. The information

given to the Government is wholly wrong It is inconceivable that given the freedom of choice I could ever be reconciled to the cremation of a dear one being performed in a jail compound (which this camp is today) instead of the consecrated cremation ground

It is not pleasant or easy for me to write about such scane for the sake of the memory of one who was my faithful partner for over sixty two years. I leave it to the Government to consider, what could be the fate of other prisoners not so circumstanced as Shri Kasturba was

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India • (Home Department)

I am
Yours etc.
M K. GANDHI

New Delhi (Enclosures A to H)

A. Letter No 84 p 255

B. Letter No 87 p 256

C Letter No 88 p 257
D Letter No 89 p 258

E

Detention Camp March 31st 1944

Sır

Your letter of the 21st March to Mahatma Gandhi contains the statement

'It was on January 28th that they were first informed that Mrs Gandhi had asked for the services of Dr Dinshah Mehta . If Dr Dinshah was not called in earlier, it was due to the view originally expressed by both Col Bhandari and Dr Gilder that his services would

not be of any use, but he was summoned as soon as the Government doctors revised that opinion"

Surely, coupling of my name with that of Col Bhandari is a mistake! The Government doctors in attendance were Col Bhandari and Col Shah As far as I am concerned, sometime in December last, at one of Col Advani's evening visits (when he was officiating for Col Bhandari) Smt. Kasturba Gandhi asked him to allow Dr Dinshah Mehta to come in and Col Advani was good enough to ask my opinion on the advisability of Dr. Dinshah's coming As I had not talked over the matter with my colleague Dr Sushila Nayyar, or with the patient or her husband, I told Col Advani, I would give him a reply later At his visit the next morning. I told him my considered opinion that Dr Dinshah's presence would be a great help

When the whole of January had passed and permission for Dr Dinshah had not come, Dr Nayyar and myself sent a gentle reminder in our letter of 31st January A copy is hereto appended

I might state that though in that letter we had asked for a consultation with Dr B C Roy, no notice seems to have been taken of it or of verbal reminders

You will permit me to draw your attention to another inaccuracy, viz about the employment of trained nurses. No trained nurse ever came inside the camp Before the arrival of Smt Jaiprakash Narayan and Shri Kanu Gandhi when nursing was becoming difficult we were given the services of a woman who had acted as a badh ajah at the mental hospital. She struck work inside of a week and asked the Superintendent for her discharge.

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India (Home Department) New Delhi I have etc. M D D GILDER F Letter No 85 p 256

G Letter No 91, p 259

H Letter No 90 p 209

98

Detention Camp, April 2, 1944

DEAR COL. BHANDARL

In the Government of India's letter to me dated March 31st; 1944 there occur these two passages.--

"It was on January 28th that they were first informed that Mrs Gandhi had asked for the services of Dr Dinshah Mehta If Dr Dinshah Mehta was not called in earlier, it was due to the view originally expressed by both Col Bhandari and Dr. Gilder that his services would not be of any use, but he was summoned as soon as the Government doctors revised that opinion".

"The arrangements for the funeral were understood here to be in accordance with your wishes. The Government made enquiries on the point and were informed that you had no special preference between the first two alternatives mentioned in your letter."

Dr Gilder has no recollection of his having given the opinion attributed to him. I have never expressed indifference as to whether the deceased was cremated in the consecrated public cremation ground or in the juil compound which this camp is Can you please throw light on the discrepances? Yours etc.

M K GANDHI

99

Detention Camp, April 2nd, 1944

SIR.

This is in continuation of my letter of yesterday's date to the Government of India For, after handing the letter to the Superintendent of the Camp on looking at the papers. I came upon the following startling statement in the Hindustan Times of 30-3-'44

"New Delhi, Wednesday, - Today in the Council of State. Lala Ramsarandas asked whether and when Mahatma Gandhi had asked Government to permit the eminent Ayurvedic physician Pandit Shiv Sharma to take up the treatment of Mrs. Gandhi

"The Home Secretary, Mr Conran Smith, replying said the first definite request for Pandit Sharma's services was made to the Government of India on February 9 and was granted on February 10. He understood that Pandir Sharma paid his first visit a day or two later API'

The fact is that Vaidvarai Shiv Sharma's name was first submitted to the Government on 31st January, 1944 and not on the 9th February But my letter of yesterday will show further that the first request for a non-allonathic physician was made early in December 1943 May I look for correction of the statement referred to?

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India. New Delhi

I am Yours etc. M K GANDHI

99 A

Detention Camp. March 20th 1944

SIR.

I have read with painful interest the answer given on behalf of the Government in the Central Assembly about the facilities, medical and otherwise, given to my deceased wife I had hoped for a better response to my letter of 4th March 1944, assuming that it was in Government's hands when the answer was given Beyond the admission that the deceased was never offered release the statement makes no amends for the misrepresentations pointed out in that letter On the contrary it adds one more by stating that "trained nurses were made available " No trained nurse was asked for or supplied An Aya, however, was sent in the place of Shri Prabhavati Devi and Shri Kanu Gandhi for whom my wife had asked The Aya left in less than a week because she found herself ill-fitted for the work entrusted to her Only then, and after some further delay and repeated requests about Shri Kanu Gandhi were the two allowed to come The facilities have been recited as if they had been granted promptly and willingly The fact is that most of them when not refused were granted as if grudgingly and when it was almost too late

My object in writing this letter is not to make the complaint (though quite legitimate) that the facilities came too late My complaint is that in spite of my representation of 4th inst the Government instead of giving the naked truth have seen fit to give a varnished version

The Additional Secretary, Government of India (Home Department) New Delhi I am, Yours etc, M K GANDHI

100

From

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India, Home Department, New Delhi No III/7/43-M S Government of India Home Department New Delhi 30th March, 1944

To M K. Gandhi Esquire Sir.

In reply to your letter of March 20th I am directed to say that the Government of India were informed on December 22nd that a request has been made for the services of Kanu Gandhi and the wife of Mr Jaiprakash Narain A telegram was sent the same day to the Government of Bihar in whose custody the latter was, asking whether arrangements could be made for het transfer to Poona The Bombay

Government were, meanwhile informed on December 23rd that, if extra nursing was necessary, the correct course would be to provide professional nurses for that purpose On December 24th the Government of India heard from the Government of Bihar that they had no objection to the transfer of Mrs Jaiprakash Narain and the Bombay Government were informed on the same day that they might take up the matter with the Government of Bihar if satisfactory arrangements could not be made to supply professional nurses as previously suggested On January 3rd the Government of India were informed that professional nurses employed for Mrs. Gandhi had left and that arrangements were being made for the transfer of Mrs Jaiprakash Narain Thereafter. it was learnt that Kanu Gandhi had been paying visits to the Aga Khan's Palace and on January 27th the Government of India received a renewed request that he might be allowed to stay in the Palace to help in nursing your wife This permission was granted on January 29th, though it annears that even before the receipt of this letter, the Bombay Government had agreed to his staying in the Palace

In these circumstances, the Government of India consider that the reply given in the Legislative Assembly, to which you refer, was substantially correct. They have now been informed by the Bombay Government of the fact of which they had no previous knowledge either from the Governments letter or from yours that it was your wife who said that she preferred an A3ah to a trained nurse and that her wishes in this respect were complied with They consider it hardly necessary to publish this fact.

I have the honour to be Sir Your most obedient servant, R TOTTENHAM Addl Secretary to the Government of India SIR

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of 30th Match received by me on the 6th of April It is good proof to show how ill-informed the Central Government were about the whole situation

As to "trained nurses," I draw attention to the statement made on behalf of the Government that they "were made available for a short period." That my wife preferred an Ajah to a trained nurse is hardly relevant to the consideration whether trained nurses were in fact supplied. Therefore that statement seems to me clearly to demand public adjustment

I hope to have satisfactory reply regarding other matters

contained in my letter of April 1st, 1944
Addl Secv to the Govt of India.

I am etc.
M K GANDHI

. New Delhi

102

-

From
Sir Richard Tottenham,
CSI CIE, ICS,

Home Department, New Delhi, 29th April, 1944

Addl Secretary to the Government of India,

M K Gandhi Esquire Detention Camp

SIR

Poona

The Government of India have read with tegret your letters of April 1st, 2nd and 13th They believe that no impartial judgement would support the complaints you have made against them At the same time, they feel that it is impossible to expect from you in your bereavement a fair

possible to meet the requests that reached them, and that no useful purpose would be served by continuing the correspondence

I have the honour to be, Sir, Your most obedient servant, R TOTTENHAM

Addl Secretary to the Government of India [See also in this connection letter No 110 paras 1 and 2 (p p 290 291 and 292) and letter No 112 para 1 (p 298)]

IIV

CORRESPONDENCE WITH GOVERNMENT ON SHRIMATI MIRABEN'S LETTER TO GANDHIJI ABOUT ORISSA

103

Detention Camp, Aga Khan's Palace, Poona, Christmas Eve, 1942

DEAR LORD LINLITHGOW,

My only excuse for writing you this letter is the deep pain I feel, as one born of English parents, over the falsehoods regarding Gandhiji and the Indian National Congress, which seem to have appeared in certain English papers without being officially contradicted

Within the limits of the newspapers that reach me here, I have been watching the evergrowing volume of anti-Congress propaganda in the British press Of the various untruths that are being circulated, I want, in this letter, to deal with only one namely the assertion that Gandhiji and the Congress are pro-Japanese For samples of such propaganda that has come to my notice I would refer you to the Bombay Chronicle Weekly of Nov 29th 1942 page 22, and to the Hindu, (Dak Ed) of Dec 19th, 1942 page 4, column 3

Amongst the quotations and facsimiles given in the Bombay Chronicle Weekly is a photograph of the first page of the London Daily Sketch of Aug 5th, 1942, showing a full page headline "Gandhi s India—Jap Peace Plan Exposed", and lower down, on the same page, a photograph of myself with the sub-heading "English Woman. Gandhi s Jap Peace Envoy" The Punch cartoons of which facsimiles are also given are, if possible, even more disgraceful in the Hindu there is a protest by Shri K M Munshi from which it would appear that this libellous propaganda has spread even to the London Daily Herald

Now the reason for my bringing this matter before you is that I have in my possession correspondence that passed between Gandhiji and myself while I was in Orissa, after the April meeting of the A I C C at Allahabad, which proves beyond the shadow of a doubt, that Gandhiji is cent per cent anti-Japanese

The correspondence, of which I enclose copies, consists of a confidential report, with questionnaire regarding the then anticipated Japanese invasion, which I sent to Gandhiji by special messenger from Orissa, where he had deputed me for helping the Congress workers generally, especially as a Japanese attack on the East Coast was hourly expected

The Report which I have with me is the original draft, written in my own hand It is not dated or signed, as these things I affixed to the typewritten copy which was sent, but it must be just about 3 to 4 days previous to Gandhijis reply dated 31-5-42, which he dictated to the late Shri Mahadev Desai, and forwarded to me at once by the returning special messenger Of this I have the original in Shri Mabadev Desai's own handwriting, and signed 'Bapu' by Gandhiji The interview referred to in the first paragraph of the letter, was one I had on 25-5-42 with Mr Wood then Chief Secretary to the Government of Orissa, at which Mr Mansfield was also present

Seeing that no God-feating ruler could with any peace of mind, allow the above mentioned slanderous propaganda on the part of his own people against those whom he had

rendered unable to reply, to continue uncheked once he had unchallengeable proof of its falsehood. I put trust in the belief that you will publish the enclosed correspondence together with this covering letter, and refute the assertions of these Bruish journals

I may add that since I am personally acquainted with the members of the Working Committee and have freely discussed these matters with them, I can say with confidence that their feelings have been unequivocally anti-Japanese and anti-Fascist, throughout

Encls (Items No 104, 105)

Believe me, Yours sincerely, MIRABEN

104

QUESTION OF INVASION AND OCCUPATION BY THE TAPANESE

We may take it that the Japanese will land somewhere along the Orissa coast. Probably there will be no bombing or firing at the time of landing as there are no defence measures on the coast. From the coast they will advance rapidly across the flat dry rice fields where the only obstructions are rivers and ditches now mostly dry and nowhere unfordable. As far as we are able to make out there will be no serious attempt to hold the Japanese advance until the hilly and wooded regions of the Orissa States are reached. The army of defence, whatever it is is reported to be hidden in the jungle of these parts. It is likely to make a desperate attempt to defend the Jamshedpur road, but the chances of its being successful must be very small. That means we may expect a battle to be fought in the north west of Orissa, after which the Japanese army will pass on into Bihar At that time the Japanese are not likely to be broadly distributed over the country but concentrated on their lines of communication between the sea and their advancing army. The British administration will have previously disappeared from the scene

The problem before us is, in the event of these things happening how are we to act?

The Japanese armies will rush over the fields and through the villages not as avowed enemies of the population but as chasers and destroyers of the British and Amirican war effort. The population in its turn is vague in its feelings. The strongest feeling is fear and district of the British which is growing day by day on account of the treatment they are receivant.

Anything that is not British is therefore something welcome. Here is a funny example The villagers in some parts say - 'Oh, the aeroplanes that make a great noise are British but there are silent planes also, and they are Mahatma's planes" I think the only thing possible for these simple innocent people to learn is the attitude of neutrality, for it is, in reality, the only position that can be made logical to them. The British not only leave them to their fate without even instructing them in self-protection from bombing etc, but they issue such orders as will, if obeyed kill them before the day of battle comes. How then can they be ready enthusiastically to obstruct the Japanese who are chasing this detested Rai, especially when the Japanese are saying 'It is not you we have come to fight" But I have found the villagers ready to take up the position of neutrality That is to say, they would leave the Japanese to pass over their fields and villages, and try as far as possible not to come in contact with them. They would hide their food stuffs and money, and decline to serve the Japanese But even that much resistance would be difficult to obtain in some parts, the dislike of the British Rai being so great, that anything anti-British will be welcomed with open arms I feel we have got to try and sauge the maximum resistance which the average inhabitants may be expected to put up. , and maintain, and make that our definite stand A steady, long sustained stand, though not cent per cent resistance will be more effective in the long run than a stuff stand which quickly breaks

This maximum sustainable stand which we may expect from the average people is probably:—

- 1 To resist firmly, and mostly non violently, the comandeering by the Japanese of any land houses, or movable property
 - 2. To render no forced labour to the Japanese
- 3 Not to take up any sort of administrative service under the Japanese (This may be hard to control in connection with some types of city people, Government opportunists and Indians brought in from other parts)
 - 4 To buy nothing from the Japanese.
- 5 To refuse their currency and any effort on their part at setting up a Raj
- (Lack of workers and lack of time make it very hard, but we have to strive to stem the tide.)
 - Now as to certain difficulties and questions which arise
- 1 The Japaness may offer to pay for labour, food and materials in British currency notes Should the people refuse to self for good prices or work for a good wage ? For long sustained resistance over many months it may be difficult to prevent this So long as they refuse to buy or take "service", the exploitation danger is kent off

2. What should be done about the rebuilding of bridges canals etc which the British will have blown up? We shall also need the bridges and canals Should we therefore set our hands to their rebuilding even if it means working side by side with the Japanese or should we retire on the approach of Japanese bridge builders?

3 If Indian soldiers who were taken prisoners in Singapore and Burma land with the Japanese invading army what should be our attitude towards them 7 Should we treat them with the same aloofness as we are to show the Japanese or should we not try to win them over to our way

of thinking?

4 After the exodus (before the approaching Japanese) of the British Raj what shall we do about currency?

- 5 After battles have been fought and the Japanese armies will have advanced the battlefields will be left strewn with dead and wounded I think we must unheateningly work side by ade with the depenses in burning and burying the dead and picking up and serving the wounded? The Japanese are likely to attend to the lightly wounded of their own man and take pirsoner the lightly wounded of their enemy but the rest would probably be left, and it will be our sacred duty to attend to them. For this we are from now planning the training of volunteers under the guidance of local doctors. Their services can also be used in case of internal disturbances epidemiss etc.
- 6 Besides dead and wounded on the battle-field a certain amount of infler revolvers and other small arms are likely to be left lying about unpicked up by the Japanese H we do not make a point of collecting these things they are likely to fall into the hands of robbers thieves and other bad characters who always come down like hawks to look a battle field in an unarmed country like India this would lead to much trouble. In the event of our collecting such arms and ammunition what should we do with them? My instruct is to take them out to sea and drop them in the ocean Please tell us what you advise.

105

Sevagram V12 Wardha, CP

31-5-42

नि मीरा, (Mira whom God may bless)

I have your very complete and illuminating letter. The report of the interview is perfect, your answers were straight, unequivocal and courageous have no criticism to make I can only say. Go on as you are doing I can quite clearly see that you have gone to the right place at the right time. I thatefore need do nothing more than come straight to your questions which are all good and relevant.

(6) Your answer about the arms found on the wayside is most tempting and perfectly logical. It may be followed but I would not rule out the idea of worthy people finding them and storing them in a safe place if they can. If it is impossible to store them and keep them from mischievous People vouts is an id-al plan.

Love

106

SIR

Detention Camp 26th February 1944

I have read the speech of the Honourable the Home Member in the Assembly on the debate arising out of the ban on Shrimati Sarojini Devi The speech has reference among other things to the correspondence between Shrimati Mirabai and myself and the Government refusal to publish that correspondence The following is the relevant portion of that speech

She (Shrimati Sarojini Devi) refers and the point has been raised in this debate to a letter said to have been written by Miss Slade to Mr. Gandhi and Mr. Gandhi sreply and I have been asked why no publicity has been given to that letter That letter was written and answered long before the Congress leaders were placed in detention. If Mr. Gandhi wished to give publicity to that letter he was perfectly free to do it himself. But it was a confidential communication addressed to him and I do not see any reason why Government should disclose a communication of that nature. I might say that it would not help the Constress case if it were disclosed.

Then it has been said that Mrs Naidu wished to defend the Congress from the implication of being pro Japanese Government have never at any time either here or at home charged the Congress with being pro Japanese Well the allusion to that in the booklet called Congress Responsi bility refers to a statement quoted from Pandit Nehru himself I have not the time to quote it at length but if

- O 1 I think we must tell the people what they should do They will act according to their capacity. If we begin to judge their capacity and give directions accordingly our directions will be halting and even com promising which we should never do. You will therefore read my instructions in that light Remember that our attitude is that of complete non-cooperation with Japanese army therefore we may not help them in any way not may we profit by any dealines with them Therefore we cannot sell anything to them If people are not able to face the Japanese army they will do as armed soldiers do 1 e retire when they are overwhelmed. And if they do so, the question of having any dealings with Japanese does not and should not arise If however the people have not the courage to resist Japanese unto death and not the courage and capacity to evacuate the portion invaded by the Japanese they will do the best they can in the light of instructions One thing they should never do - to yield willing submission to the Japanese That will be a cowardly act and unworthy of a freedom loving people. They must not escape from one fire only to fall into another and probably more terrible. Their attitude therefore must always be of resistance to the Japanese. No question, therefore, arises of accepting British currency notes or Japanese coins They will handle nothing from Japanese hands So far as dealings with our own people are concerned they will either resort to barter or make use of such British currency that they have in the hope that the National Government that may take the place of British Government will take up from the people all the British currency in accordance with its capacity
 - (2) Question about cooperation in bridge building is covered by the above. There can be no question of this cooperation.
 - (3) If Indian soldiers come in contact with our people we must fix extruize with them if they are well disposed and invite them if they can to join the nation Probably they have been brought under promise that they will eliver the country from foreign yoke There will be enjected to befriend people and ober National Government that might have been set up in place of Bintish Government. If the Bittish have retured in an orderly manner leaving things in Indian hands the whole thing can work splendidly and it might even emade difficult for Japanese to settle down in India or any part of it in peace because they will have to deal with a population which will be sullen and resistant It is difficult to say what can happen. It is enough if people are trained to cultivate the power of creastance no matter which power is operating—the Isanese or the Birtish.
 - (4) Covered by (1) above

(5) The occasion may not come but if it does cooperation will be permissible and even necessary

(6) Your answer about the arms found on the wayside is most tempting and perfectly logical. It may be followed but I would not rule out the idea of worthy people finding them and storing them in a safe place if they can. It it is impossible to store them and keep them from mischievous people yours is an id al plan

> Love BAPU

106

Sig Detention Camp 26th February 1944

I have read the speech of the Honourable the Home Member in the Assembly on the debate arising out of the ban on Shrimati Sarojini Devi. The speech has reference among other things to the correspondence between Shrimati Mirabai and myself and the Government refusal to publish that correspondence. The following is the relevant portion of that speech.

She (Shrimati Sarojini Devi) refers and the point has been raised in this debate to a letter said to have been written by Miss Slade to Mr. Gandhi and Mr. Gandhis reply and I have been asked why no publicity has been given to that letter. That letter was written and answered long before the Congress leaders were placed in detention. If Mr. Gandhi wished to give publicity to that letter he was perfectly free to do it himself. But it was a confidential communication addressed to him and I do not see any reason why Government should disclose a communication of that nature. I might say that it would not, help the Congress case if it were disclosed.

Then it has been said that Mrs Naidu wished to defend the Congress from the implication of being pro Japanese Government have never at anytime either here or at home chirged the Congress with being pro Japanese Well the allusion to that in the booklet called Congress Responsibility refers to a statement quoted from Pandit Nehru himself I have not the time to quote it at length but if

Honourable Members will refer to the quotation given in the 'Congress Responsibility' pamphlet they will easily find the passage in question"

Assuming that the report is correct, it makes strange

Firstly, as to the non-publication by me of this correspondence between Shrimati Mirabai and myself, surely the publication was unnecessary until the charge of being pro-Japanese was spread abroad

Secondly, why do the Government feel squeamish about publishing 'confidential correspondence' when both the correspondents have invited publication?

Thirdly, I do not understand the reluctance of the Government to publish the correspondence when, according to the Honourable the Home Member, the correspondence will not serve the Congress case

Fourthly, the Government seem intentionally or unintentionally to have suppressed the very relevant fact that Shrimati Mirabai wrote to Lord Linlithgow drawing attention to the libellous propaganda in the London press at that time containing allegations that I was pro-Japanese, which allegations she invited him to repudiate Her letter to Lord Linlithgow enclosed copies of correspondence referred to and asked for its publication. It was written on December 24th, 1942, long before the Government publication entitled "Congress Responsibility", which bears the date February 13th, 1943, appeared

Fifthly, as to Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru's alleged statement before the Working Committee, I have already made it clear in my reply to the Government pamphlet that it was wholly wrong on their part to make use of the unauthorized notes of the discussions at the Allahabad meeting of the Working Committee, after Pandit Nehru's emphatic repudiation published in the daily press

It is difficult for me to understand the Honourable the Home Member's speech and the Government persistence in making charges and innuendoes against Congress people whom they have put in custody and thus effectively prevented from answering those charges I hope, therefore, that the Government will at the very least see their way to publish the correspondence referred to, namely Shrimati Mirabai's letter to Lord Linlithgow of the 24th December, 1942, together with the enclosures

Enclosures (Items No. 103, 104 and 105)

I am etc.,
The Secretary to the Government

of India New Delhi

107

F om The Additional Secretary to the Government of India No II/4/44-M S Government of India Home Department New Delhi, the 11th March, 1944

To M. K Gandhi, Esquire Sir,

In reply to your letter dated February 26th, I am directed to say that Government do not think that ary useful purpose would be served by publishing the correspondence in question So far as Government are concerned, there is the statement in the Home Member's speech—"Government have never at any time, either here or at home, charged the Congress with being pro-Japanese". They do not see how this can be regarded as "Government persistence in making charges and innuendoes against Congress people" So far as Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru is concerned, I am again to refer you to para 2 of my letter of October 14th, 1943, in which it was made clear that he did not, in his public statement, repudiate the words in the Congress Responsibility pamphlet to which you take exception in paragraph 18 of your letter

of July 15th, 1943 There can, therefore, be no question of Government's having made use of that passage after his repudiation of it

I have the honour to be,

Sir, Your most obedient servant.

R TOTTENHAM
Additional Secretary to the Govt of India

IIIV

CORRESPONDENCE WITH H E THE VICEROY (LORD WAVELL)

100

Detention Camp. February 17, 1944

DEAR FRIEND,

Although I have had not the pleasure of meeting you, I address you on purpose as 'dear friend' I am looked upon by the representatives of the British Government as a great, if not the greatest enemy of the British Since I regard myself as a friend and servant of humanity including the British, in token of my good will I call you, the foremost representative of the British in India, my 'friend'

I have received, in common with some others, a notice informing me for the first time, why I am detained, and conferring on me the right of representation against my detention I have duly sent my reply, but I have as yet heard nothing from the Government. A reminder too has sone after a wait of thirteen days

I have said some only have received notices, because, out of six of us in this camp, only three have received them I presume that all will receive them in due course But my mind is filled with the suspicion that the notices have been sent as a matter of form only, and nor with any intention to do justice I do nor wish to burden this letter with

argument I repeat, what I said in the correspondence with your predecessor, that the Congress and I are wholly innocent of the charges brought against us. Nothing but an impartial tribunal to investigate the Government case, and the Congress case against the Government will bring out the truth

The speeches recently made on behalf of the Government in the Assembly on the release motion, and the gagging order on Shri Sarouni Devi. I consider to be playing with fire I distinguish between defeat of Japanese arms and Allied victory The latter must carry with it the deliverance of India from the foreign voke. The spirit of India demands complete freedom from all foreign dominance and would therefore resist Japanese yoke equally with British or any other The Congress represents that spirit in full measure. It has grown to be an institution whose roots have gone deep down into the Indian soil I was therefore staggered to read that the Government were satisfied with things as they were going Had they not got from among the Indian people the men and money they wanted? Was not the Government machinery running smooth? This self-satisfaction bodes ill for Britain, India and the world, if it does not quickly give place to a searching of hearts in British high places

Promises for the future are valueless in the face of the world struggle in which the fortune of all nations and therefore of the whole of humanity is involved Present performance is the peremptory need of the moment if the war is to end in world peace and not be a preparation for another war bloodier than the present, if, indeed, there can be a bloodier Therefore real war effort must mean satisfaction of India's demand "Quit India" only gives vivid expression to that demand, and has not the sinister and poisonous meaning attributed to it without warrant by the Government of India The expression is charged with the friendliest feeling for Britain in terms of the whole of humanity

I have done I thought that if I claim to be a friend of the British, as I do, nothing should deter me from sharing my deepest thoughts with you It is no pleasure for me to be in this Camp, where all my creature comforts are supplied without any effort on my part, when I know that millions outside are starving for want of food But I should feel utterly helpless if I went out and missed the food by which alone living becomes worth while

I am,

His Excellency the Viceroy, Viceroy's Camp Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

109

Viceroy's Camp, India (Nagpur) 25th February, 1944

DEAR MR GANDHI,

I thank you for your letter of February 17th

You will by now have received the reply to your reptesentation I am sorry to hear that three of those in the Aga Khan's Palace have not received notices. This will be looked into at once

I expect you have seen in the papers reports of the speech I made to the Legislature on the same day on which you wrote that letter This states my point of view and I need not repeat what I said then I enclose a copy for your convenience if you wish to read it

I take this opportunity to express to you deep sympathy from my write and myself at the death of Mrs Gandlu We understand what this loss must mean to you after so many years of companionship Yours sincerely

M K Gandhi Esq WAVELL

110

Detention Camp 9th March 1944

DEAR FRIEND

I must thank you for your prompt reply to my lette of 17th February At the outset I send you and Lady Wavel my thanks for your kind condolences on the death of m wife Though for her sake I have welcomed her death as bringing freedom from living agony, I feel the loss more than I had thought I should We were a couple outside the ordinary It was in 1906 that, by mutual consent and after unconscious trials, we definitely adopted self-restraint as a rule of life. To my great joy this knit us together as never before We ceased to be two different entities Without my wishing it, she chose to lose herself in me The result was she became truly my better half She was a woman always of very strong will which, in our early days I used to mistake for obstinacy But that strong will enabled her to become, quite unwittingly, my teacher in the art and practice of non--violent non-cooperation. The practice began with my own family When I introduced it in 1906 in the political field it came to be known by the more comprehensive and specially comed name of Satvagraha When the course of Indian imprisonments commenced in South Africa Shri Kasturba was among civil resisters. She went through greater physical trials than I Although she had gone through several imprisonments, she did not take kindly to the present incarcetation during which all creature comforts were at her disposal My arrest simultaneously with that of many others. and her own immediately following, gave her a great shock and embittered her She was wholly unprepared for my arrest I had assured her that the Government trusted my non-violence, and would not arrest me unless I courted arrest myself Indeed, the nervous shock was so great that after her arrest she developed violent diarrhoea and, but for the attention that Dr Sushila Navvar, who was arrested at the same time as the deceased, was able to give her, she might have died before joining me in this detention camp. where my presence soothed her and the diarrhoea stopped without any further medicament. Not so the bitterness It led to fretfulness ending in painfully slow dissolution of the body

- 2 In the light of the foregoing you will perhaps understand the pain I felt when I read in the papers the statement ande on behalf of the Government which I hold was an unfortunate departure from truth regarding her who was precious to me beyond measure I ask you please to send for and read the complaint in the matter which I have forwarded to the Additional Secretary to the Government of India (Home Department) Truth is said to be the first and the heaviest casualty in war How I wish in this war it could be otherwise in the case of the Allied powers!
- 3 I now come to your address which you delivered before the Legislature and of which you have kindly sent me a copy. When the newspapers containing the address were received, I was by the bedside of the deceased Shri Mirabai read to me the Associated Press report. But my mind was elsewhere Therefore the receipt of your speech in α handy form was most welcome. I have now read it with all the attention it deserves. Having gone through it, I feel drawn to offer a few remarks, all the more so as you have observed that the views expressed by you "need not be regarded as final" May this letter lead to a reshaping of some of them!
 - 4 In the middle of page two you speak of the welfare of the "Indian peoples" I have seen in some Viceregal pronouncements the minabitants of India being referred to as the people of India Are the two expressions synonymous?
 - 5 At page thirteen referring to the attainment of self-government by India you say, "I am absolutely convinced not only that the above represents the genuine desire of the British people, but that they wish to see an early realization of it. It is qualified only at present by an absolute determination to let nothing stand in the way of the earliest possible defeat of Germany and Japan and by a resolve to see that in the solution of the constitutional problem full account is taken of the interests of those who have loyally supported us in this war and at all other times—the soldiers who lave

served the common cause the people who have worked with us the Rulers and populations of the States to whom we are pledged the minorities who have trusted us to see that they get a fair deal but until the two main Indian parties at least can come to terms. I do not see any immediate hope of progress 'Without reasoning it out. I venture to give my paraphrase of your pronouncement 'We. the British shall stand by the Indian soldier whom we have brought into being and trained for consolidating our rule and position in India and who by experience, we have found can effectively help us in our wars against other nations We shall also stand by the Rulers of the Indian States. many of whom are our creation and all of whom owe their present position to us, even when these Rulers curb or actually crush the spirit of the people whom they rule Similarly shall we stand by the minorities whom too we have encouraged and used against the vast majority when the latter have at all attempted to resist our rule. It makes no difference that they (the majority) seek to replace it by a rule of the will of the people of India taken as a whole And in no case will we transfer power unless Hindus and Muslims come to us with an agreement among themselves ' The position taken up in the paragraph quoted and interpreted by me is no new thing I regard the situation thus envisaged as hopeless and I claim in this to represent the thought of the man in the street Out of the contemplation of this hopelessness was born the anguished cry of 'Quit India'. What I see happening in this country day after day provides a complete vindication of the 'Out India formula as defined by me in my considered writings

6 I note as I read your speech that you do not regard the sponsors of the formula of Quit India' as outcasts to be shanned by society You believe them to be high-minded persons. Then treat them as such and trust their interpretation of their own formula and you cannot go wrong

- 7 After developing the Cripps offer you have said at page sixteen in the middle of the paragraph, "., . the demand for release of these leaders who are in detention is an utterly barren one until there is some sign on their part of willingness to cooperate It needs no consultation with any one or anything but his own conscience for any one of those under detention to decide whether he will withdraw from the 'Quit India' resolution and the policy which had tragic consequences, and will cooperate in the great tasks ahead " Then again, reverting to the same subject you say on pages nineteen and twenty, "There is an important element which stands aloof, I recognize how much ability and high-mindedness it contains, but I deplore its present policy and methods as barren and unpractical I should like to have the co-operation of this element in solving the present and the future problems of India If its leaders feel that they cannot consent to take part in the present Government of India, they may still be able to assist in considering future problems. But I see no reason to release those responsible for the declaration of August 8th 1942, until I am convinced that the policy of non-cooperation and even of obstruction has been withdrawn-not in sackcloth and ashes, that helps no one - but in recognition of a mistaken and unprofitable policy "
- 8 I am surprised that you, an eminent soldier and man of affairs, should hold such an opinion. How can the withdrawal of a resolution, arrived at jointly by hundreds of men and women after much debating and careful consideration, be a matter of individual conscience? A resolution jointly undertaken can be honourably, conscientiously and properly withdrawn only after joint discussion and deliberation Individual conscience may come into play after this necessary step, not before Is a prisoner ever free to exercise his conscience? Is it just and proper to expect him to do so?

- 9 Again, you recognize " much ability and high-mindedness" in those who represent the Congress organization and then deplore their present policy and methods as "barren and unpractical " Does not the second statement cancel the first? Able and highminded men may come to erroneous decisions but I have not before heard such people's policy and methods being described as 'barren and unpractical" Is it not up to you to discuss the pros and cons of their policy with them before pronouncing judgement especially when they are also admittedly representatives of millions of their people? Does it become an all-powerful Government to be afraid of the consequences of releasing unarmed men and women with a backing only of men and women equally unarmed and even pledged to non-violence? Moreover, why should you hesitate to put me in touch with the Working Committee members so as to enable me to know their minds and reactions?
 - 10 Then you have talked of the "tragic consequences" of the 'Quit India' resolution I have said enough in my reply to the Government pamphlet "Congress Responsibility etc" combating the charge that the Congress was responsible for those consequences. I commend the pamphlet and my reply to your attention, if you have not already seen them. Here I would just like to emphasize what I have already said Had Government stayed action till they had studied my speeches and those of the members of the Working Committee, history would have been written differently
 - 11 You have made much of the fact that your Executive Council is predominantly Indian Surely, their being Indians no more makes them representatives of India than non-Indians Conversely it is quite conceivable that a non-Indian may be a true representative of India if he is elected by the vote of the Indian people. It would give no satisfaction even if the head of the Indian Government was a distinguished Indian not chosen by the free vote of the people.

- 12 Even you, I am sorry, have fallen into the common error of describing the Indian forces as having been recruited by "voluntary enlistment. A person who takes to soldiering as a profession will enlist himself wherever he gets his market wage. Voluntary enlistment has come to bear by association a meaning much higher than that which attaches to an enlistment like that of the Indian soldier. Were those who carried out the orders at the Jallianwalla massacre volunteers? The very Indian soldiers who have been taken out of India and are showing unexampled bravery will be ready to point their rifles unerringly at their own countrymen at the orders of the British Government, their employers. Will they deserve the honourable name of volunteers?
- 13 You are flying all over India You have not hesitated to go among the skeletons of Bengal May I suggest an interruption in your scheduled flights and a descent upon Ahmednagar and the Aga Khan's Palace in order to probe the hearts of your captives? We are all friends of the British however much we may criticize the British government and system in India If you can but trust, you will find us to be the greatest helpers in the fight against Nazism Fascism, Iananism and the like
- 14 Now I revert to your letter of the 25th February Shri Mirabai and I have received replies to our representations. The remaining inmates have received their notices. The reply received by me I regard as a mockery, the one received by Shri Mirabai as an insult. According to the report of the Home Member's answer to a question in the Central Assembly, the replies received by us seem to be no replies. He is reported to have said that the stage "for the review of the cases had not yet arrived Government an present were only receiving representations from prisoners." If their presentations in teply to the Government notices are to be considered merely by the executive that imprisoned them without trial, it will

amount to a farce and an eve-wash meant perhaps for foreign consumption but not as any indication of a desire to do justice. My views are known to the Government. I may be considered an impossible man - though altogether wrongly I would protest But what about Shri Mirabai? As you know she is the daughter of an Admiral and former Commanderon Chief of these waters But she left the life of ease and chose instead to throw in her lot with me Her parents recognizing her urge to come to me gave her their full blessings. She spends her time in the service of the masses She went to Orissa at my request to understand the plight of the people of that benighted land. That Government was hourly expecting Japanese invasion Papers were to be removed or burnt and withdrawal of the civil authority from the coast was being contemplated Shri Mirabai made Chaudwar (Cuttack) airfield her headquarters and the local military commander was glad of the help she could give him Later she went to New Delhi and saw General Sir Allen Hartley and General Molesworth who both appreciated her work and greeted her as one of their own class and caste. It therefore baffles me to understand her incarceration The only reason for burying her alive so far as I can see is that she has committed the crime of associating herself with me I suggest your immediately releasing her or your seeing her and then deciding I may add that she is not yet free from the pain for the alleviation of which the Government sent Capt Simcox at my request It would be a tragedy if she became permanently disabled in detention. I have mentioned Shri Mirabai's case because it is typically unjust

15 I apologize to you for a letter which has gone beyond length I had prescribed for myself It has also become very personal and very unconventional. That however is the way my loyalty to fuends works. I have written without reservation. Your letter and your speech have given me the opening. For the sake of India. England and humanity. I

hope you will treat this as an honest and friendly, if candid, response to your speech

16 Years ago while teaching the boys and girls of Tolstoy Farm in South Africa I happened to read to them Wordsworth's 'Character of the Happy Warnor It recurs to me as I am writing to you It will delight my heart to realize that warnor in you There will be little difference between the manners and methods of the Axis powers and the Allies if the war is to resolve itself into a mere trial of brute strength

His Excellency the Viceroy, Viceroy's Camp I am Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

111

The Viceroy's House, New Delhi, 28th March, 1944

DEAR MR GANDHI,

I have your letter of March 9th You will receive a separate reply from the Home Secretary on your complaint about Mr Butler's answer to a question in the House of Commons I can only say that I deeply regret if you are left with the impression that the Government of India have been unsympathetic in the matter of Mrs Gandhis illness Miss Slade's case will be examined in the light of what you say about her.

I do not think it profitable that we should enter in lengthy argument, and do not propose to answer in dete the point you raise in your letter. But I think it best give you a clear statement of my views on the future develoment of India and the reasons for your present detention

The draft declaration of H M G which Sir Staffe Cripps brought to India stated in unmistakable terms t intention of of H M G to give India self-government unit a constitution of her own devising, arrived at by agreem

between the principal elements I need hardly say that I am in entire accord with that aim, and only seek the best means to implement it without delivering India to confusion and turmoi! Much wisdom and spirit of goodwill and compromise will be required to arrive at the right solution, but with good leadership I am sure a solution can be found

Meanwhile there is much work to be done, particularly in the economic field, in preparing India to take her proper place in the modern world. She must be ready to welcome change and progress in many hitherto unfamiliar directions and to raise the standard of living of her population. Such work is primarily non-political it may well hasten a political settlement, but cannot await if It will give rise to many new and absorbing problems demanding the best abilities that India can bring to bear on them. India cannot be expected to tackle these problems in isolation from the rest of the world, or without the aid that Britain can give and the services of an experienced administration. But it is work in which leaders of all parties can cooperate with the certainty that they are helping the country towards the goal of freedom

I regret that I must view the present policy of the Congress party as lindering and not forwarding Indian progress to self-government and development During a war in which the success of the United Nations against the Axis powers is vital both to India and to the world, as you yourself have recognized, the Working Committee of Congress declined to cooperate, ordered Congress ministries to resign, and decided to take no part in the administration of the country or in the war effort which India was making to assist the United Nations At the greatest crisis of all for India at a time when Japanese invasion was possible, the Congress party decided to pass a resolution calling on the British to leave India which could not fail to have the most serious effect on our ability to defend the frontiers of India against the Japanese I am quite clear that India's problems

cannot be solved by an immediate and complete withdrawal of the British

I do not accuse you or the Congress party of any wish deliberately to aid the Japanese But you are too intelligent a man. Mr. Gandhi, not to have realized that the effect of your resolution must be to hamper the prosecution of the war, and it is clear to me that you had lost confidence in our ability to defend India, and were prepared to take advantage of our supposed military straits to gain political advantage I do not see how those responsible for the safety of India could have acted otherwise than they did and could have failed to arrest those who sponsored the resolution As to general Congress responsibility for the disturbances which followed, I was, as you know, Commander-in-Chief at the time, my vital lines of communication to the Burma frontier were cut by Congress supporters, in the name of the Congress, often using the Congress flag I cannot therefore hold Congress guiltless of what occurred, and I cannot believe that you, with all your acumen and experience, can have been unaware of what was likely to follow from your policy I do not believe that the Congress party's action in this matter represented the real feeling of India, nor that the Congress attitude of non-cooperation represents the opinion of anything like a majority of India.

To sum up, I believe that with general cooperation we can in the immediate future do much to solve India's economic problems, and can make steady and substantial progress towards Indian self-government

I believe that the greatest contribution that the Congress party can make towards India's welfare is to abandon the policy of non-cooperation and to join wholeheartedly with the other Indian parties and with the British in helping India forward in economic and political progress—not by any dramatic or spectacular stroke, but by hard steady work towards the end ahead I think that the greatest service you

could do to India would be to advise unequivocally such cooperation

In the meantime I regard it as my task in the interests of India, of which I am a sincere friend, to concentrate all my efforts on bringing this war to a victorious conclusion, and to prepare for India's advancement after the war In this task I feel I can count on very considerable cooperation from the majority of Indians

Yours sincerely, WAVELL

M K Gandhi Esq

112

Detention Camp, April 9th, 1944,

DEAR FRIEND,

I have your letter of 28th March received by me on the 3rd instant Please accept my thanks for it

I take up the general matter first

You have sent me a frank reply I propose to reciprocate your courtesy by being perfectly frank Friendship to be true demands frankness even though it may some time appear unpleasant If anything I say offends you, please accept my apology in advance

It is a pity that you have refused to deal with important points raised in my letter

Your letter is a plea for cooperation by the Congress in the present administration and failing that in planning for the future. In my opinion this requires equality between the parties and mutual trust. But equality is absent and Government distrust of the Congress can be seen at every turn. The result is that suspicion of Government is universal. Add to this the fact that Congressmen have no faith in the compence of the Government to ensure. India's future good. This want of faith is based upon bitter experience of the past and present conduct of the British administration of India. Is it not high time that you cooperated with the people of

India, through their elected representatives instead of expecting cooperation from them?

All this was implied in the August resolution. The sanction behind the demand in the resolution was, not violence, but self-suffering Anyone, be he Congressman or other, who acted against this rule of conduct had no authority to use the Congress name for his action. But I see that this resolution repels you as it did Lord Linkthgow. You know that I have joined issue on the point. I have seen nothing since to after my view. You have been good enough to credit me with "intelligence", "experience" and "acumen? Let me say that all these three gifts have failed to make me realize that the effect of the Congress resolution "must be to hamper the prosecution of the war." The responsibility for what followed the hasty arrests of Congressmen must rest solely on the Government For, they invited the crisis, not the authors of the resolution.

You remind me that you were Commander-in-Chief at the time How much better it would have been for all concerned if confidence in the immeasurable strength of arms had ruled your action instead of fear of a rebellion! Had the Government staved their hand at the time, surely, all the bloodshed of those months would have been avoided And it is highly likely that the Japanese menace would have become a thing of the past Unfortunately it was not to be And so the menace is still with us, and what is more, the Government are pursuing a policy of suppression of liberty and truth. I have studied the latest ordinance about the detenus, and I recall the Rowlatt Act of 1919 It was popularly called the Black Act As you know it gave rise to an unprecedented agitation That Act pales into insignificance before the series of ordinances that are being showered from the Viceregal throne Martial law in effect governs not one province, as in 1919, but the whole of India Things are moving from bad to worse

You say, "It is clear to me that you had lost confidence in our ability to defend India and were prepared to take advantage of our supposed military straits to gain political advantage" I must deny both the charges I venture to suggest that you should follow the golden rule, and withdraw your statement and suspend judgement till you have submitted the evidence in your possession to an impartial tribunal and obtained its verdict. I confess that I do not make the request with much confidence. For, in dealing with Congressmen and others Government have combined the prosecutor, judge and jailor in the same person and thus made proper defence impossible on the part of the accused Judgements of courts are being rendered nugatory by fresh ordinances. No man's freedom can be said to be safe in this extraordinary situation You will probably retort that it is an exigency of the war I wonder i

As I visualize India today, it is one vast prison containing four hundred million souls. You are its sole custodian. The government prisons are prisons within this prison. I agree with you that whilst you hold the views expressed in your letter under reply, the proper place for one like me is a government prison. And unless there is a change of heart, view, and policy on the part of the Government. I am quite content to remain your prisoner. Only, I hope you will listen to the request made by me through the proper channels to remove me and my fellow prisoners to some other prison where the cost of our detention need not be even one tenth of what it is today.

As to my complaint about Mr Butler's statement and later the Home Secretary's I have received two letters from the Home Department in reply I am sorty to say they have appeared to me highly unsatisfactory They ignore patent facts and betray an obstinate refusal to face truth even on a wholly non political issue. My correspondence with the Home Department continues I invite your attention to

t, if you can spare the time and are interested in the subject

I am elad and thankful that Shri Mirabai's (Miss Slade's)

I am glad and thankful that Shri Mirabai's (Miss Slade's)
case is being considered in the light of what I said about
her in my letter . I am,

His Excellency the Viceroy Vicerov's Camp Yours sincerely, M K GANDHI

IX MISCELLANEOUS 113

EXPRESS WIRE

Detention Camp. February 16th, '44

Honourable Finance Member, New Delhi,

Having read your statement about salt clause in Gandhi-Irwin Agreement I beg to draw your attention to notice that was issued by Sir George Schuster explaining implications of that clause Any amendment should be in terms of that notice GANDHI

114

From

No S D VI/-3847 Home Department Bombay, 25th February, 1944

The Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Home Department

To M K Gandhi, Esquire

Sir,

On the 16th February, 1944, you requested that the following telegraphic message be transmitted to the Finance Member of the Government of India

' Having read your statement about salt clause in Gandhi-Irwin Agreement I beg to draw your attention to notice that was issued by Sir George Schuster explaining implications of that clause Any amendment should be in terms of that notice

This message was communicated by the Inspector General of Prisons the same day to this Government who passed it on immediately to the Government of India. The Finance Member has now requested that the following reply should be communicated to you

After discussion in House it was felt best course to leave matters to be regulated as hitherto by notification issued in 1931 terms of which have been scrupulously observed by Government No amendment was therefore made

Secretary to the Government of Bombay, Home Department I have the honour to be, Sir, Your most obedient Servant, H IYANGAR

115 R

Detention Camp, March 4, '44

Sir,

In reply to a question in the Assembly, the Honourable the Home Member is reported to have said, 'The provision for the expenses of Mr Gandhi and those detained with him in the Aga Khan's Palace amounted to about Rs 550/a month'.

In my letter to you dated 26th October last I remarked as follows "The huge place in which I am being detained with a big guard around me I hold to be waste of public funds I should be quite content to pass my days in any prison." The Honourable the Home Member's reply quoted above is a sharp reminder to me that I should have followed up the remark just referred to by me But it is never too late to mend I therefore take up the question now

The expenses on behalf of my companions and me are no merely Rs 550/- per month The rent of this hige place (of which only a portion is open to us) and the expense of maintaining the big outer guard and an inner staff consisting of Superintendent, Jamadar and sepoys have got to be added And to this a large squad of convicts from Yeravada to serve the inmates and to look after the garden Virtually

the whole of this expense is, from my point of view, wholly unnecessary; and when people are dying of starvation, it is almost a crime against Indian humanity. I ask that my companions and I be removed to any regular prison Government may choose In conclusion, I cannot conceal from myself the sad thought that the whole of this expense comes from taxes collected from the dumb millions of India.

To

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India,

(Home Department), New Delhi

116

Detention Camp. April 21st, 1944

SIR.

I wrote to you on the 4th March requesting the Government to transfer the party of detenus in this camp to a prison where the expense entailed in our detention here may be materially reduced I request an early decision in the matter.

To

I am, etc.,

I am. etc.,

M K GANDHI

The Additional Secretary to the Government of India (H. D.), New Delhi M K. GANDHI

Mew Delill

117. C

Detention Camp, May 3rd, 1944

Sir,

Shri Jamnadas came in yesterday. When I was asked whether I would see him I had consented so as to cause as little disappointment as possible for the future. My position is that whilst I would be glad to see any relatives who might secure government permission, I must not break the rule I have made for myself, that I would deny myself the pleasure, so long as the government restrict the permission

only to relatives and exclude the members of the Ashram, or those who stand in the same category. I regard them as equal with my relatives The Government were good enough to grant such permission during my fast last year without any untoward result so far as I know. Can they do likewise during my convalescence which bids fair to be protracted?

To I am. etc.

The Secretary to the M K GANDHI
Government of Bombay (H. D.), Bombay

118-

Detention Camp, 6th May, 1944, 7-45 A. M.

SIR.

I have been told by the Inspector General of Prisons that the party of detenus in this camp is to be discharged at 8 a m. today. I wish to put on record the fact that by reason of the cremation of the corpses of Shri Mahadev Desai and then my wife the place of cremation which has been fenced off becomes consecrated ground. The party has daily visited the ground twice, and offered floral tributes to the departed spirits and said prayers. I trust that the plot will be acquired by the Government with the right of way to it through H. H. the Aga Khan's grounds, so as to enable those relatives and friends, who wish to visit the cremation ground whenever they like Subject to the permission of the Government, I would like to arrange for the unkeep of the sacred spot and daily prayers I hope that the necessary steps will be taken by the Government in terms of my prayer My address will be Sevagram, via Wardha (C P). . -

Tο

The Secretary to the Governmen tof Bombay, Home Department, Bombay I am, etc., M K GANDHI

No S D VI/-75 From Home Department (Political) The Secretary to the Poona, 7th July, 1944 Government of Bombay, H D Tn

M K Gandhi, Esquire

I am directed to refer to your letter dated the 6th May, 1944, in which you request that Government should acquire the plot on which the bodies of Mrs Gandhi and Mr Mahadey Desai were cremated, together with the right of way to it through His Highness the Aga Khan's grounds so as to enable relatives and friends to visit the cremation ground whenever they liked In reply I am to inform you that it is legally impossible for Government to acquire the site compulsorily under the Land Acquisition Act Government considers that the matter is one for private negotiation between you and His Highness the Aga Khan I am to add however, that your request has been communicated to His Highness the Aga Khan and is now understood to be under his consideration Government understands that he has no objection, in the meanwhile, to the relatives of Mrs Gandhi and Mr Mahadev Desai and any other persons suggested by you going through the palace grounds to the place of cremation on the understanding that this is by his leave and licence Your obedient servant,

H Ivangar . Secretary to the Government of Bombay, H D

"Dilkhusha Panchgani, July 9th, 1944

SIR.

I have received your letter of 7th instant in connection with the ground in H H the Aga Khan's Palace where Shri Mahadev Desai's and Smt Kasturba Gandhi's bodies were cremated My purpose is served by the present arrangement for which I thank the Government

Secretary to the Yours etc Government of Bombay (H D), Poona

M K. GANDHI